

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

The Effect of Methanolic Extract of *Quercus infectoria* Against Some Causative Agents of Diarrhea and Eliminate their Antimicrobial Resistance

Zirk Faki Ahmed¹, Beyman Akram Hama² and Rana Mujahid Abdullah³

^{1 and 2} College of Education - Salah Al-Deen University
 ³Department of Biology- College of Education Ibn-Al Haitham- University of Baghdad

³dr.rana_alshwaikh@yahoo.com

Received: 17 September 2017 Accepted: 5

Accepted: 5 December 2017

Abstract

We obtained 124 bacterial isolates including: *Escherechia. coli*. I (35 isolates 7%) isolates, *E. coli* II (8 isolates 1.6%), *E. coli* III (17 isolates 3.4%), *E. coli* IV (22 isolates 4.4%), *Shigella dysenteriae* (8 isolates 1.6%), *Salmonella arizonae* (16 isolates 3.2%), *Salmonella typhi* (12 isolates 2.4%) and *Vibrio cholera* (6 isolates 1.2%) Sensitivity test showed high resistance to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, amoxicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin, streptomycin, nitrofurantoin, cefixime, rifampicin, tetracycline, trimethoprim - sulfamethoxazole and tobramycin. Most of the isolates appeared sensitive to nalidixic acid, gentamycin, amikacin, doxycyclin, cefotaxime, cephalexine and chloramphenicol. All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin. The MIC of the methanol extracts of *Quercus infectoria* against different pathogenic bacteria was ranging between 2.5 mg/ml - 20.0 mg / ml and the MBC was 1.25 mg/ml - 20.0 mg / ml. The isolates revealed high resistance to most widely used antibiotic, the methanol extracts of *Quercus infectoria* agent.

Key words: Antimicrobial activity, Quercus infectoria, pathogenic bacteria.

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

تاثير المستخلص الميثانولي لنبات Quercus infectoria على بعض مسببات الاسهال والقضاء على مقاومتها للمضادات الحيوية

زيرك فقي احمد عبد الرحمن¹ ، بيمان اكرم حمه سعيد² و رنا مجاهد عبدالله³

^{1,2} كلية التربية - جامعة صلاح الدين 3 كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ابن الهيثم - جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة

E. coli. I (% 7) عزلة تضمنت 35 (% %) E. coli II و E. coli. I (% 7) عزلات و17 (% 3.4%) عزلات و10 (% 3.4%) عزلة تعود الى coli III و 10.6%) عزلة تعود الى coli III 6
 Shigella dysenteriae و 22 (% 4.4%) عزلة تعود الى 23 Salmonella arizonae و 8 (% 1.6%) عزلة تعود الى Salmonella typhi و 2.4%) عزلة، (3.2%) عزلة تعود الى 23 Salmonella arizonae و (3.2%) عزلة، (3.2%) عزلات. وأظهر اختبار الحساسية مقاومة عالية لأموكسيسيلين / حمض كلافو لانيك، أموكسيسيلين، الأمبيسلين، الاريش وميسين، الستربتومايسين، نيتروفور انتوين، سيفيكسيم، ريفامبيسين، التتر اسيكلين، تريميثوبريم - سلفاميثوكساز ول (1.2%) عزلات. وأظهر اختبار الحساسية مقاومة عالية لأموكسيسيلين / حمض كلافو لانيك، أموكسيسيلين، الأمبيسلين، الاريش وريميني، الستربتومايسين، نيتروفور انتوين، سيفيكسيم، ريفامبيسين، التتر اسيكلين، تريميثوبريم - سلفاميثوكساز ول وتوبر اميسين. ومعظم العز لات اظهرت حساسية لحمض الناليديكسيك، جنتاميسين، أميكاسين، دوكسي سيكلين، سيفوتاكسيم، وتوبر اميسين والكلور امفينيكول. وكانت جميع عز لات البكتيريا قيد الدر اسة حساسة للسيبر وفلوكساسين. كان قيمة التركيز سيفوتاكسيم، ميفاليكسين والكلور امفينيكول. وكانت جميع عز لات البكتيريا قيد الدر اسة حساسية السيبر وفلوكساسين. كان قيمة التركيز معرفي ميفاليكسين والكلور امفينيكول. وكانت جميع عز لات البكتيريا قيد الدر اسة حساسة للسيبر وفلوكساسين. كان قيمة التركيز ميفوتاكسيم، المثبط الادني للمستخلص الميثانولي لنبات Quercus infectoria عنه أمل - 0.00 ملغ / مل و قيمة التركيز المثبط الادني كان 2.5 ملغ / مل - 0.00 ملغ / مل و قيمة التركيز المثبط الادني كان 2.5 ملغ / مل - 0.00 ملغ / مل و قيمة التركيز المثبط الادني كان 2.5 ملغ / مل - 0.00 ملغ / مل و قيمة التركيز المثبط الادني كان 2.5 ملغ / مل - 0.00 ملغ / مل و قيمة التركيز المثبط الادني كان 2.5 ملغ / مل - 0.00 ملغ / مل و أظهرت العزلين المثبط الادني كان 2.5 ملغ / مل - 0.00 ملغ / مل وأظهرت العزلات مقاومة عالية مضادات الحيوية الأكثر استخداما على نطاق واسع، وأظهر المستخلص الميثانولي لنبات 2.5

