Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Engineering Civil Engineering Department



BOND BEHAVIOR OF LIGHTWEIGHT FOAMED REINFORCED CONCRETE

A Thesis Submitted to Council of College of Engineering, University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

By Rafal Ahmed Hadi

Supervised by Assist. Prof. Dr. Suhad M. Abd

COMMITTEE DECISION

We certify that we have read the thesis entitled (**Bond Behavior Of Lightweight Foamed Concrete**) and we have examined the student (**Rafal Ahmed Hadi**) in its content and what is related with it, and in our opinion, it is adequate as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Examination Committee	Signature
Assist. Prof. Dr. Suhad M. Abed (Supervisor)	

Prof. Dr. Khattab Saleem Addul-Razzaq..... (Head of Department)

The thesis was ratified at the Council of College of Engineering /University of Diyala.

Signature.....

Name: Prof. Dr. Anees Abdullah Khadom

Dean of College of Engineering / University of Diyala

Date:

بى رول رار مى رول مى

صــــــدقاللــــــهالعظيـــــــــــ

(من سورة المجادلة – الاية ١١)

${\it D\!E\!D\!I\!C\!A\!T\!I\!O\!N}$

To my parents, whose I miss their presence every day but their soul is still in the sky of my life and I feel with their pride of being their daughter, may God have mercy on them.

To my sister, for her support and encouragement.

Rafal Ahmed Hadi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, before anything, I thank Allah for endowing me with health, patience, and knowledge to complete this study.

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude, the inspiration encouragement, valuable time, knowledge and guidance given to me by Assist. Prof. Dr. Suhad M. Abd, who served as my advisor.

My sincere thanks to everyone who supported me with knowledge, especially my distinguished teachers in the Department of Civil Engineering / University of Diyala.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to everyone who helped me in my study, and special thanks to my friend Eng. Wurood Hameed.

Rafal Ahmed Hadi

Bond behavior of lightweight foamed concrete

By

Rafal Ahmed Hadi Supervised by Assist. Prof. Dr. Suhad M. Abd

ABSTRACT

The lightweight foamed concrete (LWFC) applications in the structural building are very restricted due to its low strength and brittleness. The experimental work of this study includes two parts: the first involves improving the LWFC as structural material using additives and fibers. Where many trial mixes were made based on the density and design compressive strength. Then, the mechanical properties of the ideal mixture (1800kg/m³, 40MPa) are covered in detail for their effect on the bonding behavior of LWFC. The test results showed that the addition of the fibers into the LWFC mix decreases the flowability by 16.67%, and the other mechanical properties [compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, flexural strength, and modulus of elasticity] are enhanced by 20%, 90.79%, 130.55%, and 113.36% respectively.

The second part of this work is the investigation of bond behavior of (Lightweight foamed, Normal) concrete using (GFRP) bars and conventional deformed steel bars. This part includes 54 specimens of the concrete cube with different dimensions (dimensions= $10d_b$). The main variables considered are the concrete type (LWFC, NWC), reinforcement type (GFRP bars, Steel bars), embedded length ratios (3ϕ , 4ϕ , and 5ϕ) and bar diameters (ϕ 10, ϕ 12, and ϕ 16). And, when comparing between the two types of reinforcing bars it was noted that the diameter ϕ 12 of GFRP bars gives better results as the bond strength ranged (82%-92\%) of the bond strength of steel

I

bars depending while the comparison between the two types of concrete showed that the efficiency of LWFC towards the bond strength is very good and close to the bond strength of NWC and it also surpasses it when using diameter \emptyset 12 regardless of the relatives' embedded lengths, using the shorter embedded lengths ratios of diameter \emptyset 10 and 4 \emptyset embedded length ratio of diameter \emptyset 16. Also, all specimens of foamed concrete failed by pull out and the cracks were less and narrower compared to normal concrete.

Equations with a high correlation coefficient were derived to represent the laboratory results of a pullout test of LWFC for the two types of reinforcing bars.

