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#### Abstract

: In this paper, a modification to the basic steps of the bilinearization of evolution equations by Hirota's method is presented by writing the dependent variable $u(x, t)$ and some of its derivatives almost as Hirota polynomials. Then , a definition to modify Peterson definition for the class of KdV -type equations is presented in addition the general work is illustrated by applications to linear equations and to three classes of the nonlinear well-known equations . (1) Introduction :-

In this work a nonlinear partial differential equation and one of the most analytic methods for solving such types of equations is considered . The approach is called Hirota's method for solving equation which has soliton solution .The soliton was coined to describe a pulse like nonlinear wave which emerges from a collision with a similar pulse having unchanged shape and speed and it was found by Scott-Russell on 1834 [15] .The fundamental idea in Hirota's formalism is to use some dependent variable transformations to put the equation in a form where the unknown function appears bilinearly .In 1976 , the bilinear formalism was introduced by R.Hirota . Hirota's formalism is a very powerful method [14] of simplifying algebraic calculations but one would not do it justice by looking at it only as a purely formal tool for the manipulation of complicated expressions . It also gives a great deal of insight into many problems [14] The basic symbol D of the formalism is defined by :


 with this symbol, some nonlinear partial differential equations may be represented . The simplest way to represent an equation in this formalism is :

$$
P\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}, D_{\mathbf{y}}, D_{\mathfrak{t}}\right) f \cdot f=0
$$

where P is some polynomial that can always be taken to be even.
Expanding f in a formal power series in $\varepsilon$, substituting into the bilinear form and equating terms with the same power of $\varepsilon$, we obtain a set of linear equations which is to be solved successively and if the solution series terminates, we have an exact solution to the nonlinear partial differential equation.

The method has been successfully applied to find explicit solutions to many partial differential equations (PDES) see for instance [1] ,[2] ,[3] , [ 5] , [7] , [8] , [9] , [12] , [13] , [14] and [15].

In 1987 Hietarinta et.al . classified such bilinearizable equations into five groups : KdV, MKdV, NLS , SG and BO type equations . This classification is based on the different ways of how the corresponding equations are bi-linearized [7]. This classification, led P.Peterson very recently, to introduce what is called definition of the KdV-type equations [13] (An equation $K[u]=0$ is called the $K d V-$ type equation (in the Hirota sense ) iff there exist two nontrivial Hirota polynomials $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}(\mu, \nu, \omega)$ and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}(\mu, \nu, \omega)$ such that :
$P\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}\right)(f . f)=0 \Rightarrow K\left(f^{-2} N\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}\right)(f . f)\right)=0$
holds for all nontrivial positive functions $f=f(x)$. Equation $P\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}\right)(f . f)=0$ is called the Hirota bilinear form of the corresponding equation $\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{u}]=0$ ).

In this paper we try to analyse the steps of the bilinear formalism which is the main part of Hirota's method on KdV equation. This analysis leads us to reconstruct these steps in a different order so that the technique will be easier to apply and the number of steps will be reduced.

Moreover, we redefine P.Peterson definition of KdV-type equations in such a way that it will cover more equations. The new definition is quite practical since it is algorithmically straight-forward to find out if
a given nonlinear evolution equation of nth order is included in the class.

This paper consists of six parts .Part one is included the general idea of this work.

In part two is concentrated to the analysis of Hirota's bilinear formalism .

In part three a modification of statement and applicability of Peterson's definition is presented . In the same part , modified definition of KdV - type equation and implementation of the modified definition is presented too .

In part four and five an application of the modified definition if $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$ is presented . This application is applied to some linear partial differential equations and three classes of nonlinear partial differential equations, in each class the method is demonstrated to several known equations.
The last part is included the conclusions of this work .
Note: Through out the paper the symbols will used :
$\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{ix}}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{it}}\right), \mathrm{i}=2,3, \ldots$ to denote the partial ith derivative with respect to x ( or t).

## (2) Analysis of Hirota's bilinear formalism :-

For the KdV equation to be bilinearized we need to introduce a new dependent variable. In this case, the most suitable one is ColeHopf transformation $u=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \ln f(x, t)$. The reason will be soon clear in the following lemma:
Lemma (1) :
If $\quad u=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \ln \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}) \quad$, then
(I) $\frac{\partial^{\mathrm{n}} u}{\partial x^{\mathrm{n}}}=\left\{f p_{\mathrm{nx}}-(n+1) f_{\mathrm{x}} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} / f^{\mathrm{n}+\mathbf{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{p_{\mathrm{n}}}{f^{\mathrm{n}+1}}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{n}}=f p_{(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathbf{x}}-n f_{\mathrm{x}} p_{(\mathrm{n}-1)} \tag{1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=1,2,3$

$$
p_{0}=f_{x}
$$

(II)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left\{f q_{\mathrm{x}}-2 f_{\mathrm{x}} q\right\} / f^{\mathbf{3}} \tag{1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=f p_{\mathbf{o t}}-f_{\mathbf{t}} p_{\mathbf{o}}, \quad p_{\mathbf{o}}=f_{\mathbf{x}}$
Proof : ( by direct substitution ).
When substituting the Cole-Hopf transformation (1-1) which can be written as
$u(x, t)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \ln f(x, t)=\frac{D_{x}^{2}(f . f)}{f^{2}}$ in KdV equation
$K[u]=u_{3 x}+\alpha u u_{\mathbf{x}}+u_{\mathbf{t}}=0$
where $\alpha$ is " a constant", simplifying and using lemma (1) imply to : $K[u]=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{p_{3}}{f^{4}}\right)+\alpha\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{p_{0}}{f}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{f^{2}}\right)\right\}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{q}{f^{2}}\right)=0$

