

**Ministry of Higher Education
and Scientific Research
University of Diyala
College of Engineering**



Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential of Diyala Soil Under Seismic Load Using SPT Data

A Thesis Submitted to the Council of the College of Engineering / University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

BY

Jubran Raad Fahad

Supervised by

Assist. Prof. Hassan Obaid Abbas (Ph.D.)

**January 2022 A.D.
A.H.**

IRAQ

Jamadi Al'uwlaa 1443

CERTIFICATION OF THE SUPERVISOR

I witness this thesis titled “**Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential of Diyala Soil Under Seismic Load Using SPT Datd**” was prepared by “**Jubran Raad Fahad**” was made under my supervision in the University of Diyala in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of master of science in civil engineering.

Signature:

Name: Assist. Prof. Hassan Obaid Abbas (Ph.D.)

(Supervisor)

Date: / / 2022

COMMITTEE DECISION

We certify that we have read the thesis entitled (**Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential of Diyala Soil Under Seismic Load Using SPT Data**). We have examined the student (**Jubran Raad Fahad**) in its content and what is related with it, and in our opinion, it is adequate as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Examination Committee	Signature
1- Assist. Prof. Dr. Hassan O. Abbas	(Supervisor)
2- Assist. Prof. Dr. Waad A. Zakaria	(Member)
3- Prof. Dr. Jasim M. Abbas	(Member)
4- Prof. Dr. Mohammed A. AlNeami	(Chairman)
Prof. Dr. Wissam D. Salman (Head of Department)	

The thesis was ratified at the Council of College of Engineering/ University of Diyala.

Signature.....

Name: Prof. Anees Abdullah Khadom (Ph.D.)

Dean of College of Engineering / University of Diyala

Date:

SCIENTIFIC CERTIFICATION

I witness this thesis titled “**Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential of Diyala Soil Under Seismic Load Using SPT Data**” presented by “**Jubran Raad Fahad**” has been evaluated scientifically; therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

Signature:

Name:

Title:

Date:

LINGUISTIC CERTIFICATION

I witness this thesis titled “**Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential of Diyala Soil Under Seismic Load Using SPT Data**” presented by “**Jubran Raad Fahad**” has been corrected linguistically; therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

Signature:

Name:

Title:

Address:

Date:

Dedication

To.....

The late my dear father (may Allah have mercy on him), who supported me morally

My dear mother, the light of my eyes

My brother and sisters who spared no effort in supporting me

My wife who stood by me through thick and thin

My children, to the souls of my liver

Our honorable teachers who taught and rewarded us their knowledge. Everyone, who wishes me success in my life,

I dedicate this humble work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are to Allah for all things which led me into the light during the critical time.

I extend my thanks and gratitude in particular to my supervisor Assist. Prof. Hassan Obaid Abbas (Ph.D) for his supervision and his valuable guidance and assistance throughout conducting this work.

Appreciation and thanks to the Dean and the staff at the College of Engineering, University of Diyala and also the staff at Department of Civil Engineering, and the staff at Soil Mechanics Laboratory.

Thanks are also due to all my friends, for their kindest help.

Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential of Diyala Soil Under Seismic Load Using SPT Data

By

Jubran Raad Fahad

Supervisor

Assist. Prof. Dr. Hassan O. Abbas, (Ph.D.)

ABSTRACT

In general soil liquefaction is the transition of soil from a solid state to a fluid state as a result of increasing the pore water pressure and reducing the effective stress when the soil is subjected to periodic loads. Standard penetration testing is one of the on-site methods used to find the geotechnical engineering properties of the soil and used for determining factor of safety and liquefaction potential index. The soil liquefaction is one of the main causes of loss of life and major damage to residential structures and water line systems. The purpose of this study is to determine the probability of liquefaction resulting from earthquakes in the soil of Diyala Governorate based on the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). The case study selected is Diyala Governorate, which is one of the governorates of Iraq and is located in the eastern part of it and near the confluence of the Arabian and Iranian plates. The factor of safety of Diyala Governorate soil was determined using four world-famous methods which are (Japanese, Workshop NCEER 1997, Vancouver 2007, Boulanger and Idriss 2014) and then compared. The method of

Boulanger and Idriss 2014 was chosen as the most appropriate method for determining the liquefaction of Diyala soil.