الكلمات المفتاحية: الفعالية المضاده للمكروبات ، Quercus infectoria ، البكتريا المرضية .

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Introduction

The accelerated emergence of antibiotic resistance among the prevalent pathogens is the most serious threat to the management of infectious diseases [1]. The evolution and spread of various mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance among common human pathogenic members of Enterobacteriaceae is of increasing concern and led the research for more novel antimicrobial compounds [2].Many local plants are cheap, readily available and widely used in traditional folk medicine since they produce a diverse range of bioactive compounds. Unlike humansynthesized medicine which normally consists of only a single bioactive compound, the plant extracts may contain more than one bioactive ingredients which synergistically work against a particular disease. In addition, being from nature is normally perceived as safer and therefore, more acceptable by humans [3]. *Ouercus infectoria* Olivier (Fagaceae) is a small shrub mainly present in Greece, Asia Minor, Iran, Iraq, Turkey and Syria. It is locally cultivated for its valuable medicinal properties. The medicinal properties of the plant have been a subject of numerous investigations. In traditional folk medicine, the galls are extracted with hot water for use as a gargle to relieve inflamed tonsils or directly applied onto the inflamed skin to reduce swelling. In addition to using Q. infectoria extracts as essential oil in food preparations, nutraceuticals or cosmetic anti-aging, they have also been known to produce many bioactive compounds [3, 4] with antibacterial [5], antifungal [6], ntidiabetic [7], local anaesthetic [8], antiviral [9], and anti-inflammatory [10] activities. The active compounds in Q. infectoria were tannic acid, Glycosides such as cortis and tannins. Hence, this study was designed to assess the effectiveness of different solvent extracts of Q. infectoria on the growth of some gram-negative bacteria [11].

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Five hundred stool samples were collected from infants and children (below ten years) suffering from diarrhea and admitted to the Pediatric and Maternity Hospital in Erbil City.

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Identification of bacteria

Identification of bacteria was done on the basis of morphological, cultural characteristics by using a selective medium, then with biochemical tests and further by using an API20E system [5].

Preparation of crude extracts

Q. infectoria was used in the present study, classified by Dr. Abdul-Hussein Al- Khayat, College of Education, Salah Al- Deen University. The collected medicinal plants were washed with distilled water and then dried for the preparation of methanol extracts [12].

