Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Engineering



# BEHAVIOR OF SELF– COMPACTED CONCRETE DEEP BEAMS WITH REINFORCED COMPRESSIVE STRUTS

A Thesis Submitted to Council of College of Engineering, University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

By

Sarah Farhan Jebur

(B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, 2013)

Supervised by

Assist. Prof. Dr. Khattab S. Abdul-Razzaq

January, 2017

IRAQ

Rebi ul-Thani, 1438

# DEDICATION

First to our teacher to whom rise his saying in the worlds (Mohammed) and to the people of house, (Ahl Al-bayt) To the person who will make the women a sacred entity freestanding, (Imam Al-Mehdi)

To the apple of my eyes and the scent of my life, my dear Mom. To whom I seek as a shelter after my God (Allah), my dear Dad. To those who were always standing to my side, To my brothers and my sisters, specially brother "Mohammed". I offer this humble efforts to remain proud of it.

Sarah Farhan Jebur

2017

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the name of Allah, the most gracious, the most merciful, before anything, I thank Allah who enabled me to achieve this research.

First, I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the supervisor; Asst. Prof. Dr. Khattab S. Abdul-Razzaq for his supervisions, precious advices, technical guidance, continuous encouragements, and remarkable patience in reviewing my thesis and stop me pause forearm to a person's, I'm really indebted to him.

My thanks go as well to those who have donate advice and did not complain of frequent questions. To Asst. lecturer Qussay W. Ahmed and Eng. Hayder I. Ali

Great thanks to the staff of Structural Engineering Laboratory especially **Eng.** *Hutheifa* and *Mr. Yass* for their cooperation and help.

Finally, thank you very much for what you made and for what you presented for me on hope that your endeavors crowned me the fruit of my success to prove to you that it will not go in vain.

Sarah Farhan Jebur

2017

### Behavior of Self– Compacted Concrete Deep Beams with Reinforced Compressive Struts

By

Sarah Farhan Jebur

Supervised by

Assist. Prof. Dr. Khattab S. Abdul-Razzaq

#### ABSTRACT

The main aim of this research was to study the behavior of self compacted concrete deep beams when reinforcing their struts based on the STM of ACI 318M-14. The experimental program contained casting and testing nine specimens divided into three groups. The difference between the three groups was the type of loading; 2-concentrated forces, 1-concentrated force and uniformly distributed load. Every group contained three specimens. The first specimens of each group were the conventional reference deep beams. The second specimens of each group were the specimens in which only the struts and ties paths were reinforced in addition to removing concrete shoulders (in order to save cost, reduce weight and provide a front side area for services). The third specimens of each group were the RC frames that their shapes were defined by the STM of ACI 318M-14. The struts and ties of these frames were reinforced as compression members and as tension members, respectively.

The effect of reinforcing struts and ties, response of load-deflection, cracking load, deflection at first crack, cracks characteristics (spreading, width, number and type of cracks), strain in steel bars, strain in the surface of concrete, the contribution of reinforcement to the strength of the struts and ties in addition to failure conditions were studied.

The experimental results exhibited that the first specimens (references) of each group showed superiority in terms of ultimate capacity about 20% in comparison with the theoretical design loads of STM, ACI 318M-14. The second specimens of each group (where only the paths of struts and ties were reinforced) in addition to

the third specimens of each group (RC struts and ties frames) exhibited acceptable differences with the theoretical design loads of STM, ACI 318M-14. Accordingly, these frames were good alternatives for the reference beams because of cost saving, reducing weight and providing a front side area which amounted to 4-27%, 41-51% and 46-56%, respectively.

Measuring strain assisted in investigating the contribution of reinforcement to the strength of the struts. For example, in the case of the frames, the contribution in inclined struts was 29%, 53% and 30% in cases of 2-concentrated forces, 1-concentrated force and uniformly distributed load, respectively. These experimental contribution ratios were close to equations of (ACI 318M-14). Measuring strain also assisted in more clarifying the failure type that took place in the specimens.

For all specimens, measuring the width of the first cracks assisted in observing that the first flexural cracks did not exceed limits of crack width, so they were not critical. While the first shear cracks exceeded the limits and they were critical.