The second part of this study is investigating the soil properties of 265 boreholes over most of the study area. Liquefaction potential index is determined for these boreholes and using the map program (ArcMap(GIS) 10.3) to draw eight maps for eight different seismic values (4 , 4.5 , 5 , 5.5 , 6 , 6.5 , 7 , 7.5). These maps show that the places in which liquefaction is likely to occur or not. It was found from the collected data that most of Diyala soil is clay, meaning that liquefaction does not occur, but some areas in which sandy soil exists with different proportions in upper layer have ability to liquefaction, such as some region in Mandali city.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	VII
CONTENTS	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
LIST OF TABLES	XIV
LIST OF SYMPOLS	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XVII
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Soil Liquefaction	2
1.3 Objectives of the Study.....	3
1.4 Thesis Layout	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITRATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Liquefaction and It's Significance	6
2.3 Liquefaction Mechanism.....	8
2.3.1 Liquefaction Caused by the Pressure of Seepage (Sand Boil)	10
2.3.2 Liquefaction Caused Monotonous Loading or Shearing (Flow Slide)	10
2.3.3 Liquefaction Caused by Cyclic Loading or Shearing (Cyclic Mobility)	11
2.4 Main Factors that are Governing Liquefaction.....	12

2.5 Assessment of Liquefaction Susceptibility	17
2.5.1 Evaluation Based on Soil Properties	17
2.5.1.1 Historical Criteria	17
2.5.1.2 Geological Criteria	17
2.5.1.3 Compositional Criteria	18
2.5.1.4 State Criteria	20
2.5.2 Evaluation Based on Laboratory Tests.....	20
2.5.3 The assessment of Liquefaction Based on in Situ Tests	20
2.5.3.1 Evaluation Based on Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N-Value	21
2.5.3.2 Evaluation Based on Cone Penetration Test (CPT)	22
2.5.3.3 Evaluation Based on Becker Penetration Test (BPT)	23
2.5.3.4 Evaluation Based on Shear Wave Velocity.....	25
2.6 Liquefaction Evaluation Methods Using Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	26
2.6.1 Japanese Bridge Code (M1) Method.....	27
2.6.2 National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) 1997 (M2) Method.....	28
2.6.3 Vancouver 2007 (M3) Method.....	31
2.6.4 Boulanger and Idriss 2014 (M4) Method	34
2.7 Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential Index (LPI).....	37
2.8 Previous Studies on Liquefaction Potential	39
CHAPTER THREE	43
3.1 Introduction.....	43
3.2 Case Study Area.....	43
3.3 The Natural Characteristics of the Study Area	44
3.3.1 Landforms.....	44
3.3.2 The Soil.....	45
3.4 Seismotectonics Setting	46
3.5 Faults.....	48
3.6 Seismic History.....	49

3.7 Geology of Diyala Province.....	52
3.8 Methodology.....	54
3.9 ArcMap (GIS) 10.3 Program.....	56
CHAPTER FOUR	60
4.1 Introduction.....	60
4.2 Analysis of Data	60
4.2.1 The Suitable Method Choice	65
4.2.2 Determination the Factor of Safety	66
4.2.2.1 Baaqubah District	67
4.2.2.2 Al-khalis District	67
4.2.2.3 Al-Muqdadiya District.....	67
4.2.2.4 Baladrooz District.....	68
4.2.2.5 Khanaqen District.....	68
4.3 Determination of the Liquefaction Potential Index (LPI)	69
4.4 Liquefaction Hazard Maps	71
CHAPTER FIVE	80
5.1 Conclusions.....	80
5.2 Recommendations.....	81
REFERENCES	83
APPENDIX	