Antibiotic sensitivity test

Antibiotic susceptibility test of all bacteria isolates was determined by the standard Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method [13]. These antibiotics used were Chloramphenicol, Ampicillin, Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, Amoxicillin, Tetracycline, Streptomycin, Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, Erythromycin, Cephalexine, Rifampicin, Cefixime, Cefotaxime, Doxycyclin, Gentamycin, Nitrofurantoin, Nalidixic acid, Tobramycin, Ciprofloxacin and Amikacin (Bioanalyse). Bacterial cultures suspension equivalent to 0.5 tube McFarland turbidity standards were spread on Mueller-Hinton agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and then the diameters of the inhibition zones were measured. Results were expressed according to the criteria recommended by the CLSI [14].

Determination of MIC and MBC values

To study the effect of different antimicrobials on all isolates of bacteria, Mueller-Hinton agar was used as a growth medium. After sterilization and cooling at 45°C, the final concentration of the selected antibiotics was added to the media and poured into sterile Petri dishes. After solidification, the plates were inoculated by streaking method with bacterial isolates then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The results were recorded next day [15].

The antibacterial activity of the extracts was determined by evaluating the MIC using the Micro Broth dilution method, serial dilutions of the extract were prepared (0.0195 mg/ml to

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

20 mg/ml) directly into nutrient broth in micro plates. The wells were seeded with culture at final inoculums of 1E6 bacteria/ ml. The experiment was performed in triplicate. The bacterial suspensions were used as positive control and extracts in broth were used as negative controls. Then the plate was covered with a sterile plate sealer. The contents of each plate were mixed on plate shaker at 300 rpm for 20 seconds and then incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. Microbial growth was determined at 600nm using the ELX800 universal micro plate reader. The results were recorded in the form of MIC defined as the minimum amount of the extract that inhibits the growth of the microorganisms. While the least concentration showing no visible growth on agar subculture was considered as MBC value [9].

The inhibitory effect of different concentrations of plant extraction

The extracts of *Q. infectoria* were dissolved in sterile distilled water to a final concentration of 50mg/ml. The disc diffusion method was used to evaluate the antibacterial activity. Sterile filter paper discs were impregnated with 100 μ l of each of the extracts, placed on Mueller Hinton agar plate incubated for 24 h. at 37° C. Distilled water served as negative control and ciprofloxacin was used as standard antibiotic to confirm that all the microorganisms tested were inhibited by the antibiotic. The antibacterial activity was evaluated by measuring the diameter of the inhibition against the tested isolate [16].

Results

In this study 124 isolates have been used and these included: *E. coli*. I 35 (7%) isolates, *E. coli* II 8 (1.6%) isolates, *E. coli* III 17 (3.4%) isolates, *E. coli* IV 22 (4.4%) isolates, *Shigella dysenteriae* 8 (1.6%) isolates, *Salmonella arizonae* 16 (3.2%) isolates, *Salmonella typhi* 12 (2.4%) isolates and *Vibrio cholera* 6 (1.2%) isolates. The susceptibilities of all the isolates were tested against 19 antimicrobials. The *E. coli*. I showed resistance to chloramphenicol (95%), ampicillin (90%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (86%), amoxicillin (80%), tetracycline (60%), streptomycin (56%), trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole (50%), erythromycin (44%), cephalexine (36%), rifampicin (32%), cefixime (30%), cefotaxime (30%), doxycyclin (26%), gentamycin (20%), nitrofurantoin (9%), nalidixic acid (9%) and tobramycin (8%). All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and amikacin.