In addition to the experimental work, a numerical analysis of these nine specimens using the finite element program ANSYS 13 has been conducted. The numerical results of this analysis showed good agreement with the experimental ones. Besides, the numerical effects of concrete compressive strength  $(f'_c)$  and reinforcement yield stress  $(f_y)$  on the ultimate capacity and the midspan deflection of the proposed reinforced SCC specimens were investigated. It was found that the increase in concrete compressive strength  $(f'_c)$  about 33.3% led to increase both the ultimate capacity and the midspan deflection about 7-13% and 20-70%, respectively for the specimens in which only the struts and ties were reinforced. While for the RC frames, the increase in both the ultimate capacity and the midspan deflection was about 5-11% and 15-41%, respectively. It was also found that the increase in reinforcement yield stress  $(f_y)$  about 40% led to increase the ultimate capacity and decrease the midspan deflection about 22-38% and 8-15%, respectively for the specimens in which only the struts and ties were reinforced. While for the RC frames, the increase in the ultimate capacity and the decrease in midspan deflection were about 26-40% and 19-28%, respectively.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Subject	Page	
Dedication	INO.	
Acknowledgement		
Abstract	I	
Table of Contents	IV	
List of Figures	IV	
List of Plates	X	
List of Tables	XI	
List of Symbols and Terminology	XII	
CHAPTER ONE	I	
INTRODUCTION		
1.1 General	1	
1.2 Self- Compacted Concrete	2	
1.3 Modes of Failure of RC Deep Beams	4	
1.4 Types of Cracks in RC Deep Beams	6	
1.5 Using Strut and Tie Model for Deep Beams	7	
1.6 Objectives of the Present Work	8	
1.7 Thesis Layout	9	
CHAPTER TWO		
LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1 General	10	
2.2 Reinforced SCC Deep Beams	10	
2.3 Strut and Tie Modeling of RC Deep Beams	14	
2.3.1 Experimental Studies of STM of Modeling RC Deep Beams	14	
2.3.2 Analytical Studies of STM for RC Deep Beams	17	
2.4 Summary and Concluding Remarks	18	
CHAPTER THREE		
EXPERIMENTAL WORK		
3.1 General	21	
3.2 Experimental Program	21	
3.3 Materials	29	
3.3.1 Cement	30	
3.3.2 Fine Aggregate	31	
3.3.3 Coarse Aggregate	31	
3.3.4 Steel Reinforcement	32	
3.3.5 Limestone Powder	33	
3.3.6 High Performance Superplasticizer Concrete Admixture	34	
(HPSCA)		
3.3.7 Water	34	
3.4 Self- Compacted Concrete Mix Design	34	
3.5 Mixing Procedures for SCC	34	
3.6 Testing of Fresh Concrete	35	
3.6.1 Slump Flow and T <sub>50</sub> Tests	35	

3.6.2 L-box Test	36
3.7 Moulds	37
3.8 Casting and Curing	38
3.9 Control Specimens	42
3.9.1 Compressive Strength Test	42
3.9.2 Splitting Tensile Strength Test	42
3.9.3 Modulus of Rupture Test	43
3.10 Test Measurements and Instrumentation	44
3.10.1 Deflection Measurements	44
3.10.2 Crack Width	44
3.10.3 Strain Measurement on Steel and Concrete	45
3.10.3.1 Location of Strain Gauges	45
3.10.3.2 TDS-530 Data Logger	46
3.11 Testing Procedure	47
CHAPTER FOUR	
<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
4.1 Canaral	18
4.2 Deservation of Solf Commonted Conservation	40
4.2 Properties of Self-Compacted Concrete	40
4.2.1 Fresh Properties of Bardanad SCC	48
4.2.2 Properties of Mardelleu SCC	<u>49</u> 50
4.3 General Benavior of Specimens	50
4.3.1. Group A	51
4.3.1.1 DD.2P	52
4.3.1.2 STW1.2F	52
4.3.1.3 FR.2F	53
4.3.2. Group D	54
4.3.2.1 DD.11	55
4.3.2.2 STM.11	55
433 Group C	56
4 3 3 1 DR U	57
4 3 3 2 STM U	58
4 3 3 3 FR U	58
4.4 Load- Midspan Deflection Curves	59
4.4.1 Specimens of Group A	61
4.4.2 Specimens of Group R	62
4.4.3 Specimens of Group C	62
4.5 Crack Width Measurements	63
4.5.1 Flexural Cracks	64
4.5.1.1 ACI Code Provisions Concerning Cracks	65
4.5.2 Diagonal Cracks	68
4.6 Average Concrete Surface Strains	72
4.7 Steel Reinforcement Strains	76
4.8 Contribution of Reinforcement to the Strength of the Struts and Ties	00
According ACI 318M-14	80