LIST OF FIGURES

Nu	Title	Page
(1.1)	Liquefaction of flat areas. Condominium Brisas del Sol and railway near Concepción	2
(2.1)	Severe collapse of buildings near Minjiang River.....	6
(2.2)	Schematic illustration of liquefaction mechanism (a) loose sand particles be-low the ground water level Prior to the earthquake, (b) illustrates the state of loose saturated sand particle while experiencing earthquake shaking, (c) excess pore water pressure will be gradually reduced and particles being deposited	8
(2.3)	A view of a sand boil near Ranbir village, near Chobari. Sand and water were spouted through the circular vent.....	9
(2.4)	Step-like extension cracks formed due to lateral spreading near the village of Podharmora. The irrigation pipe has been shifted sideways by 1.3 meters in this field.....	10
(2.5)	Road collapse caused by earthquake.....	11
(2.6)	Schematic Diagram of Backer Sampling Operation.....	23
(2.7)	Minimum Values for $K\sigma$, Recommended for Clean and Silty Sands and Gravels	29
(2.8)	Magnitude Scaling Factors Derived by Various Investigators	31
(2.9)	Base Curve Recommended to Calculation of (CRR)₁ from SPT Data	32
(2.10)	Flowchart of Boulanger and Idriss method	35
(3.1)	The geographical location of the study area (Diyala) ..	41
(3.2)	Geological map of northern Iraq, shown 1, 2, and 3 are thrust zone, folded zone, and unfolded zone, respectively	44
(3.3)	Faults in the Diyala Governorate and the surrounding areas	45
(3.4)	Seismicity in the study area based on the catalog of the Iranian Seismologi-cal Center (IRSC) from January 2006 to October 2014	46
	Graphic description of the six swarms of Diyala dur-	

(3.5)	ing 10 years (2004–2014), Red column depicts the day of main shock	48
	Geological map of the study area	48
(3.6)	The distribution of borehole places in Diyala Governorate	50
(3.7)	The values of Peak ground acceleration (PGA) for the probability of an earthquake that exceeds 2% for a period of 50 to Iraq	51
(3.8)	52
(3.9)	The layers that used in GIS program	55
(3.10)	Used the layers (Diyala map, cities and boreholes locations) to reduce map in GIS program	55
(3.11)	Types of methods of interpolation that used in GIS program	56
(4.1)	Charts of variation of safety factor with depth for different methods of boreholes in (a) Khalis-Otba, (b) Khalis-Naseer bin Aasem, (c) Baladrooz-Qazanya, and (d) Muqdadiya-Ohud	59
(4.2)	Charts of variation of safety factor with depth for different methods of boreholes in (a) Baaqubah-Tahreer, (b) Baaqubah-Hawraa, (c) Kanaan-Rafiae, and (d) Kanaan-Jaber bin Hayan	60
(4.3)	Charts of variation of safety factor with depth for different methods of boreholes in (a) Imam Wase-Khurтом, (b) Muqdadiya-Alnel, (c) Baladrooz-Alfaoz, and (d) Khanaqen-Sefen	61
(4.4)	Charts of variation of safety factor with depth for different methods of boreholes in (a) Muqdadiya-Shuaraa, and (b) Muqdadya-Amal	62
(4.5)	Factor of safety variation with depth shows that the safety factor is affected by the (a_max) factor more than the earthquake magnitude (M)	69
(4.6)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=4)	70
(4.7)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=4.5)	71
(4.8)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=5)	71
(4.9)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=5.5)	72

(4.10)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=6)	72
(4.11)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=6.5)	73
(4.12)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=7)	73
(4.13)	The values of LPI in map of Diyala Governorate for the magnitude of earthquake (M=7.5)	74

