Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Engineering



Estimation of Aquifer Hydraulic Properties to Model Groundwater in Selected Area in Diyala Governorate

A Thesis Submitted to the Council of College of Engineering, University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in

Civil Engineering

By

Sufyan Muter Jasim

(B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, 2016)

Supervised by Asst. Prof. Dr. Qassem H.Jalut

بسمالله الرَّحمز الرِّحيم أوكَدْبَرَ أَلَّذِينَ حَنَمَ وُا أَنْ السَمَوَاتِ والأرض كأتا مرتقا فنتقتهما وَجَعَلْنَا مِنَ الْمَا مِحُكُلٌ شَيْ حَيْ أَفَلا يَؤْمِنُونَ الأسا- 30

SUPERVISOR'S CERTIFICATE

I certify that this thesis entitled "Estimation of Aquifer Hydraulic Properties to Model Groundwater in Selected Area in Diyala Governorate " was prepared by "Sufyan Muter Jasim" under my supervision in the University of Diyala in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Signature: Casser U. Jahr

Assist. Prof. Dr. Qassem H. Jalut Supervisor Date: og / 1 2 / 2019

COMMITTEE DECISION

We certify that we have read the thesis titled (Estimation of Aquifer Hydraulic Properties to Model Groundwater in Selected Area in Diyala Governorate) and we have examined the student (Sufyan Muter Jasim) in its content and what is related with it, and in our opinion, it is adequate as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Examination Committee

Signature

l.Ich

Assist. Prof.Dr. Qassem H.Jalut, (Supervisor)

Assist. Prof.Dr. Rasul M. Khalaf, (Member)

Assist. Prof.Dr. Mahmoud Saleh Al-Khafaji, (Member) A. Al-Yhafaju

Prof.Dr. Thair Habeeb Abdullh (Chairman)

University of Divala.

Prof.Dr. Khattab Saleem Abdul-Razzaq, (Head of Department) The thesis was ratified at the Council of College of Engineering

Signature. Jasim. M. Abass Name: Assist. Prof.Dr. Jasim M. Abass Dean of College of Engineering / University of Diyala Date:

DEDICATION

To All People in My Heart, with Love, Respect and Gratitude

Sufyan M. Jasim

2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Qassem H. Jalut for the continuous support of my MSc study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of study and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor for my M.Sc. study. I would also like to thank all the members of staff at department of civil engineering who supported me throughout the study period. My thanks and gratitude to the Deanship of Engineering College for all facilities provided, Also, I should not forget the Ministry of Water Resources and General Authority for Groundwater- Branch of Diyala for providing the required data. Last but not least, I would like to thank my family and my friends who supported me and gave me confidence during the period of my studies. My great thanks go to my best friend Mohammad A. Hassan for helping me in computer programs.

Sufyan Muter Jasim

2019

Estimation of Aquifer Hydraulic Properties to Model Groundwater in Selected

Area in Diyala Governorate

By

Sufyan Muter Jasim Supervisor by Assist. Prof. Dr. Qassem H. jalut ABSTRACT

The groundwater resources are an important component of arid and semi-arid countries such as Iraq. The pumping tests are conducted in the shallow unconfined aquifer (Quaternary sediments) within Baquba Local Government Area, Divala Provence, Iraq to estimate of the aquifer properties as there is limited information on aquifer parameters. Drawdown/Recovery data are recorded in seventeen single pumping wells and two monitoring wells at distances of 4 and 20 m from the pumping well in Abu-Khamis and Al-Othmania places, respectively. Type-curve matching of the drawdown/ recovery data are analyzed using Cooper-Jacob's Straight-line and Theis recovery methods. The ranges of the drilling wells depth are 15m to 38.4m. The aquifer saturated thickness ranges from 8 to 26 m. The outcomes of the pumping test data analysis show that the transmissivity values ranged between 124 to 541 m²/day with an average value of $245.3m^2/day$. The hydraulic conductivity ranged from 7.5 to 25.6 m/day with an average value of 14.5 m/day. The specific yield ranges from 0.05 to 0.28 with an average value of 0.12. The specific capacity of the wells ranged from 85 to 407 m^2/day with an average value of 216 m²/day. The transmissivity values are analyzed according to Krasny Classification System (KCS) for identifying the transmissivity variations as well as the supply potential of the groundwater within the study area. The transmissivity classification results show that the

study area classifies as a high groundwater supply potential with slightly heterogeneous aquifer soil.