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

The E. coli. II showed resistance to amoxicillin (92%), chloramphenicol (90%), ampicillin (87%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (85%), tetracycline (65%), streptomycin (50%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (45%), erythromycin (40%), cefixime (39%), cephalexine (39%), rifampicin (30%), cefotaxime (30%), doxycycline (25%), gentamycin (18%), tobramycin (10%), nalidixic acid (9.5%) and nitrofurantoin (8%). All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and amikacin. The E. coli. III showed resistance to amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid (90%), ampicillin (89%) amoxicillin (88%), chloramphenicol (80%), tetracycline (70%), streptomycin (52%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (45%), erythromycin (42%), cefixime (35%), cefotaxime (35%), cephalexine (35%), rifampicin (29%), doxycyclin (28%), gentamycin (18%), nalidixic acid (10%), tobramycin (8.5%) and nitrofurantoin (8.5%). All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and amikacin. The E. coli. IV showed resistance to amoxicillin / clavulanic acid (89%), ampicillin (89%), chloramphenicol (85%), amoxicillin (81%), tetracycline (66%), streptomycin (55%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (55%), erythromycin (43%), cefixime (34%), cefotaxime (33%), rifampicin (30%), cephalexine (30%), doxycyclin (22%), gentamycin (22%), nitrofurantoin (9.8%), nalidixic acid (9.5%) and tobramycin (8%). All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and amikacin (Figure 1). Salmonella arizonae showed resistance to streptomycin, nalidixic acid, erythromycin and cephalexine (100% each), amoxicillin (90%), amoxicillin / clavulanic acid (80%), tetracycline (80%), gentamycin (80%), ampicillin (70%), cefixime (70%), tobramycin (70%), rifampicin (50%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (30%), amikacin (20%), cefotaxime (20%).All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, doxycyclin, and chloramphenicol. Salmonella typhi showed resistance to amoxicillin, erythromycin, cephalexine and nalidixic acid (100% each), tobramycin (80%), chloramphenicol (80%), ampicillin (80%), streptomycin amoxicillin / clavulanic acid (75%), rifampicin (70%), tetracycline (70%), (80%).trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (70%), gentamycin, (60%), cefixime (30%), amikacin (25%), cefotaxime (20%) and doxycyclin (5%). All isolates these were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin.

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Shigella dysenteriae showed resistance to amoxicillin / clavulanic acid, amoxicillin, ampicillin, and streptomycin (100% each), amikacin (90%), tetracycline (80%), gentamycin (80%), tobramycin (70%), cefixime (50%), erythromycin (50%), rifampicin (50%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (30%). All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, cefotaxime, cephalexine, doxycyclin, nitrofurantoin and chloramphenicol.

V. cholerae showed resistance to amoxicillin / clavulanic acid, amoxicillin, ampicillin, rifampicin, cephalexine, and tobramycin (100% each), tri-methoprimsulfamethoxazole (86%), erythromycin (50%), cefixime (40%), streptomycin (30%) and tetracycline (5%). All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, amikacin, gentamycin, cefotaxime, doxycyclin, nitrofurantoin and chloramphenicol (Figure 2).

Figure 1: Susceptibility pattern (%) of *E coli I, E coli II, E coli III and E coli IV i*n standard antibiogram.

DIVALATING CLARENCE

The Effect of Methanolic Extract of *Quercus infectoria* Against Some Causative Agents of Diarrhea and Eliminate their Antimicrobial Resistance

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Figure 2: Susceptibility pattern (%) of *Salmonella arizonae*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Shigella dysenteriae* and *Vibrio cholera* in standard antibiogram.