List	of	Contents

Subject	Page	
Title		
Committee Decision		
Dedication		
Acknowledgments		
Abstract	Ι	
List of Contents	III	
List of Figures	VIII	
List of Plates	X	
List of Tables	XII	
List of Symbols and Terminology	XIV	
List of Abbreviations	XIV	
CHAPTER ONE		
INTRODUCTION		
1.1 General	1	
1.2 Characteristics of Lightweight Foamed Concrete	2	
1.3 Applications of Lightweight Foamed Concrete	4	
1.4 Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bars (FRP)	6	
1.5 Research Significance	7	
1.6 Research Objectives	8	
1.7 Outline	8	
CHAPTER TWO		
LITERATURE REVIEW		

2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Lightweight Concrete	10
2.3 Bond Strength of Lightweight Aggregate Concrete	11
2.4 Bond Strength of Lightweight Concrete By Using Different Admixtures	16
2.5 The Effect of Rebar Variables on The Bond Strength of Lightweight Concrete	19
2.5.1 Reinforcing Type	19
2.5.2 Diameter of Bar	21
2.5.3 Bond Length	23
2.6 Bond Strength of Lightweight Aerated Concrete	24
2.7 Bond Behavior	25
2.8 Summary	26
EXPERIMENTAL WORK	
3.1 Introduction	28
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials	28 31
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement	28 31 31
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate	28 31 31 32
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate 3.2.2.1 Silica Sand	28 31 31 32 32
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate 3.2.2.1 Silica Sand 3.2.2.2 Natural Fine Sand	28 31 31 32 32 32
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate 3.2.2.1 Silica Sand 3.2.2.2 Natural Fine Sand 3.2.3 Coarse Aggregate	28 31 31 32 32 32 32 32
EXPERIMENTAL WORK 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate 3.2.2.1 Silica Sand 3.2.2.2 Natural Fine Sand 3.2.3 Coarse Aggregate 3.2.4 Silica Fume	28 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32
3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate 3.2.2.1 Silica Sand 3.2.2.2 Natural Fine Sand 3.2.3 Coarse Aggregate 3.2.4 Silica Fume 3.2.5 Water	28 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33
3.1 Introduction 3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Cement 3.2.2 Fine Aggregate 3.2.2.1 Silica Sand 3.2.2.2 Natural Fine Sand 3.2.3 Coarse Aggregate 3.2.4 Silica Fume 3.2.5 Water 3.2.6 Superplasticizers (Mega Flow110)	28 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33
EXPERIMENTAL WORK3.1 Introduction3.2 Materials3.2.1 Cement3.2.2 Fine Aggregate3.2.2.1 Silica Sand3.2.2.2 Natural Fine Sand3.2.3 Coarse Aggregate3.2.4 Silica Fume3.2.5 Water3.2.6 Superplasticizers (Mega Flow110)3.2.7 Foaming Agent	28 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33 33 33

3.2.9 Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) Bars	35
3.2.10 Steel Reinforcement	35
3.3 Preparing Foaming Agent	36
3.4 Lightweight Foamed Concrete Mix Design	37
3.5 Lightweight Foamed Concrete Mix Procedure	40
3.6 Normal Weight Concrete Mixing Procedure	42
3.7 Test Program For Mechanical Properties of Concrete Mixes	43
3.7.1 Fresh Concrete Mix Properties	43
3.7.1.1 Fresh Density Test For Concrete Mixes	43
3.7.1.2 A Flow Table Test For Lightweight Foamed Concrete Mix	44
3.7.1.3 Slump Test For Normal Concrete Mix	45
3.7.2 Tests of The Hardened Concrete Mixes	45
3.7.2.1 Compression Test	45
3.7.2.2 Splitting Tensile Strength Test	46
3.7.2.3 Modulus of Rupture (Flexural Strength Test)	47
3.7.2.4 Modulus of Elasticity	47
3.8 Pull-out Test	48
3.8.1 Pull-out Specimens	49
3.8.2 Specimens Designation	51
3.8.3 Testing Machine And Setup	51
3.9 Crack Width	55
CHAPTER FOUR	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Introduction	56
4.2 Trial Mixes For LWFC	56