4.8.1 Group A	81
4.8.2 Group B	82
4.8.3 Group C	84
4.9 Comparisons between the Results of Experimental Work and ACI	05
318M-14, STM	03
CHAPTER FIVE	
NUMERICAL ANALYSIS	
5.1 General	90
5.2 ANSYS Model	90
5.2.1 Element Types, Real Constants, Material Properties, and	00
Parameters	90
5.2.1.1 Stress-Strain Relationship Model	91
5.2.2 Modeling and Meshing of the Concrete Media and the	02
Bearing Plates	92
5.2.3 Modeling of Steel Reinforcing Bars	93
5.2.4 Loads and Boundary Conditions	94
5.3 Experimental and Numerical Load-Deflection Responses	94
5.4 Numerical Crack Patterns Development	97
5.5 Parametric Study	97
5.5.1 Effect of Compressive Strength of Concrete $(f'_c)$	98
<b>5.5.2</b> Effect of Yielding Stress of Steel reinforcement $(f_y)$	104
CHAPTER SIX	
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1 General	111
6.2 Conclusions	111
6.2.1 Experimental Phase	111
6.2.2 Numerical Phase	113
6.3 Recommendations for Future Work	115
REFERENCES	116
APPENDIX A	
APPENDIX B	
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	
APPENDIX G	
APPENDIX H	
APPENDIX I	
APPENDIX J	
APPENDIX K	

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure	Elemento Titale	Page
No.	Figure Title	No.
1-1	Flexural failure of deep beam	4
1-2	Flexural-shear failure of deep beam	5
1-3	Diagonal splitting failure of deep beam	5
1-4	Diagonal compression failure of deep beam	6
1-5	Bearing and Anchorage failures in deep beam	6
1-6	Types of cracks in reinforced concrete deep beam	7
1-7	STM in deep beams	8
3-1	Schematic representation of experimental program for SSC specimens	22
3-2	Specimen DB.2P	26
3-3	Specimen STM.2P	27
3-4	Specimen FR.2P	27
3-5	Specimen DB.1P	27
3-6	Specimen STM.1P	28
3-7	Specimen FR.1P	28
3-8	Specimen DB.U	28
3-9	Specimen STM.U	29
3-10	Specimen FR.U	29
3-11	The slump flow measurement test for SCC material	36
3-12	L-box test for SCC	37
3-13	Positions of strain gauges on concrete surfaces	45
3-14	Positions of strain gauges on steel reinforcement	46
4-1	1 <sup>st</sup> cracking loads for all specimens of Group A	51
4-2	1 <sup>st</sup> cracking loads for all specimens of Group B	54
4-3	1 <sup>st</sup> cracking loads for all specimens of Group C	57
4-4	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen DB.2P	60
4-5	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen STM.2P	61
4-6	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen FR.2P	60
4-7	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen DB.1P	60
4-8	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen STM.1P	60
4-9	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen FR.1P	60
4-10	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen DB.U	61
4-11	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen STM.U	61
4-12	Load-midspan deflection for Specimen FR.U	61
4-13	Load-midspan deflection for group A	62
4-14	Load-midspan deflection for group B	62
4-15	Load-midspan deflection for group C	63
4-16	First flexural crack width for group A	66
4-17	First flexural crack width for group B	66
4-18	First flexural crack width for group C	66
4-19	Load- flexural crack width for group A	66
4-20	Load- flexural crack width for group B	67
4-21	Load- flexural crack width for group C	67

4-22	First diagonal crack width for group A	69
4-23	First diagonal crack width for group B	69
4-24	First diagonal crack width for group C	69
4-25	Load-diagonal crack width for group A	69
4-26	Load-diagonal crack width for group B	70
4-27	Load-diagonal crack width for group C	70
4-28	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen DB.2P	73
4-29	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen STM.2P	73
4-30	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen FR.2P	74
4-31	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen DB.1P	74
4-32	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen STM.1P	74
4-33	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen FR.1P	75
4-34	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen DB.U	75
4-35	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen STM.U	75
4-36	Applied load versus average concrete compressive strains for specimen FR.U	76
4-37	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen DB.2P	77
4-38	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen STM.2P	77
4-39	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen FR.2P	77
4-40	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen DB.1P	78
4-41	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen STM.1P	78
4-42	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen FR.1P	78
4-43	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen DB.U	79
4-44	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen STM.U	79
4-45	Applied load versus steel strains for specimen FR.U	79
4-46	Comparisons between $P_{STM}$ and $P_u$ in group A	86
4-47	Comparisons between $P_{STM}$ and $P_u$ in group B	86
4-48	Comparisons between $P_{STM}$ and $P_u$ in group C	87
5-1	Simplified Compressive Uniaxial Stress-Strain Curve for concrete	91
5-2	Finite element mesh used for specimen DB.2P	93
5-3	ANSYS modeling of reinforcing steel bars for specimen DB.2P	93
5-4	ANSYS modeling of reinforcing steel bars for specimen FR.2P	93
5-5	Boundary conditions and external loads for specimen DB.U	94
5-6	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen DB.2P	95
5-7	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen STM.2P	95
5-8	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen FR.2P	95

