

**.1 Zea mays L.**

**	*			
Alziz_en.yahoo.com .	-	-	-	*
.	-	-	-	**
/				
			2011	
			( 5012 )	
1- . Mn	50	25	0	
			( Mn % 13 )	
( B%17.4 )			1- .B	4 2 0
			%75	50 25
				80 60 40
			1000	
	1000		( ( 1- .Mn	50 + W3 )
	% 56.38	% 56.38	%18.10	
7.09	1000		( ( 1- .B	4 + W 3 )
	( 1- .B	4 + 1- .Mn	50 + W3 )	%
	% 71.80	% 26.90	1000	

. 2012 / 4 / 28

. 2012 / 8 / 14

- ( 2011 ) Turner )  
( 2009 )  
( 2005 ,Tisdale )  
( 1988 )  
( 2006 )  
( 2001 )  
( 2002 )  
Mn  
Verma )  
( 2010 Verma )  
( 2002 )  
( 2011 ) Moeinian )  
( 2009 )  
(Sink) (Source)  
( 1988 )  
( 5012 )

( 2011 ) /  
( 1 ) Typic Torrifluent . (1982 )Page

1- . Mn ( 50 25 0 ) .1  
( Mn50 Mn25 Mn0 ) ( Mn % 13 )

1- . B ( 4 2 0 ) .2  
( B4 B2 B0 ) ( B % 17.4 )  
( 80 60 40 )

( %75 50 25 ) .3  
( W3 W2 W1 )

0.75 2 4 ( 81 ) .  
0.5

1- . P 60 ( N %46 ) 1- . N 200 45  
( K %43 ) 1- . 160  
( 2008 ) ( 75 45 )  
( 5 ) 2011/ /19 ( 5012 ) ( Zea May L. )  
( 10 ) ( 20 )

( Sesamia cretica )  
1000

Schaffelen ) 65  
( 1960 Vanschouwen bury  
( 1965 ) Pierne ( WUE )  
dry weight production (D.M.)  
WUE= -----  
evapotranspiration ( E.T.)

**.1**

3.7	1-
1.04	1-
63	1-
286	1-
10.4	1-
2.94	dS.m <sup>-1</sup>

7.6	pH	
42		
1.45	3	
23.75	%25	%
19.5	%50	%
15.25	%75	%
Siltyclay	:	
519.2	g.kg <sup>-1</sup>	
438.2	g.kg <sup>-1</sup>	
42.6	g.kg <sup>-1</sup>	
28	%	
11	%	
17	%	

**1000**

1000

( 2 )

%9.27 %14.18

(2002 )

( 1988 )

1000

%3.41 %4.79

( 2002 ) ( )

1000

1000

1000	231.99	( W1 )	
	%27.61	( W3 )	181.79
			(2011)
			.
(B 4 + Mn 50 )		240.24	1000
			201.93
			%18.98
		.	1000
		.	(2009 )
(W3 + Mn 50)			(W3 + Mn 0)
	.% 18.10		
+B 4)		1000	(W3
	. % 7.09	(W3 +B 0)	
	1000		
			(W3 + Mn50+B 4)
	% 26.90		(W3 + Mn0+B 0)

1000

. 2

. ( )

المتوسط	Mn50			Mn 25			Mn 0			رتب رتوية
	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	
231.99	246.14	243.85	242.61	239.0	232.6	226.12	223.69	217.24	216.7	W1
221.48	240.95	237.67	231.56	227.21	218.49	211.35	214.24	206.90	205.0	W2
181.79	233.63	225.61	217.44	218.14	208.61	195.44	197.16	189.65	184.1	W3
	240.24	235.71	230.53	228.11	219.9	210.97	211.58	204.59	201.9	
	235.50			219.66			206.04			
	Mn=1.749 B=1.759		W=1.749 Mn*B=10.741		W*Mn=6.1081		L.S.D			0.05
	W*B=12.709 Mn*B*W=5.248									

( 3 )

( % 20.58 % 49.10 )

%20.65 %7. 18

( 2005)Sujatha

Tryptophan

. ( 2009 )

)

. ( 2010 Samina Bano

5.73

1- . 3.34 ( Mn50+ B4) 1- .

