

Republic of Iraq
Ministry of Higher Education
and Scientific Research
University of Diyala
College of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Microbiology



Experimental Study of Wild and Domestic Pigeons with Local Isolate of Influenza Virus H9N2

A Thesis

Submitted to the College of Veterinary Medicine/
University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements of the Degree of Master of Science in
Veterinary Microbiology

BY

**Ahmed Raad Rashid
B.V.M.S.(2004)**

Supervised by

Prof.Dr. Karim Sadun Ali (Ph.D)

Asst. Prof.Dr. Amer Khazaal Saleh (Ph.D)

2020 A.D.

1441 A.H.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَقُلْ رَبِّ زِدْنِي

عِلْمًا

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمَ

{ سُورَةُ طه: الآية ١١٤ }

Dedication

*To...the soul of my father and soft
hearted mother*

To the symbol of faithfulness "my wife"

To My dear sisters.

With Love

Ahmed

Acknowledgments

All thanks and praise to Allah, the most Gracious the most merciful. I would like to express my appreciation to Deanery of the College of Veterinary Medicine University of Diyla for offering me the opportunity to complete my study.

I would like to thank my supervisors **Prof.Dr. Karim Sadun Ali (Ph.D) and Asst. Prof.Dr. Amer Khazaal Saleh(Ph.D)** because of their encouragement, guidance, unlimited help, support and endurance throughout the research.

I wish to express my sincere thanks my friends **Asst. Prof. Dr .Zahid Ismail , Dr Amer Al-Baldawi, Dr . Ghazwan Khedair , Dr. Aws Hussein , Dr. Noor Aldeen Diao , chemist Salah Noori Ibrahim** in support and help them to me during the research.

Ahmed

Declaration Form

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled “**Experimental Study of Wild and Domestic Pigeons with Local Isolate of Influenza virus H9N2** ” presented to the **College of Veterinary Medicine-University of Diyala in 2020**, is my original work, except for quotation and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at University of Diyala or other Universities.

Ahmed Raad Rashid

Date: / / 2020

Abstract

Abstract

Avian influenza (AI) is an infectious disease of poultry caused by Influenza A viruses (AIV) and it is classified into different antigenic subtypes according to their glycoproteins on the surface, the hemagglutinin (H) and neuraminidase (N) (Fouchier et. al., 2005). Avian influenza virus (AIV) are also classified, according to their pathogenicity to poultry, into highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses (HPAIV) and low pathogenic avian influenza viruses (LPAIV). (Spickler et al., 2008).

Low pathogenic avian influenza virus (LPAIV) H9N2 local isolates of pathogenic avian influenza were used in experiment infection of 50 domesticated (*Columba livia domestica*) and 50 feral pigeons of the same species. The aim of the experimental was to explore the susceptibility of pigeons to H9N2 infections and to study its histopathological effects in vaccinated and unvaccinated pigeons with H9N2 commercial vaccine. Furthermore, conventional and real time PCR were used to detect the experimental virus and performed through specific primers to H9N2 genome in fecal samples and tissues collected from infected pigeons.

The local isolate of H9N2 was propagated in allantoic cavity of 9-11 days hen's chick embryo. The virus gave a titer of 10^{2.4} HAU/ 0.1 ml of processed stock virus when titrated by hemagglutination test (HA). Furthermore, it was identified as H9N2 by hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test using locally prepared hyper immune serum. The antibody titer of such serum was appeared as 2048 HIU/0.1 ml of stock serum. The use of infectious dose 50 in embryonated eggs (EID₅₀), the virus gave a titer of 10^{10.5} EID₅₀ / 0.1 ml of propagated stock H9N2 virus. Furthermore, hyper immune serum to H9N2 was prepared locally in rabbits using the H9N2 commercial virus vaccine.