The numerical model is adopted by transferred the conceptual model into GMS 10.0.5-MODFLOW2000 which is constructed to solve the groundwater flow equation with a finite differences technique. Two types of boundary conditions are applied (Neumann and Dirichlet conditions). The Neumann boundary condition is applied around the study area and the Dirichlet boundary condition represented the Diyala River.

The model is calibrated by adjusted two parameters namely hydraulic conductivity and recharge rate. The best agreements between computed and observed head have been obtained. The sensitivity analysis results show that the hydraulic conductivity and recharge rate are a more sensitive parameter. After model calibrates, six different scenarios are investigated to study the impact of the discharge rate on the groundwater state. In these scenarios, the applying discharge rates are (current state, increasing about 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% above the current rate). Finally, proposed agricultural land with drilling new wells. The stress period that applied in transient state extended from (2018-2027) with ten-time steps.

The unsteady state model simulation results for these scenarios show that the computed annual maximum drawdown values for the next ten years, according to the five scenarios, were equal to 11.43, 11.94, 12.25, 13.63, and 14.05 m respectively, while the annual maximum drawdown value was recorded in the proposed scenario (current rate with the rates of the new wells) equal to 11.87 m. The outcomes of this study have revealed that the Baquba shallow unconfined aquifer can production effectiveness amount of water for the people in this area, as well as, increase in discharge rates indicate the possibility of drilling new wells within the study area without any mining of aquifer. Additionally, the model results reflect that the maximum values of the drawdown for the two regions of (Kanan and Buhriz) are very large, and according to this reason the management program is very important for dealing and manage the groundwater extraction in these regions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Titles	Page No.	
ABSTRACT	Ι	
Table of Contents	IV	
List of Tables	VII	
List of Figures	VIII	
List of Symbols	XI	
List of Terminology	XIII	
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1 Background	1	
1.2 Statement of Research Problem	2	
1.3 Research Objective	2	
1.4 Methodology	3	
CHPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1 Introduction	5	
2.2 Aquifer Parameters Estimation	5	
2.3 Previous Studies	6	
2.4 Groundwater Modeling	14	
2.5 Modeling Studies	15	
2.6 Summary	27	
CHAPTER THREE		
THEORETICAL AND NUMERICAL ASPECTS		
3.1. Introduction	28	
3.2 Flow Simulation	29	
3.2.1. Steady-State Simulation	29	

3.2.2 Transient Simulation	30	
3.3 Equation Governing for Groundwater Flow	31	
3.4 The Simultaneous Solution of Linear Algebraic Equations	32	
3.5 Conceptual Models	32	
3.6 Boundary Condition	35	
3.7 Recharge Rate	36	
3.8 Hydraulic Aquifer Parameters	36	
3.9 Pumping	43	
3.10 Pumping Test Analysis Methods	43	
3.11 Discretization	45	
3.12 Calibration	47	
3.13 Validation	50	
3.14 Stress Periods and Time Steps	51	
CHAPTER FOUR STUDY A DEA AND FIELD WORKS		
4.1 General	53	
4.1 General4.2 Description of the Study Area	53 53	
4.1 General4.2 Description of the Study Area4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area	53 53 55	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 	53 53 55 57	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 	53 53 55 57 61	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 4.6 Hydrology of the Mesopotamia Plain within the Study Area 	53 53 55 57 61 62	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 4.6 Hydrology of the Mesopotamia Plain within the Study Area 4.7 Field Works 	53 53 55 57 61 62 63	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 4.6 Hydrology of the Mesopotamia Plain within the Study Area 4.7 Field Works 4.7.1 Data Collection Equipment 	53 53 55 57 61 62 63	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 4.6 Hydrology of the Mesopotamia Plain within the Study Area 4.7 Field Works 4.7.1 Data Collection Equipment 4.7.2 Field Works Procedure 	53 53 55 57 61 62 63 65	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 4.6 Hydrology of the Mesopotamia Plain within the Study Area 4.7 Field Works 4.7.1 Data Collection Equipment 4.7.2 Field Works Procedure 	53 53 55 57 61 62 63 65	
 4.1 General 4.2 Description of the Study Area 4.3 Climate Conditions of the Study Area 4.4 Geology of the Study Area 4.5 Topography of the Study Area 4.6 Hydrology of the Mesopotamia Plain within the Study Area 4.7 Field Works 4.7.1 Data Collection Equipment 4.7.2 Field Works Procedure CHAPTER FIVE MODELING, APPLICATION AND RESULTS 5.1 General	53 53 55 57 61 62 63 63 65 67	