1- . 4.99 ( Mn50 + W3)

8.01<sup>1-</sup> . 2.27 ( Mn50 + B4 + W1) 1- . ( Mn0 + B0 +W3)  
 3.34 ( Mn0 + W3)

1000

Hamayune )

. ( 2010

.3

.(1 - . )

	Mn50			Mn 25			Mn 0			رتن رطوبة
	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	
6.15	8.01	7.62	7.00	6.19	5.85	5.66	5.54	4.89	4.56	W1
4.19	5.30	4.77	4.40	4.67	4.20	3.89	3.83	3.50	3.19	W2
2.72	3.90	3.83	3.55	3.70	3.26	2.86	2.67	2.35	2.27	W3
	5.73	5.41	4.99	4.85	4.44	4.13	4.03	3.58	3.34	
	5.37			4.48			3.64			
	Mn=0.8313 B=0.8313 W=0.83 Mn*B=1.7823 W*B=6.6507 W*Mn*B=2.494 W*Mn=1.2718									L.S.D 0.05

( Mn25)

%17.41 %14.49

Malic dehydrogenase

Co-Facter

Oxalalsuccinc decarboxylase

Hydroxylamine reductase

. ( 2008 )

( 4 )

( B 2)

%27.57 %22.09

( RNA)

. ( 2002 )

( )  
% 5.22

% 8.69

Zaidi)

.( 2004

( Mn0+ B4)

( Mn25 +B2 )

% 54.81

10.03

% 7.0 9

( <sup>1-</sup> . B 2+W3 ) %

.( B4+ W3 )

+ <sup>1-</sup> . B 2+W3 )

%12.13 ( <sup>1-</sup> .Mn 25

. %4.37

( W1 )

.4

. ( % )

	Mn50			Mn 25			Mn 0			
	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	
5.22	5.63	5.85	5.36	5.46	5.92	5.43	4.41	4.55	4.37	W1
7.41	6.68	7.50	6.31	7.43	9.93	7.00	6.56	8.46	6.87	W2
8.69	7.54	9.22	7.43	8.64	12.13	8.51	7.09	10.03	7.65	W3
	6.61	7.52	6.36	7.17	9.32	6.98	6.02	7.68	6.29	المتوسط
	6.83			7.82			6.66			
	Mn=0.9407 Mn*B=2.1034 B=0.940 W=0.9407 W*Mn=1.6824									L.S.D
	W*Mn*B=2.8222 W*B=1.5561									0.05

( 5 )

45.38

% 16.77

. %19.91



6.30 5.87 6.37  
 (Mn50 + B4) 1- 7.84  
 71.55 1- 4.57 %

( 2011 )

) ( W3+ Mn50 ) . % 55.28 ( W3+ Mn0

( W3+ Mn50 +B4 )  
 %71.80

( W3+ Mn0 +B0 )

.5  
 .( 1- . )

	M n 50			M n 25			M n 0			
	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	B4	B2	B 0	
6.37	8.31	7.91	7.26	6.42	6.07	5.87	5.75	5.07	4.73	W1
5.87	7.42	6.68	6.16	6.54	5.89	5.44	5.36	4.90	4.46	W2
6.30	7.80	7.66	7.10	7.40	6.52	5.72	5.34	4.70	4.54	W3
	7.84	7.41	6.48	6.78	6.16	5.67	5.48	4.89	4.57	
	7.24			6.20			4.98			
	Mn=0.68 Mn * B=1.0636 W=0.68 W*Mn=1.103 W*Mn*B=2.0399									L.S. D 0.05

- . 1988 .
- . 2009 .
- . 2002 .
- . 2002 .
- . 2008 .
- . 2009 .
- . 28- 1:(2) 40  
. 2002 .
- . 2008 .
- . 2011 .
- . 227-218 : ( 1 ) 3 .  
. 2001 .
- . 2006 .  
. 2011 .
- . 2009 .
- . 174-182 ( 2 ) ( 14 ) .  
. 2009 .
- . 90-79 :( 3 ) 9 .
- Bano ,A. and Y. Samina .2010 .Role of phytohormones under induced drought stress in wheat. *Pak.J.Bot* .,42(4):2579-2587.