LIST OF TABLES

Num.		Page
2.1	Liquefaability Based on Geomorphological Units ..	17
2.2	Liquefiable Soil Characteristics	18
2.3	The Parameters that Used in Calculation Methods .	25
2.4	LPI Threshold with Expected Damage	37
(3.1)	Main Shocks of Six Swarms at Diyala During 10 Years (2004-2014) Taken From Various Sources ...	49
(3.2)	Statistical Descriptions of Six Swarms of Diyala During 10 Years (2004-2014)	49
(4.1)	Averages of Liquefaction Values for The Disticts of Diyala Governorate With The Values of Depth Rates, (amax), and (M)	67
(4.2)	Liquefaction Values That Represent Maps of Def- ferent Areas in Diyala Governorate and for Differ- ent Earthquake Values	74

LIST OF SYMBOLS

SYMBOLS	Term
C_B	Correction factor of nonstandard borehole diameters
C_E	Correction factor of hammer energy
C_N	Correction factor of overburden pressure
C_R	Correction factor of rod length
C_S	Correction factor of split spoons
D_{50}	Mean grain size
D_r	Relative density
G_s	Specific gravity
H_i	Thickness of layers
K_α	Correction factor for ground slope
K_σ	Correction factor for effective overburden stress
P_a	Atmospheric pressure
W_i	Weighting factor
a_{max}	Peak surface acceleration
r_d	Stiffness reduction coefficient factor
σ'_v	Effective overburden pressure
σ_v	Total overburden pressure
CRR	Cyclic Resistance Ratio
CSR	Cyclic Stress Ratio
Cu	Uniformity coefficient
d	Diameter
e	Void ratio
FC	Fine content

FS	Factor of Safety
g	Acceleration of gravity
Y_{dry}	Dry unit weight
Y_{sat}	Saturated unit weight
I_p	Plasticity index
LL	Liquid Limit
LPI	Liquefaction Potential Index
M	Earthquake Magnitude
MSF	Magnitude Scaling Factor
N	SPT blow Count
N_b	BPT N
N_s	SPT N
V_s	Shear Wave Velocity
W.T	Ground Water Table
Z	Depth
ω	Moisture content

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Term
ASTMD	American Society for Testing and Materials for laboratory Tests
BPT	Baker Penetration Test
CPT	Cone Penetration Test
CVR	Critical Void Ratio
EMSC	(European Mediterranean Seismological Centre)
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighting
IRIS	(Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology)
IRSC	(Iranian Seismological Center)
NCEER	National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research
NPR	
SPT	Nationale Praktijk Richtlijn
USCS	Standard Penetration Test (Unified Soil Classification System)

**CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General

Liquefaction is the loss of strength and stiffness of a saturated soil as a result of seismic shaking or quick loading. Other factors, such as static loading, blasting, pile driving, tide fluctuations, and vibration of the machine can trigger soil liquefaction in addition to earthquakes. During the past earthquakes around the world, liquefaction and related phenomena caused massive damage to infrastructure. During an earthquake, cyclic shear strain in a deposits of saturated bulk sand causes pore-water pressure to gradually build up. Liquefaction is expected to occur when the pore-water pressure reaches the initial confining pressure or a five percent double amplitude strain is achieved (Ishihara, 1993).

Liquefaction became a major issue when began to disrupt human and social activities by interfering with the operation of infrastructure as illustrated in Figure (1.1), as well as following fast urbanization caused by the expansion of cities on reclaimed lands. Liquefaction induced ground failures have been a major source of damage in previous earthquakes. Bridges, buildings, subterranean pipes, and lifeline utilities, among other things, are all affected by liquefaction in various ways. The term has been mentioned "spontaneous liquefaction" by (Terzaghi & Peck, 1948) to explain how land-slides were triggered by the abrupt loss to the strength in loose and saturated sand. Later, during the Niigata (1964), Alaska (1964), Loma Prieta (1989), Kobe (1995), and Chi-Chi (1999) earthquakes, it was thought to be the main source of severe damage as well as the failure of the San Fernando Dam (1971). It's crucial to remember that liquefaction isn't always caused by earthquakes. During the recent decade, liquefaction incidents in loosely sand in old open pit mines have grown in Germany. Because of the catastrophic liquefaction potential and its associated phenomena,

to understand the behavior of undrained for soils under cyclic and static loads, a lot of research has been done, as well as to develop design and prediction methodologies. Significant studies have been done to identify soils that are prone to liquefaction using correlations obtained from field testing like CPT or SPT tests (Robertson et al., 1994; Youd & Idriss, 2001; Robertson, 2015).