4.2.1 Results of Trial Mixes For LWFC	56
4.2.2 Discussions of Trial Mixes Group 1	57
4.2.3 Discussions of Trial Mixes Group 2	58
4.2.4 Discussions of Trial Mixes Group 3	58
4.3 Summary of Trial Mixes For LWFC	59
4.4 Mechanical Properties For Optimum LWFC Mix	59
4.4.1 Properties of Fresh LWFC	60
4.4.1.1 Flowability	60
4.4.1.2 Fresh Density	61
4.4.2 Properties of Hardened LWFC	61
4.4.2.1 Compressive Strength	61
4.4.2.2 Splitting Tensile Strength	63
4.4.2.3 Modulus of Rupture (Flexural Strength)	65
4.4.2.4 Modulus of Elasticity	66
4.5 Mixes Selected For Pullout Test	67
4.6 General Remark For Pullout Test	68
4.6.1 Introduction	68
4.6.2 Mechanism of Failures	68
4.7 Results of Pullout Test	71
4.7.1 Group1/ Effect of Bonded Lengths of LWFC +Steel Bar	71
4.7.1.1 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 10mm Steel Bar Diameter	71
4.7.1.2 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 12mm Steel Bar Diameter	72
4.7.1.3 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 16mm Steel Bar Diameter	73
4.7.2 Group1/ Effect of Bars Diameter of LWFC +Steel Bar	75
4.7.2.1 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 3@ and 4@ Bonded Length	75

4.7.2.2 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 5@ Bonded Length	76	
4.7.3 Group2/ Effect of Bonded Lengths of LWFC + GFRP	77	
4.7.3.1 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 10mm GFRP Bar Diameter	77	
4.7.3.2 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 12mm GFRP Bar Diameter	78	
4.7.3.3 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 16mm GFRP Bar Diameter	79	
4.7.4 Group2/ Effect of Bar Diameters of LWFC + GFRP	81	
4.7.4.1 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 3@ Bonded Length	81	
4.7.4.2 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 4@ Bonded Length	82	
4.7.4.3 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 5@ Bonded Length	82	
4.7.5 Summary For Group1 And Group2	83	
4.7.6 Group3/ Effect of Bonded Lengths of NWC + Steel Bar	84	
4.7.6.1 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 10mm And 16mm Steel Bar Diameter	84	
4.7.6.2 Effectiveness of Bond Lengths For 12mm Steel Bar Diameter	87	
4.7.7 Group3/ Effect of Bars Diameter of NWC + Steel Bar	89	
4.7.7.1 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 3@ Bonded Length	89	
4.7.7.2 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 4@ Bonded Length	89	
4.7.7.3 Effectiveness of Bars Diameter For 5@ Bonded Length	90	
4.7.8 Effect of Reinforcing Bar Type	90	
4.7.9 Effect of Concrete Type	96	
4.8 Theoretical Analysis of Pullout Test Results For LWFC	102	
4.8.1 LWFC + Deformed Steel Bar	103	
4.8.2 LWFC + Sand Coated GFRP Bar	107	
CHAPTER FIVE		
CONCLUSION		
5.1 General Remark	110	

5.2 Conclusion	110
5.3 Recommendations And Future Work	112
References	
Appendix-A	1
Appendix-B	13

List of Figures

No.	Figure Title	Page
2-1	The effect of W/C ratio on bond stress-slip curve	13
2-2	The effect of fine and coarse agg. On bond stress-slip curve	14
2-3	The effect of adding both silica fume and superplastisizer on bond strength of lightweight aggregates concrete	17
2-4	The effect of adding silica fume on bond strength of lightweight aggregates concrete	17
3-1	Schematic diagram of part 1 from experimental work	30
3-2	Schematic diagram of part 2 from experimental work	31
4-1	The best result of compressive strength for each group	59
4-2	Results of flowability test	60
4-3	Results of fresh density	61
4-4	Results of compressive strength	63
4-5	Results of splitting tensile strength	65
4-6	Results of flexural strength	66
4-7	Results of modulus of elasticity test	67
4-8	Effect of bond length for 10mm bar diameter	71
4-9	Effect of bond length for 12mm bar diameter	72