- Hamayun , M., S. A. K. Khan, Z. K. Shinwari A. L. Khan, N. Ahmad and I. J. Lee . 2010 . Effect of polyethylene glycol induced drought stress on physio-hormonal attributes of soybean. *Pak. J. Bot.* , 42(2):977-986.
- Moeinian , R. Mohammad, Z. Kaveeh and H. Javad. 2011 .Effect of Boron Foliar Spraying Application on Quality characteristics and Growth Parameters of Wheat Grain under Drought stress. *American –Eurasian J.Agric. Environ.Sci.*10(4):593-599 .
- Page , A. I. , R. H. Miller and D. R. Keeney .1982. Methods of Soils Analysis Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties . Amer. Soc. Agron . Midison . Wiconsin . USA.
- Pirne , W.H., D.Kirkham , J. Pesek and R.Show ,(eds).1965.Plant environment and efficient water use ,Am.Soc.Agron Madison Wiconsin pp .177-273.
- Schaffelen, A.C.A. and J.C.H Van schauwenbury. 1960. Quick tests for soil and plant analysis used by small Laboratories. *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.* 9 : 2 – 16 .
- Sujatha , S. 2005 . Effect of Sources ,leves and methods of boron application on production , yield attributes and yield of maize ( *Zea Mays L.*) *Madras Agric.*, J.92(7-9) :479-483 .
- Tisdale ,S.L., J.L. Havlin, W.L. Nelson and J.D. Beaton.2005.Soil Frtility and Fertilizers .5<sup>th</sup> Editions .USA
- Turner , N. C., F. M. Li, Y. C. Xiong and K.H.M Siddique . 2011.Climate change and agricultural ecosystem management in dry areas. *Crop and Pasture Sci.* , 62:1-12.
- Verma , S. K. and M. Verma .2010 . Atext Book of Plant Physiology ,biochemistry and Biotechnology. S.Chand and Company Ltd. Ramangar , New Delhi .p.112.
- Zaidi , P. H. , G . Srinivasan , H. S. grdova and G.sanchez .2004 . Grain Fromim provement For mid season drought tolerance in tropical . maize. *Field . crop . Res .* 89 (1 ) : 35 - 152 .

## **ROLE OF LEAF NUTRITION BY MANGANES AND BORON IN WATER STRESSES FOR MAIZE ( *Zea mays* L.)**

### **1. Grain yield and water use efficiency.**

**Yousif M. Abu-dahi**

**Hussien Aziz Mohammad**

**\*Dept. of Soil and Water Sci. College of Agri. Univ. of Baghdad.**

#### **ABSTRACT**

This experiment was conducted in field of Diyala Agricultural director in Baquba in spring season 2011 to study the water stress by using foliar application concentrations of each boron and Manganese on weight of 1000seed, Grain yield, protein content and WUE.

The experiment was designed as RCBD with three concentration of spraying Manganes (0,25,50)mgMn.L<sup>-1</sup> added as Mn-EDTA(13%Mn) and used three concentration of spraying Boron (0,2,4) mgB.L<sup>-1</sup> used Boric acid (17.4%B) and three period of irrigation after(25,50,75%) of available water . Folair fertilizer were applied at three time during of plant growth, to use Maize cultivar 5012 . Results showed the following: weight of 1000seed, Grain yield, and WUE The increased with the increase in Manganese and Boron concentrations varieties differ significantly between Manganese levels and water stress levels in most of characters. weight of 1000seed increased with the interaction between water stress levels x Boron levels . Also the most of characters were significantly influenced by interaction between moisture levels x Manganese and boron concentrations . The use of nutrient application can improve plant performance under water stress and can be used as away to increase water use efficiency especially under water shortage conditions.

**Key words :** Mn-EDTA , Boric acid , WUE .