5-9	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen DB.1P	95
5-10	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen STM.1P	96
5-11	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen FR.1P	96
5-12	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen DB.U	96
5-13	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen STM.U	96
5-14	Numerical and experimental load-midspan deflection curves for specimen FR.U	96
5-15	Deflection profile for specimen DB.2P	97
5-16	Deflection profile for specimen FR.2P	97
5-17	Numerical cracks pattern for specimen FR.1P	97
5-18	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (STM.2P-1, STM.2P and STM.2P-2)	100
5-19	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (STM.1P-1, STM.1P and STM.1P-2)	100
5-20	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (STM.U-1, STM.U and STM.U-2)	100
5-21	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen STM.2P-1	100
5-22	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen STM.2P	101
5-23	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen STM.2P-2	101
5-24	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (FR.2P-1, FR.2P and FR.2P-2)	103
5-25	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (FR.1P-1, FR.1P and FR.1P-2)	103
5-26	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (FR.U-1, FR.U and FR.U-2)	103
5-27	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen FR.2P-1	103
5-28	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen FR.2P	104
5-29	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen FR.2P-2	104
5-30	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (STM.2P-1, STM.2P and STM.2P-2)	106
5-31	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (STM.1P-1, STM.1P and STM.1P-2)	106
5-32	Load-midspan deflection for specimens (STM.U-1, STM.U and STM.U-2)	106
5-33	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen STM.1P-1	106
5-34	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen STM.1P	107
5-35	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen STM.1P-2	107
5-36	Load-midspan deflection for specimens FR.2P-1, FR.2P and FR.2P-2	108
5-37	Load-midspan deflection for specimens FR.1P-1, FR.1P and FR.1P-2	108
5-38	Load-midspan deflection for specimens FR.U-1. FR.U and FR.U-2	109
5-39	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen FR 1P-1	109
5-40	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen FR.1P	110
5-41	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimen FR.1P-2	110

A-1	Strut and Tie model for 2-concentrated forces loaded beam (DB.2P)	A-2
A-2	Specimen DB.2P (all dimensions are in mm)	A-2
A-3	Nodes in 2-concentrated forces loaded beam (DB.2P)	A-3
A-4	Reinforcement crossing strut AB	A-3
A-5	STAAD Pro program analysis for FR.2P specimen	A-4
A-6	Specimen FR.2P (all dimensions are in mm)	A-5
D-1	Deflection-strain relation	D-2
D-2	Load-strain relation	D-2
F	Finite element mesh used for specimens	F-2
G	ANSYS modeling of reinforcing steel bars for specimens	G-2
Н	Deflection profile for specimens	H-2
Ι	Numerical cracks pattern for specimens	I-2
J-1	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimens (STMs), effect of $(f'_c)$	J-2
J-2	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimens (FRs), effect of $(f'_c)$	J-3
K-1	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimens (STMs), effect of $(f_y)$	K-2
K-2	The deflection profile and the crack pattern for specimens (FRs), effect of $(f_v)$	K-3

Plate No.	Plate Title	Page No.
3-1	Al-Ukhaider graded natural sand	31
3-2	Crushed gravel with 10 mm maximum size of particles	32
3-3	Machine used for testing steel bars	33
3-4	Slump flow test	36
3-5	L-box test	37
3-6	Wooden moulds used for casting specimens	37
3-7	Steel reinforcement for all specimens	38
3-8	The reinforcing cages in wooden moulds	39
3-9	(a) Flexural steel assembly with anchor plate, (b) Tensile force anchored by a plate (ACI 318M-14, R23.2.6)	40
3-10	Compressive strength test $f'c$ , splitting tensile strength test $f_{ct}$ and modulus of rupture test $fr$	41
3-11	Casting of the specimens	41
3-12	Specimens during the curing time	41
3-13	White paint for Specimens	41
3-14	Concrete compressive strength test	42
3-15	Splitting tensile strength test	42
3-16	Modulus of rupture test	44
3-17	Dial gauge used to measure central deflection	44
3-18	Micro-crack meter device	44
3-19	Strain Gauges Type	45
3-20	Strain gauges indicator used in the present research work	46
3-21	Universal testing machine used to test the specimens	47
4-1	Tests of fresh SCC	48
4-2	Specimen DB.2P after testing	52
4-3	Specimen STM.2P after testing	52
4-4	Specimen FR.2P after testing	53
4-5	Specimen DB.1P after testing	55
4-6	Specimen STM.1P after testing	55
4-7	Specimen FR.1P after testing	56
4-8	Specimen DB.U after testing.	57
4-9	Specimen STM.U after testing.	58
4-10	Specimen FR.U after testing.	59
4-11	The removed shoulders	87
5-1	Numerical cracks pattern for specimen FR.1P	97
В	High Performance Superplasticizer Concrete Admixture (HPSCA) "Sika Viscocrete 5930"	B-1
С	Installation of the electrical strain gauges and the used instruments	C-2
D	Calibration of strain gauge	D-2