5.3 Transmissivity Classification	89
5.4 Groundwater Modeling Process	90
5.5 Collection Data for Model Construction	90
5.6 Model Selection and Building Study Area Model	91
5.7 Steady State Flow Simulation	98
5.8 Model Calibration	98
6.9 Model Sensitivity Analysis	102
5.10 Unsteady State Flow Simulation	105
5.11 Applying Different Scenarios	106
5.11.1 First Scenario	106
5.11.2 Second Scenario	108
5.11.3 Third Scenario	110
5.11.4 Fourth Scenario	111
5.11.5 Fifth Scenario	112
5.11.6 Sixth Scenario	113
CHAPTER SIX	
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	NS
6.1 Summary	115
6.2 Conclusions	115
6.3 Recommendations	116
REFRENCES	118
APPENDIX A	A1
APPENDIX B	B1
APPENDIX C	C1
APPENDIX D	D1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
NO.		NO.
3.1	Types of the hydrological groundwater flow boundary	35
	conditions (Franke 1987)	
3.2	Transmissivity analysis based on transmissivity magnitude	38
	classification (Krasny 1993)	
3.3	Krasny classification of transmissivity variation (Krasny	38
	1993)	
4.1	AL-Khalis meteorological monthly and annual average for	56
	the period (1980-2014)(Iraqi Agro meteorological Network)	
5.1	Measured field data for the wells of study area (W1-W20)	69
5.2	Summary of the calculation results of the pumping and	88
	observation wells data	
5.3	Average of the hydraulic parameter according to the area of	89
	the wells locations	
5.4	Observed and simulated head values at pivot points	99
5.5	Cases of pumping schedule that examined in GMS-	106
	MODFLOW	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
No.		No.
1.1	Methodology of the study	4
3.1	Schematic approach to the construction of a	34
	conceptual groundwater model (Loudyi 2005)	
3.2	2D Finite difference grid system: (a) Centered grid,	47
	and (b) Blocked center grid (Harbaugh and	
	McDonald 1988)	
3.3	Calibration target (Aquaveo 2016c)	50
4.1	Location map of the Baquba study (General Water Resource- GIS Unit)	54
4.2	The study area location within the Mesopotamia	58
	plain (Yacoub 2011)	
4.3	Geologically the subsurface of the cross-section	59
	located between Mandali and Iskandariyah, Northern	
1.1	Mesopotamia plain (Yacoub 2011)	(1
4.4	2011)	01
4.5	DEM of the study area (USGS 2016)	62
4.6	Manually water level meter for monitoring water	64
47	levels Eight work (nymning test) in Aba Khamig village	((
4./	(Bubriz sub district)	00
5.1	Location of pumping tests	68
5.2	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W1	69
5.3	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W2	71
5.4	Analysis of numping test data for numping well W3	71
5.5	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W4	72
5.5	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W5	72
5.0	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping wert w5	73
5./	Analysis of pumping lest data for pumping well w6	/4
5.8	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W7	75
5.9	Analysis of pumping test data for observation well W9	76