Antibacterial activity of plant extracts against isolates showed that crude methanolic extract of *Q. infectoria* had no effect against all bacteria under study in a concentration of 0.625 mg/ml and less of then. But more active against *E. coli* polyvalent I and IV as evidenced by the size of the inhibition zones which was 30mm and *E. coli II, III, Sh. desenterae* and *V. cholera* of 15mm each, *S. arizona* and *S. typhi* 10 mm each in a concentration of 20.0 mg/ml. The result of antibacterial activity of methanolic extract of *Q. infectoria* against *E. coli* I, II, III, IV, *Sh. desenterae, S. arizonae, S. typhi* and *V. cholera* revealed that the diameters of the inhibition zones were 21, 12, 12, 25, 14, 6, 7 and 13 mm respectively in a concentration of 10.0 mg/ml. The results of antibacterial activity of methanolic extract of *Q. infectoria* against *E. coli* I, II, III, IV, *Sh. desenterae, S. arizonae, S. typhi* and *V. cholera* revealed that the diameters of the inhibition zones were 21, 12, 12, 25, 14, 6, 7 and 13 mm respectively in a concentration of 10.0 mg/ml. The results of antibacterial activity of methanolic extract of *Q. infectoria* against *E. coli* I, II, III, IV, and *Sh. desenterae, S. arizonae, S. typhi* and *V. cholera* showed that the size of the inhibition zones were 15, 1, 1, 25, 11, 5, 5, and 10 mm respectively in concentration of 50 mg/ml. There were no effects of this extraction against *S. arizonae and S. typhi* at a

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

concentration in 2.5 mg/ml, there only one effect on *E. coli IV* 10mm in concentration 1.25 mg/ml. All inhibition zones were compared with ciprofloxacin as a control, where the sensitive strains displayed an inhibition zone of 14-15 mm. Table (1).

 Table 1: Antibacterial activity of methanol extract of Q. infectoria at different concentration against

 bacteria

Vibrio cholerae	Salmonella typhi	Salmonella Arizona	Shigella desenterae	E. coli polyvalent IV	<i>E. coli</i> polyvalent III	<i>E. coli</i> polyvalent II	E. coli polyvalent I	Concentration (mg/ml)	No.	
15	10	10	15	30	15	15	30	20.0	1 2	3
13	7	6	14	25	12	12	21	10.0	2	4
10	5	5	11	25	1	1	15	5.0	3	H
6	0	0	7	15	8	5	6	2.5	4	
0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	1.25	5	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.625	6	/
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1563	7	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0781	8	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0391	9	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0195	10	
15	14	14	15	15	15	15	15	Ciprofloxacin	C	

C: control antibiotic, Inhibition zone (in mm)

The MIC of the methanol extract of *Q. infectoria* infection against different bacteria ranging between 2.5 mg/ml - 20.0 mg / ml and the MBC was 1.25 mg/ml - 20.0 mg / ml (Table 2).

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Table 2: Determination of MIC and MBC values of methanol extract of Q.	<i>infectoria</i> against								
different bacteria									

Vibrio cholerae	Salmonella typhi	Salmonella Arizona	Shigella desenterae	<i>E. coli</i> polyvalent IV	<i>E. coli</i> polyvalent III	E. coli polyvalent II	<i>E. coli</i> polyvalent I	Concentration(mg/ml)	No.
0.001	0.009	0.020	0.015	0.010	0.015	0.010	0.011	20.0	1
0.002	0.009**	0.015	0.020	0.011	0.020**	0.023	0.022	10.0	2
0.011**	0.010*	0.016**	0.021**	0.015	0.022	0.024**	0.022**	5.0	3
0.021*	0.140	0.018*	0.030*	0.019**	0.024*	0.020*	0.031*	2.5	4
0.126	0.240	0.130	0.040	0.020*	0.120	0.122	0.091	1.25	5
0.222	0.266	0.198	0.122	0.122	0.190	0.222	0.144	0.625	6
0.381	0.340	0.251	0.198	0.255	0.250	0.311	0.230	0.1563	7
0.455	0.397	0.311	0.265	0.299	0.311	0.345	0.298	0.0781	8
0.491	0.454	0.390	0.311	0.331	0.389	0.398	0.340	0.0391	9
0.511	0.511	0.452	0.390	0.411	0.450	0.444	0.388	0.0195	10
0.598	0.602	0.564	0.539	0.577	0.544	0.566	0.554	Control	11

MIC*, *MBC*

Discussion

In this study, 124 bacterial pathogens were found in 24.8% of the total number of samples, of those 35 (7%) were identified as *E. coli*. I, 8 (1.6%) were *E. coli* II, 17 (3.4%) *E. coli* III, 22 (4.4%) *E. coli* IV, 8 (1.6%) *Shigella dysenteriae*, 16 (3.2%) *Salmonella arizonae*, 12 (2.4%) *Salmonella typhi* and 6 (1.2%) were identified as *Vibrio cholera*. These results were in agreement with the results of Brad *et al.* [6] and Behiry *et al.* [5] who found that *E. coli* was the most common isolate group and the percentage of infection with both *E. coli* and *Salmonella spp.*was 1.81% for each.