Integrating with respect to x , simplifying and substituting $\alpha=12$, imply to :
$\left\{f f_{4 \mathrm{x}}-4 f_{\mathrm{x}} f_{3 \mathrm{x}}+3 f_{2 \mathrm{x}}^{2}\right\} / f^{2}+\left\{f f_{\mathrm{xt}}-f_{\mathrm{x}} f_{\mathrm{t}}\right\} / f^{2}=0$
...(1-6)

One can see that equation (1-6) can be written in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}^{4}+D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathbf{t}}\right)(f . f)=0 \tag{1-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we conclude that the KdV equation with $\alpha=12$ satisfies Peterson's definition .
(3) Modification of (statement and applicability of Peterson's

## definition):-

The main drawback of Peterson's definition is that it excludes many equations known as KdV -equations. Moreover, when one try to use Peterson's definition to test a given equation two Hirota's polynomials N and P are needed .
In this section, we reconstruct Peterson's definition as follows :
(3-1) Modified definition of KdV-type equation :
The partial differential equation (PDE )
$K[u]=u_{\mathbf{t}}+h\left(u, u_{\mathbf{x}}, u_{\mathbf{2 x}}, \ldots\right)=0$
...(1-8a)
or
$K[u]=u_{2 t}+h\left(u, u_{x}, u_{2 x}, \ldots\right)=0$
...(1-8b)
where h is a polynomial , is called a KdV - type equation if there exist Hirota's polynomials
$\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}$ such that if $u=\frac{N}{f^{2}}$, then the equation (1-8) will be :
$f^{\mathbf{k}_{1}} P_{1}+f^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}} P_{2}+\ldots+f^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{L}}} P_{\mathrm{L}}=0$
for some nonnegative integers $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}$.
It is clear that the new definition is generalization to Peterson's definition.
This definition guarantees that all the equations which are called KdV equations, will be in the new class .
(3-2) Implementation of the modified definition :
To implement the definition here the same main steps will be used as in the previous section, but in a different order . Explaining most derivatives almost as Hirota's polynomials make the work more easier. To be applicable, the definition may be presented as an algorithm as follows:
Input : Hirota polynomial N.
Output: Hirota polynomials $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{\mathrm{L}}$.
(1) Integrate the $\operatorname{PDE}(1-8 \mathrm{a})$ once and the $\operatorname{PDE}(1-8 \mathrm{~b})$ twice with respect to x .
(2) Substitute the transformation $u=\frac{N}{f^{2}}$, and its derivatives as Hirota's polynomials in the integrated partial differential equation .
(3) Simplify the result in a way that it ( the PDE ) may pass the modified definition of KdV-type equations .
(4) Application of the modified definition if $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}$

In this case, first the following lemma is stated which represented the dependent variable $u(x, t)$ and some of its derivatives almost as Hirota polynomials :
Lemma (2) :- If $u(x, t)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \ln f(x, t)=\frac{D_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}(f . f)}{f^{2}}$
then :-
(I)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 \mathrm{x}}=\frac{D_{\mathrm{x}}^{4}(f \cdot f)}{f^{2}}-6 \frac{\left[D_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}(f \cdot f)\right]^{2}}{f^{4}} \tag{1-10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{4 \mathrm{x}}=\frac{D_{\mathrm{x}}^{6}(f \cdot f)}{f^{2}}-60 u^{3}-30 u u_{2 \mathrm{x}} \tag{1-10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(III)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}}(f \cdot f)}{f^{2}}\right) \tag{1-10c}
\end{equation*}
$$

(IV)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 \mathrm{t}}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}(f . f)}{f^{2}}\right) \tag{1-10d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof :- By differentiating, adding and subtractings terms .