5.10	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W10	77
5.11	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W11	78
5.12	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W12	79
5.13	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W13	80
5.14	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W14	81
5.15	Analysis of pumping test data for observation well W15	82
5.16	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W16	83
5.17	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W17	84
5.18	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W18	85
5.19	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W19	86
5.20	Analysis of pumping test data for pumping well W20	87
5.21	Schematic diageam for development of GMS- conceptual model	93
5.22	Grid design of study area	97
5.23	Simulated distribution groundwater heads of the study area	100
5.24	Simulated versus observed values after calibration	101
5.25	Residual versus observed values after calibration	101
5.26	Hydraulic conductivity and recharge zones with parameters key values	103
5.27	Hydraulic conductivity versus sensitivity values	104
5.28	Recharge rate versus sensitivity values	104
5.29	Drawdown distribution over the study area after 3650 days	107
5.30	Time- drawdown relationship when running the wells at current discharge Rates	108
5.31	Time- drawdown relationship when increasing discharge Rates 25% above the current discharge Rates	109
5.32	Drawdown distribution map for case 2 nd after 3650 days	110
5.33	Time- drawdown relationship when increasing discharge Rates 50% above the current discharge Rates	111

5.34	Time- drawdown relationship when increasing discharge Rates 75% above the current discharge Rates	112
5.35	Time- drawdown relationship when increasing discharge Rates 100% above the current discharge Rates	113
5.36	Locations of the new wells distribution pattern	114
5.37	Drawdown map distribution at the new wells	114

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbols and Terminology	Definition	Dimension
SPI	Standard precipitation index	dimensionless
t	Time	day
tp	Pumped time	day
Δt	Recovery time	min
t _o	Initial time of pumping test at zero	min
t'	Recovery time	min
Т	Transmissivity	m²/day
K	Hydraulic conductivity	m/day
k	Permeability of porous media	m/day
S _v	Specific yield for the porous medium	%
S	Specific storage of the porous	L ⁻¹
w	A volumetric flux per unit volume representing sources & sinks of water	T ⁻¹
S _C	Specific capacity	m ² /day
Q	Discharge rate	m ³ /day
s'	Adjusted drawdown	m
S	Measured drawdown	m
4 0	Residual drawdown difference (slope	
ΔS	of the line per one log cycle)	
h	Saturated thickness	m
WS	Water surplus	mm
WD	Water decrease	mm
VSR	Volume surface runoff	m ³ /year
GR	Groundwater recharge	m ³ /year
х, у , z	Cartesian coordinates	m
Kxx	Hydraulic conductivity in x-direction	L/T
Kxx	Hydraulic conductivity in y-direction	L/T
Kzz	Hydraulic conductivity in z-direction	L/T
h	Potentiometric head	m
RMSE	Root mean squared error	m
SEE	Standard error of the estimate	m
CC	Correlation coefficient	dimensionless
Normalized	Normalized root mean squared error	%

σcal	Calculated standard deviations	m
σobs	Observed standard deviations	m
COV xcal	Covariance of calculated value	m
COV xobs	Covariance of observed value	m
μ	Dynamic viscosity of fluid	kg/m.s
ρ	Fluid density	kg/m3
g	Acceleration of gravity	m/s^2
Ι	Hydraulic gradient	dimensionless
R	Influence radius of the well	m
r	Radius of pumping well	m

LIST OF TERMINOLOGY

Symbols and	Definition
Terminology	
AQTESOLVE	
C-B-P	Cooper-Bredehoest-Papadopulos
SDT	Step drawdown test
APT	Aquifer performance test
GMS	Groundwater modeling system
MODFLOW	USGS modular three-dimensional finite difference
	groundwater flow model
PMWIN	Processing model flow for windows
1D	One dimension
2D	Two dimension
3D	Three dimension
MCM	Million-cubic meters
MCMY	Million-cubic meters per year
AMA	Active management area
PEST	Model-independent parameter estimation and
	uncertainty analysis
1	Cell row
J	Cell column
W	a volumetric flux per unit volume representing sources
	& sinks of water
ADI	Alternating direction implicit
HK	Hydraulic conductivity parameter
RCH	Groundwater recharge parameter
IAEA	International atomic energy agency
GIS	Geographic information system
USDA	United States department of agriculture
USGS	United states geological survey
DEM	Digital elevation model
MODPATH	A particle-tracking post-processing model for
	MODFLOW
MT3DMS	A modular three-dimensional transport model for the
	simulation of advection, dispersion, and chemical
	reactions of dissolved constituents in groundwater