Abstract

Antibodies to avian influenza virus type A were estimated pre and post vaccination by the use of ELISA. Pigeons included in study were divided into 5 groups, A, B, C, D, and E. Groups A and C were 20 each of feral pigeons, B and D were 20 each of domesticated pigeons. Group E was of 20 (10 feral and 10 domesticated pigeons) used as unvaccinated control. Groups A and B were vaccinated with H9N2 and NDV LaSota commercial vaccines. Group C and D were vaccinated with NDV LaSota vaccine only. All groups except group E were challenged with local isolate of H9N2. The results showed low antibody titer to AIV in all groups in pre-vaccination that ranged between 152.83 ± 42.01 to 337.00 ± 150.76 with no significant differences between them. In post vaccination antibody evaluation, anti AIV antibodies showed significant titer in groups A and B (740.13 ± 214.38 and 673.00 ± 242.40) respectively in comparison to pre vaccination levels. Determination of HI antibodies 5th day post infection (PI) revealed different levels of HI antibodies ranged from 256 HIU in group A followed by 128 HIU in group B and 64 HIU in group C and D.

Clinical signs were appeared in the 5th day PI as mild to moderate respiratory signs, digestive disorders and conjunctivitis in some birds of all groups.

Using of conventional reverse transcriptase (RT-PCR) revealed the detection of H9N2 virus genome in coloacal and visceral tissue samples collected from infected birds at different times. The molecular weight of PCR resulted fragment appeared as 897 bp. The same results were confirmed by the use of most sensitive real-time one step (RT-qrPCR).

Histopathological changes in affected tissues appeared as moderate to severe multifocal necrosis diffused in the parenchymal cells of lung

Abstract

tissues. There was also infiltration with mononuclear inflammatory cells were detected in some lung tissue area associated with mild to severe inflammation. Necrotic foci and mononuclear infiltration were also observed in trachea and liver of infected pigeons but mild changes were observed in intestine.

The virus was re-isolated in embryonated hen's eggs inoculated with samples collected from tissues and cloaca of infected birds. The re-isolated virus was detected by HA and identified by HI using locally prepared hyperimmune serum to H9N2 in rabbits.

In final conclusions, H9N2 local isolate of influenza A virus had the ability to infect domesticated and feral pigeons causing mild to moderate respiratory and digestive clinical signs. Detection and re-isolation of the virus in fecal samples of infected pigeons might be facilitate the transmission of the influenza virus to other susceptible birds and help in emerging of new A influenza strain of such birds were suffered from mixed infection with other A influenza virus. Furthermore, commercial H9N2 vaccine did not prevent the infection but might reduce the severity. It was recommended to apply further epidemiological serological and molecular studies to detect most circulating A viruses among human and animals in Iraq, and to apply more and strict control programs to avoid or prevent the spread of such viruses.

Contents

Contents

Article No.	Subject	Page No.
	Summary	III
	List of content	IV
	List of figure	XI
	List of Tables	XV
	List of Abbreviation	XVII

CHAPTER ONE : Introduction

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Aims of study	4

CHAPTER TWO : Review of Litreatures

2	Review of Litreatures	6
2.1	Historical Background	6
2.2	Classification of Orthomyxoviruses	6
2.3	The Structure and Replication of Type(A) Avian Influenza Virus	7
2.4	Subtypes of Influenza A Virus	10
2.5	Serotype H9N2 Virus Characteristics	12
2.6	Avian Influenza (H9N2) Outbreak in Iraq	12
2.7	Pathogenicity of Avian Influenza (H9N2)	12
2.8	Epidemiology of Avian Influenza H9N2 Virus	14
2.9	Avian Influenza in Different Bird Species	15
2.9.1.	Pigeons and Doves	15
2.9.2.	Wild Birds	16

Contents

2.9.3.	Caged Pet Birds	17
2.9.4.	H9N2 in Laboratory Animals	17
2.10.	Transmission	18
2.11.	Clinical Signs	19
2.12.	Gross Lesion	19
2.13.	Microscopic Lesions	20
2.14.	Pathobiology of Low Pathogenic Avian Influenza	21
2.15.	Diagnosis of Avian Influenza	21
2.15.1.	Laboratory Diagnosis: The following Techniques are used for the Diagnosis of Avian Influenza:	22
2.15.1.1.	Serology	22
2.15.1.1.a	Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA)	23
2.15.1.1.b	Hemagglutination Inhibition test (HI)	23
2.15.1.2.	Polymerase Chain Reaction	34
2.16.	Immunity	25
2.16.1.	Innate Immunity	25
2.16.2.	Adaptive Immunity	26
2.16.2.1.	Humoral Immunity	27
2.16.2.2.	Cellular Immunity	27
2.17.	Vaccination	28
2.17.1.	Inactivated Oil Emulsion Vaccine	28
2.17.2.	Recombinant Vaccine	29
2.18.	Control	30