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Most isolates of E. coli were highly resistant to amoxicillin (80-90%), amoxicillin / clavulanic acid (85-90%) and ampicillin (81-92%). It was found that all isolates of E. coli were sensitive to cephalexine and ciprofloxacin and this finding is similar to what was obtained by Estrada-Garcia et al. [17] who found all isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin and cefotaxime. Ciprofloxacin and other quinolones are not approved for children because of the risk of damage to immature joints and most parental third generation cephalosporins (cefotaxime) are administered only in a hospital setting. Shigella spp. seems to be resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin and rifampicine and these results are similar to those of Al-Shuwalli [18]. In addition Shigella spp. were found to be highly sensitive to ciprofloxacin, nalidixicacid and cefotaxime [19]. All Salmonella spp. were sensitive to aminoglycosides, beta lactam, qiunolones, co- trimoxazole group and azithromycin [20]. The resistance of V. cholera to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and erythromycin were 86% and 50% respectively, and this finding is similar to that recorded by Keramat et al [21] where the resistance rates were 98% and 62% for trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole and erythromycin respectively. V. cholera was also found to be susceptible to cephalexine, nalidixic acid, gentamycin and fluroquinolones [2] and this agrees with our results. Several resistance mechanisms such as plasmid encoded resistance, mutation in the quinolones resistance determine regions, intergrons and efflux pumps may be responsible for this resistance [11].

Antibacterial activity of crude methanol extract of *Q. infectoria* against isolates showed that no effect against all bacteria under study at concentration of 0.625 mg/ml and less of then. But more active against *E. coli* polyvalent I and IV result in inhibition zones 30mm of each of them and *E. coli II, III, Sh. desenterae* and *V. cholera* 15mm, *S. arizona* and *S. typhi* 10mm each of them in concentration 20.0mg/ml.

Antibacterial activity of methanol extract of *Q. infectoria* against *E. coli* I, II, III, IV, *Sh. desenterae, S. arizonae, S. typhi* and *V. cholera* revealed that the diameters of the inhibition zones were 21, 12, 12, 25, 14, 6, 7 and 13 mm respectively at a concentration of 10.0mg/ml.

The sizes of the inhibition zones of methanol extract of *Q*. *infectoria* against *E*. *coli* I, II, III, IV and *Sh. desenterae*, *S. arizonae*, *S. typhi* and *V. cholera* were 15, 1, 1, 25, 11, 5, 5, and 10

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

mm respectively 5 mg/ml. There were no effects of this extract against S. arizonae and S. typhi at 2.5 mg/ml, there only one effect on E. coli IV (10 mm) at a concentration of 1.25 mg/ml. Our results are in agreement with Leela and Satirapipathkul [22] who found that Q. infectoria have antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The antimicrobial effect of aqueous, methanol and ethanol extracts of Q. infectoria on the isolated bacterial species has been studied previously and found that the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ethanol extract on *E. coli* was 6.25 mg/ml [23] another study by Shariatifar *et al.* [24] showed that extracts from Q. infectoria showed good antimicrobial activity against food borne pathogens and the authors concluded that the extracts can be used in food preservation systems to inhibit the growth of these bacteria and improve food quality and safety. The MIC of the methanol extracts of Q. infectoria against different bacteria was ranging between 2.5 mg/ml and 20.0 mg / ml and the MBC was 1.25 mg/ml - 20.0 mg/ ml. Q. infectoria antibacterial property against common pathogens such as Enterococcus faecalis, Streptococcus pyogenes, and Bacillus cereus has been studied previously and the results showed that the MIC values against each bacteria species were ranging from 0.16 to 0.63 mg/ml and the methanol extract showed higher MBC value (0.31 mg/mL) [25].