4-10	Effect of bond length for 16mm bar diameter	74
4-11	Effect of bar diameter for 3@ bonded length	75
4-12	Effect of bar diameter for 40 bonded length	76
4-13	Effect of bar diameter for 50 bonded length	77
4-14	Effect of bonded lengths for @10 bar diameter	78
4-15	Effect of bonded lengths for Q12 bar diameter	79
4-16	Effect of bonded lengths for @16 bar diameter	80
4-17	Effect of bar diameters for 30 bonded lengths	81
4-18	Effect of bar diameters for 40 bonded lengths	82
4-19	Effect of bar diameters for 50 bonded lengths	82
4-20	Maximum bond strength values for different steel bars diameters	84
	used	
4-21	Maximum bond strength values for different GFRP bars diameter	84
	useu	
4-22	Effect of bond lengths for 10mm steel bar diameter	87
4-23	Effect of bond lengths for 16mm steel bar diameter	87
4-24	Effect of bond lengths for 12mm steel bar diameter	88
4-25	Effect of bars diameter for 30 bonded length	89
4-26	Effect of bars diameter for 40 bonded length	90
4-27	Effect of bars diameter for 50 bonded length	90
4-28	Results of Group1 and Group2 for bar diameter @10 and bond	91
	lengths 30, 40 and 50	
4-29	Results of Group1 and Group2 for bar diameter @12 and bond	92
	lengths 30, 40 and 50	
4-30	Results of Group1 and Group2 for bar diameter @16 and bond	93
	lengths 30, 40 and 50	

4-31	Results of Group1 and Group3 for bar diameter @10 and bond	97
	lengths 30, 40 and 50	
4-32	Results of Group1 and Group3 for bar diameter @12 and bond	98
	lengths 30, 40 and 50	
4-33	Results of Group1 and Group3 for bar diameter @16 and bond	99
	lengths 30, 40 and 50	
4-34	Compared the ratios of average experimental and predicted ultimate	104
	bond strength by proposed and previously derived bond strength eq.	
	for 3db and 4db embedded length ratio	
4-35	Compared the ratios of average experimental and predicted ultimate	106
	bond strength by proposed and previously derived bond strength eq.	
	for 5db embedded length ratio	
4-36	Comparison between the predicted and previously existing	108
	equations, of average pullout results for @10 GFRP bar.	
4-37	Comparison between the predicted and previously existing	108
	equations, of average pullout results for @12 and @16 GFRP bar.	

List of Plates

No.	Plate Title	Page
1-1	The separate and rise up of the foam layer from concrete due to the	4
	increased mixing time in the presence of the superplasticizer	
1-2	(a) Details related to foundations, (b) Installation of molds and	6
	casting, (c) Remove the molds after 20 days	
1-3	The use of LWFC in Al Hussain Quran School in Karbala city, Iraq,	6
	2017.	
3-1	Standard Fine Silica Sand.	32
3-2	Superplasticizer (Mega Flow110)	33
3-3	Foam agent	34
3-4	hooked-ends steel fiber	34
3-5	Polypropylene fiber	34
3-6	Polyolefin fiber.	35
3-7	Corrugated steel fiber.	35
3-8	The Geometry of GFRP Bars Used In This Work.	35
3-9	Tensile strength test for steel bars	36
3-10	Foam generator machine	36

3-11	The foam used	37
3-12	Density of foam test	37
3-13	homogeneous balls	40
3-14	homogeneous mixture	40
3-15	Preformed foam	41
3-16	Adding foam	41
3-17	Homogeneous foamed concrete	41
3-18	Distribution of fibers on mix	42
3-19	Procedure for NWC mix; (a) Mix sand and gravel, (b) Adding	43
	cement, (c) Get a homogeneous mixture after adding water	
3-20	Lightweight foamed concrete fresh density checking.	44
3-21	Flow table test.	44
3-22	Slump test for normal concrete mix.	45
3-23	Compression test.	46
3-24	Splitting tensile strength test.	46
3-25	Modulus of Rupture Test.	47
3-26	Modulus of Elasticity Test.	48
3-27	Molds of casting specimens	50
3-28	Cover the unbonded lengths with plastic hollow tube	50
3-29	Specimens during casting.	51
3-30	Foamed concrete sample without using rubber mallet.	51
3-31	a) Fixation of specimen with steel reinforcing bar to a pull out test	52
	machine, b) Sketch of the specimen under test.	
3-32	The effect of hydraulic pressure of pull out test machine on the	53
	GFRP bar	
3-33	Strengthening of GFRP bar, a)GFRP bar before test, b,c,d)Slip	54
	failure outside the scope of the study, e,f,g)Yeild failure, no slippage	
3-34	Microscope Crack Meter.	55
4-1	Failure pattern of splitting tensile test of a)FC, b)FC(Hs+PP	64
4-2	Close up failure surface for FC(Hs+PP)	64
4-3	Flexural failure of a)FC, b)FC(Hs+PP)	66
4-4	Excluded specimens due to the change in bonding length during the	68
4.5	cast process of foamed concrete	(0)
4-5	Splitting failure patterns of concrete; a) splitting accompanied with	69
1.6	Crown 1: LWEC Steel her	70
4-0	Group1: LWFC+Steel bar	70
4-/	Group2: NWC+ Steel her	70
4-0	Foilures notterns of I WEC for different handed lengths on steel her	70
4-9	1 and conditions of L w C for different bonded lengths on steel bar	12
	a) $FC3010$ b) $FC4010$ c) $FC5010$	
4-10	Failures patterns of LWFC for different bonded lengths on steel	73
	bar (012 a) FC3 (012 b) FC4 (012 c) FC5 (012 c)	
4-11	Failures patterns of LWFC for different bonded lengths on steel	74
	bar@16	
	a) FC3@16, b) FC4@16, c) FC5@16	
4-12	Failures patterns of LWFC for different bonded lengths on GFRP	78
	bar@10 a) FC3@10, b) FC4@10, c) FC5@10	