Contents

CHAPTER THREE : Materials and Methods

3.	Materials and Methods	32
3.1.	Study Design:	32
3.2.	Materials	32
3.2. 1.	Laboratory Equipment	32
3.2. 2.	Laboratory Kit	34
3.2. 2.1.	Laboratory Kits Contents	34
3.2.3.	Chemicals:	34
3.2.4.	Other materials:	35
3.2.5.	Materials used in PCR Test:	36
3.2.5.1.	Materials Used in Real time GoTaq ® 1- Step RT- qPCR	36
3.2.5.2.	Materials used in RNA Extraction	36
3.2.5.3.	Materials used in cDNA Synthesis Super Mix in Conventional PCR	37
3.2.5.4.	Materials used in 2X PCR Taq plus Master Mix	37
3.2.5.5.	Stock Virus	37
3.3.	Methods	38
3.3.1.	Preparing Chemical Solutions	38
3.3.1.1.	Phosphate Buffered Solution (PBS)	38
3.3.1.2.	Sterile Sand Preparation.	39
3.3.1.3.	Preparation of Antibiotic – Antimycotic and Anti PPLO	39
3.1.4.	Preparation of Sodium Citrate Solution	40
3.3.2.	Stock Virus Preparation	40

Contents

3.3.3	Propagation of the Virus in Eggs:	40
3.3.3.1	Preparation of Eggs for Inoculation	40
3.3.3.2.	Egg Candling	41
3.3.3.3.	Inoculation of the Virus in Allantoic Cavity	41
3.3.3.4.	Harvesting Allantoic Fluid	42
3.3.4.	Detection of Propagated Virus	42
3.3. 4.1.	Preparation of 5% Avian Red Blood Cells	43
3.3. 4.2.	Slide Agglutination Test	43
3.3. 5.	Titration of Propagated Virus	43
3.3. 5.1.	Haemagglutination Test	44
3.3. 5.2.	Interpretation Haemagglutination(HA) Results.	45
3.3. 6.	Preparation of H9N2 Antiserum.	45
3.3.7.	Titration of Hyperimmune Serum and Identification of the Virus	46
3.3.7.1.	Haemagglutination Inhibition Test (HI)	46
3.3.8.	Determination of Embryo Infective Dose (EID ₅₀) of virus	47
3.3.9.	Challenge of Pigeons	47
3.3.9.1.	Preparation and Grouping of pigeon's hall	47
3.3.9.2.	Measurement of pre-Vaccination Immunity to AIV	48
3.3.9.3.	Vaccination of Pigeons	49
3.3.9.4.	Determination of Post – Vaccination Antibodies	49
3.3.9.5.	Inoculation of Pigeons	49
3.3.9.6.	Collection of Samples from Infected Pigeons.	49

Contents

3.3.9.7.	Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction 1-step (RT-qPCR) and Conventional PCR.	51
3.3.9.7.1.	Sample Processing	41
3.3.9.7.2.	Viral RNA Extraction	52
3.3.9.7.3.	Oligonucleotide Primers Preparation	54
3.3.9.7.4.	Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction GoTaq® 1-step (RT-qPCR) Amplification of AIV.	54
3.3.9.8.	Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)	56
3.3.9.8.1.	Viral RNA Extraction	56
3.3.9.8.2.	Oligonucleotide Primers	56
3.3.9.8.3.	Primers Preparation	56
3.3.9.8.4.	cDNA Synthesis SuperMix	57
3.3.9.8.5.	PCR Amplification of H9 Gene (2X PCR Taq plus MasterMix)	57
3.3.9.8.6.	Electrophoresis of PCR Products	58
3.4.	distribution of the study subjects	58
3.5.	Statistical Analysis	60