	systems	
ART3D	A three-dimensional analytic reactive transport model	
FEMWATER	A three-dimensional finite element, saturated/unsaturated,	
	density driven, flow and transport model	
UTCHEM	A multi-phase flow and transport model	
SEAM3D	A reactive transport model used to simulate complex	
	biodegradation problems involving multiple substrates	
	and multiple electron acceptors	
SEEP2D	A two-dimensional steady state finite element, seepage	
	analysis model	
MODFLOW-	A newton formulation for MODFLOW	
NWT		
RT3D	A modular computer code for simulating reactive	
	multispecies transport in 3-dimensional groundwater	
	systems	

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In many areas of the world, the increase in requesting for water has laid expansion pressure on subsurface water resources. In the arid and semi-arid region, climate change is a major factor affecting both surface water and groundwater resources. These changes led to a sharp decrease in surface water resources, which led to the seeking another resources of water and development the method of exploitation (Kubba 1998).

The problem of severe and increasing drought in Iraq is one of the most serious environmental issues. Iraq is testified four evident dry seasons in 2000, 2006, 2008 and 2009. Eleven Iraqi governorates are influenced by drought in late 2008. The highest drought magnitudes are specified in the governorates of Basrah, Babil, Ninewa, Diyala, and Kirkuk. The predicted values of the Standard Precipitation Index (SPI) indicate that moderate to severe droughts are expected at some sites starting from the beginning of 2017 to 2026 (Lück 2014).

Also, groundwater is testified decreases in quantity and an increase in salinity. The groundwater data indicates seasonal fluctuations in the water supply that continues to decrease, indicating that the quantities of groundwater used are exceeding renewable groundwater. Groundwater levels are predictable to reducing due to expectant droughts. Therefore, groundwater levels are expected to decreases (Lück 2014).

The Diyala Governorate is suffered from a hard hydrological drought in 2008, as known the hydrological drought have a direct effect in descending the level of water in reservoirs and dams like Hamreen that is considered the vital source of water in Diyala Provence. However, in the Diyala governorate drought started in the governorate in 2000 and continued up to 2011 indicating that Diyala is currently considered a drought susceptible region (region with probable effects ensuing from a lacking water balance) (Lück 2014).

1.2 Statement of Research Problem

In order to mitigate the water shortage, the government of Iraq through the General Authority for Groundwater (Diyala- Branch) drilled a lot of wells in many areas in Baquba district. The wells drilled in Baquba area are geared toward increase due to the huge demand to the water that has been used for different purposes including agricultural, domestic and industrial as well as other purposes.

To understand the groundwater presence and the nature of its movement, the hydraulic aquifer parameters are needed to develop a mathematical model for groundwater in Baquba district zone.

1.3 Research Objective

Estimate hydraulic aquifer parameters by conducting several field pumping tests for Baquba district required to development a mathematical for this region.

1.4 Methodology

To achieve the aim of this study, a research methodology is developed considering the various area of work necessary to perform and get the results. This methodology can be summarized as follows:

- 1. A comprehensive review of the previous studies to identify the methods that can be used to estimate aquifer parameters as well as which mathematical models should be used to simulate groundwater flow.
- 2. Collections of available data which are used to do the field works as well as create a conceptual model.
- 3. Using groundwater modeling system (GMS) to implement a groundwater simulation model.
- 4. Calibrating the model to match with the conditions of the area of study.
- 5. Sensitivity analysis for input parameters of the model
- 6. Applying different scenarios.
- 7. Analyzing and discussion of results, as well as stating conclusion and recommendations.

These steps are shown in Figure (1.1).



Figure (1.1): Methodology of the study.