4-13	Failures patterns of LWFC for different bonded lengths on GFRP	79
	bar@12 a) FC3@12, b) FC4@12, c) FC5@12	
4-14	Failures patterns of LWFC for different bonded lengths on GFRP	80
	bar@16 a) FC3@16, b) FC4@16, c) FC5@16	
4-15	Failures patterns of NWC for different bonded lengths on steel	86
	bar@10 a) FC3@10, b) FC4@10, c) FC5@10	
4-16	Failures patterns of NWC for different bonded lengths on steel	86
	bar@16 a) FC3@16, b) FC4@16, c) FC5@16	
4-17	Failures patterns of NWC for different bonded lengths on steel	88
	bar@12a) FC3@12, b) FC4@12, c) FC5@12	
4-18	Effect of shear stresses generated between bar ribs and concrete at	96
	bond interface	
4-19	Peeled off and eroded of layer covering GFRP bar during pull out	96
	test	
B-14	Failure patterns for 10mm bar diameter of steel and GFRP bars	13
	(Group1 and Group2)	
B-15	Failure patterns for 12mm bar diameter of steel and GFRP bars	14
	(Group1 and Group2)	
B-16	Failure patterns for 10mm bar diameter of steel and GFRP bars	14
	(Group1 and Group2)	
4-17	Failure patterns for 10mm bar diameter of steel bars (Group1 and	15
	Group3)	
4-18	Failure patterns for 12mm bar diameter of steel bars (Group1 and	16
	Group3)	
4-19	Failure patterns for 16mm bar diameter of steel bars (Group1 and	17
	Group3)	
	Group3)	

List of Tables

No.	Table Title	Page
1-1	Applications of foamed concrete according to its density	4
1-2	Materials of Maysan project	5
3-1	Groups of study	29
3-2	Lightweight Foamed Concrete Mix Proportion	39
3-3	Mix details of normal concrete	43
4-1	Compressive strength results of trial mixes for LWFC	57
4-2	Results of Mechanical properties tests for optimum LWFC mix	60
4-3	Properties of selected (LWFC) and (NWC) mixes at 28-days for pullout test	67

4-4	Comparison of pull test results for the two types of reinforcing bars	95
4-5	Maximum crack for NWC and LWFC specimens	101
4-6	Comparison of average pullout test results for the two types of	101
	concrete (NWC and LWFC)	
4-7	Pullout Tests Results of LWFC	102
4-8	Previously derived bond strength equations	105
4-9	A comparison between the experimental (lab.) results and the	107
	predicted results of average ultimate bond strength for LWFC+	
	deformed steel bar	
4-10	A comparison between the experimental (lab.) results and the	109
	predicted results of average ultimate bond strength for LWFC+	
	GFRP	
A-1	physical properties of cement	1
A-2	chemical properties of cement	1
A-3	Sieve analysis of silica sand	2
A-5	sieve analysis of natural fine sand	4
A-6	Sieve analysis of coarse aggregate	4
A-9	Properties of foam agent	8
A-10	Properties of fibers	8
A-12	Properties of conventional deformed steel bars	9