CHAPTER FOUR: Results

4	Results	61
4.1.	Virus Detection, Identification and Titration by HA and HI Tests:	61
4.2.	Virus Titration in Embryonated Eggs	61
4.3.	Challenge of Pigeons	61
4.3.1.	Determination of Pre-Vaccination Anti AIV	61

Contents

	Antibodies	
4.3.2.	Determination of post-Vaccination Anti AIV Antibodies to H9N2	62
4.3.3.	Clinical Signs	63
4.4.	Post Challenge Antibody Immune Response	65
4.4.a.	Determination of HI Antibodies to H9N2	66
4.4.b.	Determination of Anti AIV antibodies by ELISA	66
4.5.	PCR Results of AIV	67
4.5.1.	Real Time PCR Results	67
4.5.2.	Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction RT- PCR Results	68
4.6.	Microscopic Histopathology	72
4.6.1	Pathological Changes in Trachea and Lung	72
4-6-2.	Pathological Changes of Liver and Intestine	77

CHAPTER Five: Discussion

5.	Discussion	79
5.1.	Isolation and Identification of Virus	79
5.2.	Death rate	80
5.3.	Clinical Signs between Groups	80
5.4.	Distribution of IgG by study groups	82
5.5.	Detection of H9N2 by PCR	84
5.6.	Histopathology	87

Contents

CHAPTER SIX: Conclusions and Recommendations

6.	Conclusions and Recommendations	89
6.1.	Conclusions	89
6.2.	Recommendations	90

References

	References	91
--	------------	-----------

Contents

List of Figures

NO.	Title	Page No.
2.1	Schematic structure of influenza A virus (IAV).	8
2.2	The receptor-binding properties of influenza A viruses.	9
2.3	Migratory flyways of wild birds in the Middle East and North Africa	15
4.1	Conjunctivitis in feral pigeon	63
4.2	Conjunctivitis in domestic pigeon	64
4.3	Conjunctivitis in wild pigeon	64
4.4	Subcutaneous hemorrhage of legs in domestic pigeon	65
4.5	results positive samples	68
4.6	Agarose gel electrophoresis (2%) Shows PCR product of HA gene (897 bp) .	69
4.7	Photomicrographs illustrates the pathological changes in lung tissues of pigeons infected with influenza virus.	73
4.8	Photomicrographs shows sever pathological changes in lung .	74
4.9	Photomicrographs shows the histological changes in trachea and lung of pigeons infected with avian influenza.	75

Contents

4.10	Photomicrographs illustrates the histological changes in trachea and lung of pigeons vaccinated and then infected with avian influenza.	76
4.11	Photomicrographs illustrates the histological changes in liver of pigeons infected with avian influenza.	77
4.12	Photomicrographs illustrates the histological changes in intestine of pigeons infected with avian influenza.	78

Contents

List of Tables

No.	Title	Page No.
2.1	shows Influenza A Virus HA (a) and NA (b) subtypes and their hosts	11
3.1	showed the pigeons groups of present study	32
3.2	Laboratory Equipment and Appliances	33
3.3	Laboratory kits Contents	34
3.4	Contents of ELISA kit	34
3.5	Chemicals and their manufacturer's company	35
3.6	Other materials	35
3.7	Materials were used in Real time RT- PCR	36
3.8	Materials used in RNA Extraction Kit	36
3.9	Materials used in cDNA Synthesis SuperMix	37
3.10	Materials were used in 2X PCR Taq plus master mix	37
3.11	Number and type of tissue samples collected from diseased pigeons	52
4.1	Anti AIV antibodies detected by ELISA in Pre-vaccination period	62
4.2	Anti AIV antibodies detected by ELISA in Post-vaccination period	62
4.3	Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) against H9N2 in A, B, C, and D groups of pigeons	66

Contents

	5 th day PI	
4.4	Anti AIV Antibodies Detected by ELISA at 5 th day PI	66
4.5	results of real time PCR	67
4.6	real sampling of birds for both tissue and cal positive and negative samples.	70
4.7	Number and type of tissue samples collected from diseased pigeons and their rRT-PCR and RT-PCR results	71
4.8	Percentage of positive with days of infection	72