Figure 1-1 Liquefaction of flat areas. Condominium Brisas del Sol and railway near Concepción (Verdugo, 2011)

1.2 Soil Liquefaction

Soil liquefaction caused by earthquakes is a one of the source of death and damage to infrastructure and lifeline systems, among other things. Numerous field and laboratory investigations have revealed that soil liquefaction is a catastrophic failure phenomenon in which saturated soil loses its strength and failed soils acquire sufficient mobility to allow movement from meters to kilometers. Soil liquefaction can result in sand boils, substantial landslides, surface settlement, lateral spreading, lateral displacement of bridge supports, building

settling and tilting, and failure of waterfront structures, among other things (Das & Muduli, 2011).

Flow liquefaction and cyclic liquefaction are two different types of soil liquefaction. When the shear stress necessary for the static equilibrium of the soil is greater than the shear strength of the soil in its liquid form, flow liquefaction can occur. Even if the static shear force is smaller than the liquefied soil's shear strength, cyclic liquefaction occurs. Both static and cyclic shear stress induce the deformations in this case. During earthquake shaking, deformations usually happen in stages. During an earthquake, it can cause a significant permanent deformity. In comparison to flow liquefaction, cyclic liquefaction occurs under a significantly broader range of soil and site conditions. However, its impact can range from negligible to disastrous. The boundary curve produces liquefaction resistance of a soil for a particular soil resistance index, such as the corrected SPT blow count, which is commonly expressed as the cycle resistance ratio (CRR). The liquefaction potential of a soil is evaluated in terms of a factor of safety (FS), which is defined as the ratio of cyclic resistance ratio (CRR) to cyclic stress ratio (CSR), under a particular seismic loading, which is commonly stated as the cyclic stress ratio (CSR). The way of expressing the liquefaction potential of soil in terms of (FS) is known as a deterministic method, and it is widely used by geotechnical specialists due to its ease of application (Muduli, 2013).

1.3 Objectives of the Study

Soil liquefaction behaviors depend on several factors, and intended assessment methods based on case history and laboratory experiences for any given area may not be satisfied with other parts of the world. The objective of the present research is to evaluate the liquefaction potential of soil using Boulanger and Idriss 2014 method based on SPT test data.

The objectives of this study are.

1. Evaluation in the risk for soil liquefaction in Diyala Province.
2. Creating tables showing the risks of the liquefaction.
3. To assess the risk of liquefaction and create liquefaction hazard maps.
4. Comparison between several methods and choosing the appropriate method to calculate the liquefaction potential of soil in Diyala Province.
5. Reducing cost in the liquefaction accounts for future projects by referring to the tables and maps that were found, and knowing whether the soil of the site to be built on is liquefiable or not.

1.4 Thesis Layout

This thesis consists of five chapters and the chapters have been organized in following order:

Chapter 1 presents a brief introduction, the soil liquefaction, the objectives of the research, and thesis layout which sets the for the entire thesis.

In Chapter 2, a comprehensive overview of the literature on liquefaction susceptibility analysis is offered. This chapter discusses the different approaches to liquefaction effects analysis, in-situ test based methodologies to assess liquefaction susceptibility, analysis methods, and analysis tools. Liquefaction related damages and the methods for determining the liquefaction potential were also discussed.

The third chapter discusses the geological characteristics of Diyala Province.

Chapter four explains the calculations and the results obtained, which is choosing the appropriate method for determining the liquefaction, finding the

safety factor with depth, finding the LPI, and finding maps of Diyala Province that show where the liquefaction occurs or not, and discussing the results.

In the chapter five finding points that clarify the accounts and points that are recommendations for future studies.