Table No.	Table title	Page No.
3-1	Reinforcement details of tested specimens	25
3-2	Specimens designation way	26
3-3	Physical properties of cement	30
3-4	Chemical composition and main compounds of cement	30
3-5	Grading of fine aggregate	31
3-6	Physical properties of fine aggregate	31
3-7	Grading of coarse aggregate	32
3-8	Physical properties of coarse aggregate	32
3-9	Mechanical properties of steel bars reinforcement	33
3-10	Chemical composition of limestone powder	33
3-11	Mix proportions of SCC	34
4-1	Test results of fresh SCC	48
4-2	Hardened properties of the nine specimens	49
4-3	Summary of test results for tested specimens	50
4-4	Crack characteristics of experimental SCC specimens at failure	71
4-5	Values of experimental shear cracking loads and shear cracking loads obtained from strain diagrams	76
4-6	Contribution of reinforcement to the strength of the struts and ties according ACI 318M-14 in group A	82
4-7	Contribution of reinforcement to the strength of the struts and ties according ACI 318M-14 in group B	83
4-8	Contribution of reinforcement to the strength of the struts and ties according ACI 318M-14 in group C	85
4-9	Comparison between all specimens	88
5-1	Characteristics and identifications of the selected ANSYS finite element types representative of the main components for all specimens	90
5-2	Parameters identifications and numerical values for element types of the present ANSYS model for all specimens	91
5-3	Numerical and experimental results for all specimens	95
5-4	Effect of concrete compressive strength $(f'_c)$ on the ultimate capacity and the corresponding deflection for the STMs specimens	99
5-5	Effect of concrete compressive strength $(f'_c)$ on the ultimate capacity and the corresponding deflection for the FRs specimens	102
5-6	Effect of yield stress of steel reinforcement $(f_y)$ on the ultimate capacity and corresponding deflection for the STMs specimens	105
5-7	Effect of yield stress of steel reinforcement $(f_y)$ on the ultimate capacity and corresponding deflection for the FRs specimens	108
E	Comparison calculations between specimens	Е

### **LIST OF TABLES**

### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND TERMINOLOGY

а	Shear span measured from center of load to center of support, mm
d	Effective depth of beam, distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid
	of longitudinal tension reinforcement, mm
h	Total depth of deep beam, mm
$P_u$	Ultimate load of deep beam, kN
$P_{STM}$	Theoretical load according to Appendix A, ACI 318M-14 Strut and Tie
* *	method, kN
V <sub>n</sub>	Nominal shear strength, KN
W	Uniformly distributed load, kin/m
P <sub>Num</sub> D	First flexural cracking load kN
P <sub>cr</sub> -flex	First diagonal exacting load, kN
P <sub>cr</sub> -diag	Virgel sheep engling load, KN
P <sub>vis</sub>	Visual shear cracking load, KN
P <sub>est</sub>	Estimated shear cracking load from strain, kin
$\Delta_{cr-flex}$	Displacement corresponding to the 1st disconsilered lead, mill
$\Delta_{cr-diag}$	Displacement corresponding to the 1st diagonal crack load, mm
$\Delta_u$	Displacement corresponding to the ultimate of deep beam, mm
$\Delta_{Num}$	Numerical displacement at failure, mm
D £'	while of beam, mm
J <sub>c</sub> f	Modulus of rapture MPa
Jr f	Indirect tensile strength (splitting tensile strength) MPa
J ct f	Vield stress (MPa)
Jy	Moment arm mm
Jd I	Clear span length of deep beam mm
L <sup>n</sup>	Overall length of deep beam, mm
L.	Length of load bearing block. mm
$l_s$	Length of support bearing block, mm
s E <sub>vield</sub>	Strain at yield
$P_{\rm s}$	Nominal tensile strength, MPa
$P_c$	Nominal compressive strength,MPa
E <sub>c</sub>	Modulus of elasticity of concrete, MPa
Es	Modulus of elasticity of steel reinforcement, MPa
$\phi$	Diameter of bar, mm
$ ho_v$	Vertical web reinforcement ratio
ρ	Flexural reinforcement ratio
A <sub>s</sub>	Area of main longitudinal tension reinforcement, mm <sup>2</sup>
A <sub>sv</sub>	Area of vertical web shear reinforcement, mm <sup>2</sup>
A <sub>sh</sub>	Area of horizontal web shear reinforcement, mm <sup>2</sup>
A <sub>sb</sub>	Area of reinforcement bars, mm <sup>2</sup>
Ag	Area of column cross section, $mm^2$
$f_{yv}$	Yield stress of vertical web reinforcement, MPa
$f_{yh}$	Yield stress of horizontal web reinforcement, MPa
Sv	Spacing of vertical shear reinforcement, mm