Symbols	Terminology
РО	Pullout Test
W/C	Water to Cement Ratio
W/B	Water to Binder ratio
C/S	Cement to Sand ratio
SP.	Superplastisizer
Ро	Polyolefin fibers
PP	Polypropylene fibers
Cs	Corrugated steel fiber
Hs	Hooked-ends steel fibers
Ec	Modulus of elasticity of concrete in MPa
Es	Modulus of elasticity of steel in MPa
fr	Modulus of rupture in MPa
fcu	Cube compressive strength of concrete in
	MPa.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Descriptions			
ACI-318-14	American Concrete Institute:			
	Building Code Requirements for			
	Structural Concrete and			
	Commentary			
ACI 440.1R-06	American Concrete Institute: Guide			
	for the Design and Construction of			
	Structural Concrete Reinforced			
	with FRP Bars			
BS	British Standard			
FRP	Fiber Reinforced Polymer			
GFRP	Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer			
I.Q.S	Iraqi Central Organization for			
	Standardization and Quality			
	Control			
LWFC	Lightweight Foamed Concrete			
NWC	Normal Weight Concrete			

Introduction

1.1 General

Lightweight foamed concrete (LWFC) is opposed to masonry and normal weight concrete (NWC), since it is not well studied, known, and widely implemented in the construction sector so, it can be considered relatively as a new building material (De Villiers, 2015). According to DIN1045-1, concrete can be classified into three types according to its dry density; (800-2000 kg/m³) lightweight concrete, (2000-2600 kg/m³) traditional weight concrete, (>2600 kg/m³) heavyweight concrete. And according to ASTM C330, lightweight concrete is classified into structural with a compressive strength of ≥ 17 MPa and non-structural with a compressive strength <17MPa. Lightweight foamed concrete is a type of aerated lightweight concrete which can be described in term of binder materials (usually using cement and other chemical additives such as silica fume or fly ash or ground granular blast furnace slag (GGBS)), fine sand and water while the air is represented by a homogeneous foamed that is gradually added to reach the required fresh density. This stable air babbles in foamed concrete replace the coarse aggregate and this is the main difference between lightweight foamed concrete and ordinary weight concrete. The cellular structure of the mix is achieved by the presence of macroscopic voids occurring from a chemical reaction of gas or the mechanical introduction of air or other gasses (autoclave curing is usually employed)" (ACI Committee 523.2R, 1996). The foam used in the production of foamed concrete is a combination of liquid, chemical additives and compressed gases may be nitrogen, natural gas, carbon dioxide, and air which represent 20% by volume of the mix (Brady et al., 2001).

Sustainable concrete is today one of the construction industry's major problems, foamed concrete mix design requirements will help address this. new. challenge significantly, thus upholding the idea of "sustainable building." (Benghida, 2017) by including the foamed concrete mixture with materials that have pozzolanic properties such as silica fume, slag, and fly ash, which are harmful to the environment, thus ridding the environment of these wastes in addition to reducing the use of cement known for its high carbon emissions. Also, using foamed concrete leads to reduce the reinforcement needs for construction, size of structural members, and the ease of its handling and transportation due to its lightweight so, this will reduce the total cost of the construction.

It is a risk to apply the standard specifications for normal weight concrete structural design directly to design structures with lightweight foamed concrete because this will lead to the production of an unsafe structure. This risk is represented by the lack of available information and data on the structural properties of (LWFC). One of these characteristics is the bond behavior between this concrete and the deformed reinforcing bars.

1.2 Characteristics of Foam Concrete

Foamed concrete has many advantages and disadvantages, including that appears during the production process, and others appear later. One of the most important advantages is that it has a high strength to weight ratios in addition to not needing complications during the mixing and production process because it is known as self-leveling concrete because it is free to flow and not need vibratory during casting, a building constructed with this concrete is twice as safe as fire compared to traditional concrete structures. A wide range of densities can be obtained according to the intended purpose of use, as very low densities are used for non-structural purposes which are limited to ground level, thermal and acoustic insulation

and sewer, voids filling, swimming pool in filling and in bridges for approach, decks, strengthening... etc. While the high densities of lightweight concrete with 20 MPa compressive strength can be used for construction purposes due to the attractive core properties in terms of its contribution to reducing the dead weight of the structure and thus, making the structure of economic design (Amarnath, and Ramachandrudu, 2013).

While the major defects that foamed concrete suffers are the high porosity as these pores affect the strength of the concrete mainly, in addition to defects that appear during the mixing stage where the foamed concrete mix is very sensitive to the water content added during mixing, as too much water causes the separation of the input foam and thus produces an irregularity in the density of the mixture while adding less water than required makes the cement use the water of foam and consequently the deterioration of the foam, which also affects the density and regularity of mixing (De Villiers, 2015).