Contents

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Explanation
AIV	Avian influenza viruses
AGID	agar gel immunodiffusion
DCs	dendritic cells
ELISA	Enzyme linked immune sorbent assay
HPAI	High pathogenic avian influenza
HA	Hemagglutinin
HI	Hemagglutination inhibition
Ig	Immunoglobulin
IB	Infectious bronchitis
LPAI	Low pathogenic avian influenza
M	Matrix
NA	Neuraminidase
NS	non-structural proteins
NEP	nuclear export protein
NP	nuclear protein
NDV	Newcastle disease virus
ORF	Open reading frame
PBS	Phosphat buffer saline
PRRs	pattern recognition receptors
PPLO	Pleuro Pneumonia Like organisms

Contents

rNP	Ribonucleoprotein
RdRP	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase
RT-PCR	Reverse transcription chain reaction polymerase chain reaction
TLRs	toll like receptors

Introduction

Influenza viruses of avian origin spread widely in birds worldwide; They are contagious but variable in their severity. Accordingly, they were subdivided into low pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI) viruses and high pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) viruses (Kalthoff *et al.*, 2010; Imai *et al.*, 2013). Their natural reservoirs are wild and domestic aquatic birds, but domesticated birds and mammalian are susceptible to the infection with both LPAI and HPAI. Such infections might cause severe disease in humans and high economic losses among poultry industry that lead to more than 90% mortality rate, and mostly associated with HPAV strains.

Some subtypes of LPAI viruses are reported to cause mild infections in human, poultry, and different birds (Samy and Naguib, 2018). These viruses carried specific protein spikes on their surfaces known as hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA). Differences in genetic buildup of avian influenza viruses (AIV) in their HA and NA lead to their classification into 16 HA (from H1 to H18) and nine NA (from N1 to N11) (MacLachlan and Dubovi, 2011; Shehata *et al.*, 2015; Ahmed *et al.*, 2018), H17 and H18 and N10 and N11 are isolated from bats as subtypes H17N10 and H18N11 (Tong, 2013). All these subtypes are grouped in genus *Influenzavirus A*. This genus and another seven genera (*Influenzavirus B*, *Influenzavirus C*, *Influenzavirus D*, *Isavirus* and *Thogotovirus*, *Quarantavirus*) are classified within the family *Orthopoxviridae*. *Influenzavirus A* genus included viruses with linear single stranded, negative-sense and segmented (8 segments) RNA (MacLachlan and Dubovi, 2011).

Influenza viruses of A subtypes were continuously exposed, either to minor and gradual mutated changes which known as antigenic drift, or there were major changes in the genetic buildup of two influenza A viruses multiply in same host due to genetic reassortment. This will lead to major changes in HA and NA proteins, that might lead to emerging of new influenza A strain, resist or escape the immune responses of susceptible host. These changes are known as antigenic shift (MacLachlan and Dubovi, 2011; Arai *et al.*, 2019).

Most HPAI are associated with subtypes H5 and H7 avian viruses can also cause infection in mammalian including man (Kim, 2018; Samy *et al.*, 2018).

Low pathogenic avian influenza viruses like H9N2 were reported worldwide (OIE ,2015). In Iraq, H9N2 was endemic since 2004 (Kraidy *et al.*, 2017; Kraidy *et al.*,2016; Mohamed *et al.*, 2019). The virus was also reported to cause high mortality rates in broilers (70%) and in breeders and layers up to10% (Khamas, 2008). The surveillance of H9 and some other influenza A viruses were studied in Iraqi domestic and wild birds by Abdul-Sada (2015). Low pathogenic avian influenza H9N2 virus that was worldwide in its distribution was reported to be in two major lineages of Eurasian lineage and North American lineage. Eurasian lineage was subdivided into many clusters like A/duck/Hong Kong/Y280/1997 (Y280-like), A/chicken/Beijing/1/1994 (BJ/94-like), A/quail/Hong Kong/G1/1997 (G1-like), and so on (Gu *et al.*, 2017)