### XIII

$f_{ce}$ Effective compressive strength of the concrete in a strut or a nodal zor	e, MPa
$W_s$ Width of anchor tie mm	
$w_t$ width of struct at support nodal zone mm	
$W_{sb}$ width of struct at load nodal zone, mm	
$w_{st}$ Effective width of strut mm	
$w_{eff}$ Angle of inclination of the diagonal compressive stress and the failur	e nlane
$\theta$ with the beam longitudinal axis in right side degree	e plane
$\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ Angle of inclination of reinforcement to the axis of the beam, degree	
$\beta_{\rm m}$ Nodal zone coefficient	
Factor to account for the effect of cracking and confining reinforcement	t on the
$\beta_{s}$ effective compressive strength of the concrete in a strut	
ACI American Concrete Institute	
ASCE Japan Society of Civil Engineers	
SCC Self Compacted Concrete	
EFNARC European Federation of National Trade Associations Representing Co	oncrete
STM Strut and Tie Model	
CCT Compression- Compression- Tension	
a/d Shear span to effective Depth ratio	
ANSYS Analysis System	
FE Finite Element	
RC Reinforced Concrete	
NC Normal strength Concrete	
a/h Shear span to Overall depth ratio	
CSA Canadian Standard Association	
AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials	
$L_n/h$ Clear span to Overall depth ratio	
ASIM American Society for Testing and Materials	
I.Q.S Iraqi Standard Specification	
HPSCA High Performance Superplasticizer Concrete Admixture	
AISC A maximum Institute of steel construction load and resistance design	
I RED specification	
ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials	
c/c Center to center clear span mm	
SP Superplasticizer	

## CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 General**

The term deep beams applies to any beam which has a ratio of depth to span sufficient to make the shear stresses distribution to be non-parabolic and to cause non-linearity in the elastic flexural stresses through the depth of beam (Varghese and Krishnamoorthy, 1966). Another common characteristic of these special members is that, in addition to main flexural reinforcement, they have orthogonal reinforcement distributed throughout the member (ACI-ASCE Task Committee 426, 1985). The deep beams strength is generally governed by shear more than flexure. Then, because of the special ability for deep beams to redistribute internal forces before collapse and to develop mechanisms of force flow, the shear strength of deep beam is significantly greater than that expected using expressions developed for slender beams (Subedi, et al., 1986).

The American Concrete Institute Code (ACI), (ACI Committee 318M, 2014) describes deep beam as:

Member subjected to loads on one surface and supported on the opposite surface so that the compression struts can grow between the points of load and the supports. Deep beam has one or the other:

1) Clear spans  $L_n$ , less than or equal to 4 times the whole member depth; or

2) Concentrated load zones within double the member depth from the support face.

For deep beams that loaded uniformly, the shear critical section should be taken into consideration at a distance from face of support about (0.15  $L_n \leq d$ ) and of (0.5 a  $\leq d$ ) for concentrated loaded deep beams, where (a) is the shear span, or distance from concentrated load to the support center and (d) is

the distance from extreme compression fiber to the centroid of the tension steel bars (Merritt and Ricketts, 2000).

The reinforced concrete (RC) deep beams are very essential structural members in various types of concrete structures. They are distinguished as being generally deep and short, having a small thickness relative to their span or depth. Typical uses of deep beams comprise foundation pile caps, transfer girders, tanks, foundation walls, shear walls, folded plates of roof structures and offshore structures. Frequently receiving many small loads in their own plane and transporting them to a small number of reaction points (Ashour and Yang, 2008).