It must be noted that the mixing for a long period than usual (with the presence of superplasticizer) causes the components of the mixture to settle down and the foam layer is raised thus, the concrete surface is easily shattered as seen in the plate (1-1). Therefore, always resorting to improving the properties of the lightweight foamed concrete mixture by using different additives such as the use of materials of high fineness with a pozzolanic composition, for example, silica fume, fly ash, and slag, to improve strength, density, and the bond between the ingredient of a concrete mix by filling voids between cement and fine aggregate. In addition to that, some foamed concrete mixtures require enhancement with fibers to increase their engineering properties.



Plate (1-1): The separate and rise up of the foam layer from concrete due to the increased mixing time in the presence of the superplasticizer

1.3 Applications of Lightweight Foamed Concrete

After knew the attractive properties of foamed concrete such as thermal and sound insulation, low consumption of aggregates due to its low dead weight, self-leveling, control low strength... etc. It becomes clear that by incorporating foam into a regular concrete system, the final product can be used in more complex structures and conditions. The application of foamed concrete has become popular all over the world, particularly in bad weather areas, earthquakes, and storms and that depends on its density as seen in the table (1-1) (Mohd Sari and Mohammed Sani, 2017).

Density (kg/m ³)	Application
300-600	Replacement of existing soil, soil stabilization, raft foundation.
500-600	Currently being used to stabilize a redundant, geotechnical rehabilitation and soil settlement. Road construction.
600-800	Widely used in void filling, as an alternative to granular fill. Some such applications include filling of old sewerage pipes, wells, basement and subways.
800 - 900	Primarily used in production of blocks and other non-load bearing building element such as balcony railing, partitions, parapets, etc.
1100-1400	Used in prefabrication and cast-in place wall, either load bearing or non-load bearing and floor screeds.
1100-1500	Housing applications.
1600-1800	Recommended for slabs and other load bearing building element where higher strength required.

Table (1-1): Applications of foamed concrete according to its density

The examples of using foamed concrete as a construction material in Iraq is the city of residential architecture project in the Maysan region in southern

Iraq (Sallal, Ali Kadhim. 2018) the form of the project is presented in table (1-2) below

Table (1-2): Materials of Maysan project (Sallal, Ali Kadhim. 2018)

Oven-Density in K	(G/m ³		400	600	800	1.000	1,200	1.400	1.600	2.316 Conv.concr.
Sand	(kg)		1	210	400	560	750	950	1.100	1.815 travel+sand
Cement	(kg)	+	300	310	320	350	360	380	400	320
Water in mortar	(kg)	+	110	110	120	120	140	150	160	180
Quantity of Foam	(Ltrs)		(800)	(715)	(630)	(560)	(460)	(370)	(290)	-
Water in Foam	(kg)	+	64	57	50	45	37	30	23	-
Wet Density	(ka/m	3)	474	687	890	1.075	1.287	1.510	1.683	2.315
Foaming Agent us	se (kg	3)	1,5	1,4	1,2	1,1	0,9	0,7	0,6	-
Water/Cement Rai	tio		0,58	0,54	0,53	0,47	0,49	0,47	0,46	0,56
Maximum Strengt	h in N	mm ²	~ 1	~ 2	~ 3	~ 4	~ 8	~ 12	~ 18	40 +
Average Lambda	(W/m)	(K)	0,096	0,18	0,21	0,32	0,405	0,450	0,550	2,10
(Achieved strengt aggregate in matr	h at th ix of C	e lab	with optin concrete in	num sand an creases stre	ngth up to 50	alities) More 0% in overa	cement will i Il densities b	elow 1.000 kg	mgth. Usir m ³	ig lightweigh
GENERAL REMARKS Recommended weight of Crushed Sand might med	foam	Minin	num 80 g/ltr	am		1 yi	kg of Neopor foamin alds approx. 510 litr	ng agent, diluted in 4 res of foarn at 80 gr	10 parts of wa ams/litre	ler
Water to process foam Potable, if possible below 25 °C Dilution of loaming agent 1 part of Neopor to 49 parts of water Recommended Cement Portland CEM 132,5R or higher grade, or similar					C: Ap	Captive densities are oven-dry (2+A at 100°C) Appr. 25% of the total volume of water (in mix and in foam) in relation to the weight of cement used will crystallize and				
Recommended Sand		Minin	num 15-18% fin 1 400/up to 5 m	es Up nm Ur	to 1.000/up to 2m to 1.200/up to 4 m	m th m an	d sand used to rea	ch the "oven-dry" d	ensity.	e cement