Many studies concerned with H9N2 co-infection with other viruses like HPAI H5N1 (Arafa *et al.*, 2012) might lead to re-assortment and emerging of new influenza virus variant or strain (Gerloff *et al.*, 2014; Lee *et al.*, 2016; Kandeil *et al.*, 2017). Co-infection of H9N2 with other

viruses rather than influenza viruses was also reported like (IB) infectious bronchitis virus (Roussan *et al.*, 2008; Hassan *et al.*, 2016; Arai, *et al.*, 2019). It was suggested that open reading frame (ORF1a) of IB encoded for trypsin-like protease that might enhance the pathogenicity of H9N2 in chicken infected with both viruses (Liu *et al.*, 1995). It was also reported that infection of recently IB vaccinated chicken with H9N2 may lead to prolonged severe disease and shedding of the virus (Haghighat-Jahromi *et al.*, 2008).

Newcastle disease virus and H9N2 co-infection was reported by Umar *et al.*, (2015), when they used LaSota NDV strain and field H9N2 (A/Chicken/Pakistan/UDL/08). They reported higher H9N2 and lower titer NDV of viruses re-isolated from cloacal or oropharyngeal swabs. Furthermore, they did not observe clinical signs of infected birds.

Most neighbor countries are endemic with H9N2. The virus was reported in chickens from Kuwait (Brown, 2010; Slomka, *et al.*, 2013). It was also recorded for the first time in the region in chicken from Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Alexander, 2003) and detected molecularly in pigeons, ducks, layers and broilers of Northern parts of KSA (Alkhalaf, 2010).

Great economic losses are recorded in Iran when H9N2 caused co-infection with infectious bronchitis, and many other pathogens; resulted in high morbidity and mortality (Nili and Asasi, 2003; Toroghi, 2006; Karimi-Madab *et al.*, 2010). But experimentally, the virus caused mild infections with no mortality in many bird species including chickens, (Mosleh *et al.*, 2009), Chukar partridges (Nili *et al.*, 2013), and quails (Nili *et al.*, 2007; Ebrahimi *et al.*, 2011).

In Jordan, H9N2 was isolated from domestic ducks and chicken (Gharaibeh, 2008). Natural infection of chicken recorded 30% mortality, whereas no mortality was reported with experimentally infected chickens, but only loss of body weight and respiratory signs (Gharaibeh, 2008). A mortality rate of 35% was reported in H9N2 infected broilers in Lebanon, but infected layers and breeders showed 1-2% mortality (Barbour *et al.*, 2006).

In Iraq H9N2 was first isolated for the first time by (النصراوي ٢٠٠٢). HPAI virus H5 subtypes like H5N1 (WHO, 2006; Rashid *et al.*, 2016; FAO report, 2016; Haji *et al.*, 2017) and H5N8 (AIV-HP report, 2018) are recorded. Accordingly, and as the viruses H5N1, H5N8, and H9N2 are recorded in Iraq, the co-infection of H9N2 with H5 HPAI viruses in Iraq was possible that may lead to emerge of new influenza strain. Wild and domestic pigeons are available worldwide and can easily cross Iraqi borders like the aquatic wild birds, and sometimes pigeons closely lived with these aquatic wild birds. Many reports mentioned that aquatic wild and domesticated birds are reservoir for influenza A viruses (Abdelwhab *et al.*, 2015; Nagy *et al.*, 2017; Kausar *et al.*, 2018). The possibility of transmission of such viruses to pigeons is acceptable as many workers mentioned the natural infection of pigeons with H9N2 influenza virus (Gomaa, *et al.*, 2015; Xu *et al.*, 2015; Kandeil *et al.*, 2017; Kausar *et al.*, 2018; Tolba *et al.*, 2018).

Experimental infection of pigeons was reported by Zhang *et al.*, (2006), since their little data was available for such experimental infection. Accordingly, the aims of this study are:

- 1- Explorer the susceptibility of local wild and domesticated pigeons to the experimental infection with local isolate of LPAI H9N2 virus.
- 2- To assess the pathological lesion produced by experimental infection in different body organs
- 3- Detect H9N2 by molecular techniques in infected tissues and cloacal swabs to point out the possibility of spreading H9N2 by infected pigeons.
- 4- Evaluate the efficiency of H9N2 commercial vaccine on pigeons.