Proposition: The linear PDES :
$u_{\mathrm{t}}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{3} \alpha_{\mathrm{n}} u_{(2 \mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{x}}$ and $u_{2 \mathrm{t}}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{3} \beta_{\mathrm{n}} u_{(2 \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{x}}$
where $\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}, \beta_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=1,2,3)$ are constants , are of KdV-type equations .
Proof : Straight forward
Examples : The linearized KdV equation $u_{\mathrm{t}}+u_{3 \mathrm{x}}=0$ and the wave equation $u_{2 t}-c^{2} u_{2 x}=0$ are of KdV-type equation.
the definition is applied to three classes of nonlinear partial differential equations :-
(A) Equations satisfying Peterson's definition , and of course they do satisfy the modified one, such as KdV ,

Sawada, Boussinesq and Kp equations
(B) Equations satisfying the modified definition only, namely MKdV, GKdV and Benjamin equations.
(C) Equations which do not satisfy any one of the definitions for example Burger, Fisher and Transonic
equations.
the definition will be demonstrated to KdV equation only :
(4-1) Class (A) :
(A1) : KdV-equations :-
In the following the algorithm of the modified definition is applied on KdV equation as an example :
$u_{3 x}+\alpha u u_{\mathrm{x}}+u_{\mathrm{t}}=0 \quad(\alpha \quad$ constant $)$
...(1-11)
Integrate the given equation (1-11) one time with respect to x , imply to
$u_{2 \mathrm{x}}+(\alpha / 2) u^{2}+\int u_{\mathrm{t}} d x=c(t)$
...(1-12)
where c is an arbitrary function of t and it was taken to be zero. Substituting the transformation
$u=\frac{D_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}{f^{2}}$
...(1-13)
using lemma (2), imply to :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{D_{\mathbf{x}}^{4}(f . f) / f^{2}-6\left[D_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}(f . f)\right]^{2} / f^{4}\right\}+(\alpha / 2)\left[D_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}(f . f)\right]^{2} / f^{4} \\
& +D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathbf{t}}(f . f) / f^{2}=0 \tag{1-14}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\alpha=12$ then (1-14) may be written in the form :
$\left\{\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}^{4}+D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}}\right)(f . f)\right\} / f^{2}=0$
...(1-15)
and so
$\left(D_{\mathrm{x}}^{4}+D_{\mathrm{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}}\right)(f . f)=0$
...(1-16)
which means that there exists a Hirota polynomial $P=D_{\mathbf{x}}^{4}+D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}}$ and so Peterson's definition is satisfied .
If $\alpha \neq 12$, imply to :
$\left\{\left(D_{\mathrm{x}}^{4}+D_{\mathrm{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}}\right)(f . f)\right\} / f^{2}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-6\right)\left[D_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}(f . f)\right]^{2} / f^{4}=0$
i.e. there exist two Hirota's polynomials $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ such that
$f^{2} P_{1}+P_{2}=0$
...(1-18)
This is, in fact a fourth order partial differential equation in $f$ which is more complicated than the original one, yet it may be solved if the two relations $\mathrm{P}_{1}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=0$ are compatible. In this case, a contradiction will appear since $\mathrm{P}_{2}=0$ implies $\mathrm{u}=0$, so perturbation technique must be used for equation (1-17) as follows :
It is obvious that $\mathrm{f}=1$ is a solution of the bilinear equation (1-17)
$f(x, t)=1+\varepsilon^{1} f^{(1)}(x, t)+\varepsilon^{2} f^{(2)}(x, t)+\ldots$
where $\varepsilon$ is our perturbation parameter.
Substitute (1-19) in (1-17), then equating the coefficients of powers of $\varepsilon$, imply to :
$\varepsilon^{1}:\left(D_{x}^{4}+D_{x} D_{t}\right)\left(1 . f^{(1)}+f^{(1)} .1\right)=0$
...(1-20)
and this equation is similar to one which is get by solving equation (116). So the same solution will be get
$u=\frac{1}{4} k^{2} \sec h^{2}(\theta / 2)$

## (6) Conclusions:

The effects of the modification definition implies that the class of KdV -type equations becomes wide and includes more KdV equations for instance the closely related equation MKdV and every KdV equation in the literature.In this paper we concentrated on the transformation $u=\frac{D_{x}^{2}}{f^{2}}$, one can see that other transformation which
is of aquadratic type may be used. In general if

$$
N=a_{1} D_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}+a_{\mathbf{2}} D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathbf{t}}+a_{3} D_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}+b_{1} D_{\mathbf{x}}+b_{2} D_{\mathrm{t}}+b_{\mathbf{3}}
$$

and N is assumed to be Hirota's polynomial , hence
$N(0,0)=b_{\mathbf{3}}=0$ and $\quad N\left(-D_{\mathbf{x}},-D_{\mathbf{t}}\right)=N\left(D_{\mathbf{x}}, D_{\mathbf{t}}\right)$
which implies $\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{2}=0$.
if $u=a_{1} D_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}+a_{2} D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}}+a_{3} D_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} / f^{2}$
then
$u_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\{\left[a_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{x}}}{f}\right)+a_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{t}}}{f}\right)+a_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{t}}}{f}\right)\right] / f^{2}\right\}$
Clearly, the third term in $u_{t}$ expression can not be integrated with respect to x . Hence $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ must be equal to zero, and $u$ should be of the form :
$u=a_{1} D_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}+a_{2} D_{\mathbf{x}} D_{\mathrm{t}} / f^{2}$
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