#### **1.2 Self- Compacted Concrete**

Since the deep beams have congested reinforcement, the problem of filling spaces between steel bars is serious. Therefore, self-compacted concrete (SCC) is the suitable way to be used for casting those deep members. SCC is that kind of concrete that can flow freely through places by its own weight and fills restricted areas between congested steel bars without vibration (Kaszynska, 2004). This special kind of concrete is different from the traditional concrete because it has a lesser viscosity and, therefore, more rate of flow when pushed; it as well has no blocking tendency, no bleeding and suitable flowability. It has almost a horizontal concrete level after placing (Ozawa, et al., 1989).

SCC has several further names such as Self-leveling concrete, Highworkability concrete, (Yang, 2004) or flowing concrete (Bui, et al., 2002).

EFNARC (2002) defined SCC as concrete that has the capability to flow under its own weight and wholly fill the moulds, even in the existence of congested steel bars, with no necessity to vibration, together as keeping homogeneity. ACI Committee 237R-07, describes SCC as greatly flow capable, no segregation concrete that can spread and fill the moulds, and surround the steel bars without any vibration.

SCC can be cast in conditions where it is impossible or difficult to use fresh concrete vibration, for example, cast in site pile foundations, underwater concreting, walls or columns that have crowded steel bars and machine bases (Patel, et al., 2011).

The advantages of SCC that should be taken into consideration for each producer may comprise as follows (Koehler, 2007):-

- 1-Developing the capability of concrete to flow into dense reinforcement bars and difficult forms.
- 2- Decreasing the need to repair defects such as bug holes.
- 3- Decreasing production costs due to decreasing equipment buying and decreasing labor costs in addition to maintenance costs.
- 4- Due to fewer construction tasks, therefore, increasing construction speed can be added here.
- 5- Quick unloading of ready mixed concrete vans.
- 6- Due to elimination of vibrators, therefore, improves working conditions with fewer accidents.
- 7- Improving the strength and durability of the hardened concrete in some cases.
- 8- Decreasing noise produced by vibrators.

The disadvantages of SCC may contain:-

- 1- Increasing material costs, specifically for cementations materials and admixtures.
- 2- Due to possibly higher formwork pressures, therefore, increases the cost of formwork.
- 3- Increasing technical experience needed to improve the mixes.

- 4- Increased variability in properties, especially workability.
- 5- Increasing quality control requests.
- 6-Decreasing hardened concrete properties- possibly comprising dimensional stability and Young Modulus- cause of low coarse aggregate contents or high paste volumes.
- 7- In some cases, delaying the setting time.

#### 1.3 Modes of Failure of RC Deep Beams

Several factors affect the behavior of deep beams such as clear span/depth ratio ( $L_n/d$ ), shear span/depth ratio (a/d), the position of the load, type of loading, tensile steel percentage, web steel bars, the support zone width, main steel bars anchorage, concrete compressive strength and additives like fibers, waste plastic .... etc.., (Subedi, et al., 1986).

Deep beams failure can be summarized as follows: (ACI-ASCE Task Committee 426, 1973):

1. **Flexural failure:** when the beam has a large a/h ratio with low tensile steel percentage, it will fail by steel reinforcement yielding at a maximum moment region as shown in Figure (1-1).



Figure (1-1): Flexural failure of deep beam

2. Flexural-shear failure: when there is an enough amount of tension reinforcement and the improvement of the inclined diagonal cracks are headed by flexural cracks at the maximum moment zone, the main cracks will produce the failure. Cracks that cause the failure will spread upwards

beginning from the zone of support to the zone of load as shown in Figure (1-2).



Figure (1-2): Flexural-shear failure of deep beam

3. **Diagonal splitting failure:** when the final diagonal crack extends between the support and the load and it propagates outwards from the midspan, diagonal splitting failure will occur as shown in Figure (1-3).



Figure (1-3): Diagonal splitting failure of deep beam (Kong, at el., 1970)

4. **Diagonal compression failure:** First, an inclined crack develops about the line joining the support and the load. After an additional load increase, another parallel inclined crack develops nearer to the supporting point than the first inclined crack and develops upwards as increasing of the load takes place. The final failure is due to the demolition of the part of concrete between the first and second cracks that makes like a strut between the support and the load points as shown in Figure (1-4).