Plate (1-2): (a) Details related to foundations, (b) Installation of molds and casting, (c) Remove the molds after 20 days

Also, it's used for nonstructural application ($f_{c'} = <17$ MPa) as leveling materials in southern Iraq, where because of its lightweight used as leveling layer primarily rather than using waste, besides using it as leveling materials under tiles as it cast in single-level without of any variation in the surface and then adhesives porcelain.



Plate (1-3): The use of LWFC in Al Hussain Quran School in Karbala city, Iraq, 2017.

1.4 Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bars (FRP)

The The Swiss Federal research center for Materials Analysis and Testing (EMPA) was the first laboratory to test and research composites of fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) for strengthening reinforced concrete members. In 1984 EMPA implemented a test on beams reinforced with CFRP plate (Hussain, et. al., 2018). Later on, this type of bar became popular in the construction environment due to its high tensile strength against its weight, which is lighter than ordinary steel bars. Enabling them to design and fabricate structures of very high load carrying capacities. One of the important problems in the construction sector is the problem of rust and corrosion of steel reinforcement which affect concrete by its deterioration and lost its serviceability (Maranan, et. al., 2015). To avoid this problem, FRP bars can be used as an alternative to regular steel bars. It also keeps maintains the cost of buildings due to its resistance to corrosion.

Fiber-reinforced polymer bars are formed through the incorporation of fiber into a polymer (resin) mix that binds the fiber together. FRP bars have attractive properties such as lightweight, thermal and electrical insulation, noncorrosive, and nonmagnetic material, and high tensile strength, which makes it a good material in the reinforcement of structures (ACI Committee 440.1R, 2006).

1.5 Research Significance

Very limited studies related to the bond behavior of foamed concrete were found around the world. Although it is a very important property for this type of concrete as it can study the behavior of the reinforced concrete (RC) structures, since it assures the stress transfer between the two materials (reinforcement and concrete), also the bond is one of the main keys to assessing the performance of reinforced concrete (RC) structure against a seismic load.

The current study focuses on investigating the bond behavior of foamed concrete according to (RILEM, 2006) by using two types of bars which are steel and GFRP. It is important to know the bond behavior between foamed concrete and FRP, as this type of reinforcement not affected by weather factors as it does not rust or corrode by the action of moisture so it can be entered into many design fields. On the other hand, reinforcing foam concrete with some types of fibers such as steel and polypropylene fibers can contribute to enhancing its strength and various properties, especially concerning tensile strength, and thus solve the problem of brittleness.

7

1.6 Research Objectives

The main objectives of this research are:

1- Investigate the mechanical properties of the proposed foamed concrete mix.

2- Investigate the bond behavior of LWFC with GFRP bars and conventional steel bars.

3- Comparison of the results of bond strength for LWFC with the bond strength results of normal weight concrete.

1.7 Outline

Chapter One: It gives an introduction to lightweight foamed concrete in terms of its identification, various applications, and its properties, in addition to addressing a brief to define the reinforcing bars made of polymer, as well as the significance and objectives of the research.

Chapter Two: Briefly summarizes the previous studies related to the field of studying the bonding behavior of lightweight concrete by addressing the studied variables, methods adopted in the test and the results previously reached.

Chapter Three: The first part of this chapter deals with the trail mixes using different construction materials to produce a mixture of lightweight foamed concrete with good mechanical properties in terms of compressive strength, flexural, tensile strength, and elastic modulus. While the second part of this chapter includes a detailed explanation of preparing the proposed specimens for conducting the bond test according to the (RILEM, 2006).

Chapter Four: The results of the study are presented in this chapter and the discussion was conducted in detail on the results of the bond behavior, slip, and mode failure for the specimens of study. Besides representing the practical results for bond behavior of LWFC by linear equations with a high

correlation coefficient. Also, commented on the results related to the mechanical properties of foamed concrete.

Chapter Five: provides the conclusions drawn from this study, recommendations, and suggestions for further studies.