- 5. **Bearing failure:** due to the increase of high stresses in the loaded areas or above the supports regions, this failure occurs; see crack No.1 in Figure (1-5).
- 6. Bond failure (Anchorage failure): it takes place about the beam ends, where high flexural bond stresses can combine with high local bond stresses as shown by crack No.2 in Figure (1-5). To avoid bond failures, the longitudinal reinforcement may be anchored by a plate or through the embedment of straight bars, headed bars, or hooked bars ACI 318M-14 (R23.2.6). A standard hook can be used, as defined by ACI 318M-14 (25.3.1), contains a bend of 90-degree with 12 times the diameter of the bar behind the bend as extension. The hook must be positioned at that point where the bars are fully developed. Strut and Tie Model (STM) states that the longitudinal tension reinforcement of the tie could be fully developed at compression-compression-tension (CCT) vertical face at every support node. Bearing and anchorage failure in deep beam is shown in Figure (1-5).



Figure (1-5): Bearing and Anchorage failures in deep beam

### **1.4 Crack Types in RC Deep Beams**

Figure (1-6) shows types of cracks in RC deep beam. Flexural cracks propagates from the deep beam soffit. In addition, there are two types of shear cracks that are known in RC deep beams; web-shear cracks and flexure-shear cracks (MacGregor and Wight, 2005). Flexure-shear cracks appear after or at the same time of the flexural crack formation. They develop from the end of the flexural crack towards the load origin. Web-shear cracks appear separately of flexural cracking. They appear when the principal stress of tension in the member web becomes more than the concrete tensile strength. Web-shear cracks in deep beams are indicated as splitting or bursting cracks. Generally, web-shear cracks are formed by transverse tensile stresses that take place due to the distribution of compressive stresses that exist in the bottle-shaped struts. It is apparent that the spreading of compressive stresses in deep beams contributes to the width of flexure-shear cracks as well.

It is worth mentioning that the steel stress, concrete cover, and bar spacing are major variables affecting the flexural crack width (Nawy, 1991). In addition, several primary variables affecting the diagonal crack width are transverse reinforcement, (a/d) ratio, longitudinal reinforcement, concrete cover (Birrcher, et al., 2009).



Figure (1-6): Types of cracks in reinforced concrete deep beam (MacGregor and Wight, 2005)

### 1.5 Using Strut and Tie Model for Deep Beams

The Strut-and-Tie Model (STM) shown in Figure (1-7), is especially suitable in the strength estimate of discontinuity regions. The flow of forces can be easily imagined by classifying the discontinuity regions with compressive struts representing the flow of concentrated compressive stresses in the concrete. While the tension ties are representing the reinforcing steel (Hwang and Lee, 2002).

The use of STM involves getting far from the traditional approach of design. While engineers must stand by a basic set of guidelines in choosing the configuration of STM, they are allowed to choose any model considered suitable for a particular problem. The actual choice of STM is proposed to represent the path followed by internal forces inside the structural element. The flexibility afforded by this method allows the development of multiple solutions for the same problem. For the reason that numerous aspects affect the reinforcement quantity and distribution in deep beams, understanding their behavior is necessary to the solution of strut and tie models that lead to rational designs (Matamoros and Wong, 2003).



Figure (1-7): STM in deep beams (a) (Brown, et al., 2006), (b) (El-Sayed, 2014)

#### 1.6 Objectives of the Present Work

The main objectives of this study are investigating STM performance when reinforcing the compressive struts by steel bars. This could help to remove the zones that STM does not care about (the zones where the struts and ties do not pass through). In other words, the work takes into considerations the paths of struts and reinforcing them according to ACI 318M-14. Therefore, this investigation is divided into two phases:

**a. Experimental Work Phase**: The experimental work is carried out to investigate the behavior of SCC deep beams when reinforcing their compressive struts. This was conducted under three types of loading:

- Single concentrated load,
- Two concentrated loads, and
- Uniformly distributed load.

**b.** Numerical Analysis Phase: The numerical analyses are carried out using (ANSYS 13) FE program to validate the experimental work, then to study the effect of the compressive strength of concrete  $(f'_c)$  and yield stress of steel reinforcement  $(f_y)$  on the ultimate capacity, crack pattern and load-midspan deflection response for the tested SCC specimens.

#### **1.7 Thesis Layout**

The thesis is offered in six chapters:

- Chapter One presents a general introduction about RC deep beams, SCC, STM, in addition to the objectives of the study.
- Chapter Two presents a review of previous researches with experimental studies carried out in SCC deep beams and STM.
- Chapter Three deals with the used construction materials properties in addition to the experimental work.
- Chapter Four deals with presenting test results of the laboratory specimens, discussing and evaluating the experimental results of this study.
- Chapter Five presents the modeling of the experimental specimens by finite element as well as conducting a parametric study for the parameters that affect the ultimate capacity and the deflection.
- Chapter Six provides the conclusions drawn from this study, recommendations and suggestions for further studies.