Conclusions

The isolated bacteria showed high resistance to most widely used antibiotics and the methanol extract from *Q. infectoria* showed high potential as antibacterial agent.

Author contribution

Dr. Zirk Faki Ahmed Abdul Rahman, Dr. Beyman Akram Hama Said and conducted the study, collected the data and performed the diagnostic of bacteria and drafting of the article. Dr. Rana Mujahid Abdullah Al-Shwaikh contributed in the designing, organization and finalization of the protocol.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning this work.

Funding

Self-funding.

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

References

- Kotra, L. P., Samama, J. and Mobashery, S. (2002): β lactamases and resistance to βlactam antibiotics. In Lewis, K., Salyers, A. A., Tabar, H. W. and Wax, R. G. Eds. Bacterial resistance to antimicrobials. Marcel Decker, New York. 123
- Boucher H.W., Talbot G.H., Bradley J.S., Edwards J. E., Gilbert D., Rice L. B., *et al.* Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! (2009). An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 48:1–12, DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix397</u>
- Amin V, Patwari A. K., Kumar G., Anand V. K., Diwan N. and Peshin S. (1995). Clinical profile of cholera in young children--a hospital based report. Indian Pediatr. 32(7):755-61.
- Bansod S. and Rai M. (2008). Antifungal activity of essential oils from Indian medicinal plants against human pathogenic *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *A. niger*. World J. Med. Sci.3(2):81-88.
- Baron E.J., Finegold S. M. and Peterson I.L.R. (2007). Bailey and Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology.9th ed. Mosby Company. Missouri.
- Behiry I.K., Abada E.A., Ahmed E.A. and Labeeb R. S. (2001). Enter pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Associated with Diarrhea in Children in Cairo, Egypt. Sci. World J.11: 2613–9.
- Brad G.F., Sabau I., Simedrea I., Belei O., Marcovici T. and Popoiu C. (2011) . *Pseudomonas areuginosa* and antibiotic- associated diarrhea in children. T. M. J. 61(1): 237-242.
- Cowan. (1999).Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 12(4):546-82.
- Dar M.S.; Ikram M. and Fakouh T. (1976). Pharmacology of *Quercus infectoria*. J. Pharmacol. Soc. 65: 1791-4.
- 10. Darwish S. H. (1993). The antibacterial effect of fruit extracts of *Rhus cariaria*, *Prosopis faracta* and *Punica grantum* plants on three pathogenic bacteria. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Science, University of Mosul.
- 11. Faruque S.M. and Nair G.B. (2008). Vibrio cholera: Genomics and molecular Biology

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

book. Daka- 1212, Bangladesh and National institute of cholera and enteric disease.

- **12.** Harborne J.B., Marbray T.J.and Marbary H. (1975). Physiology and function of flavonoids. Academic Press, New York.
- 13. Bauer, A.; Kirby, W.M.M. Sherris, J. C. and Truck, M. (1966). Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol.43:493–496. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/aqx012</u>
- 14. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2012). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Second Informational Supplement. CLSI document M 100-S22. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- 15. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. (2000). Methods for dilution antimicrobial Susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; Approved Standard M7-A5, 5th ed. NCCLS, Pennsylvania.
- 16. Olaleye M.T.T. (2007). Cytotoxicity and antibacterial activity of methodic extract of *Hibiscus sabdariffia*. J. Med.Plants.1:9-13.DOI: 10.5897/JMPR.
- Estrada-Garcia T., Cerbna J.F., Paheco-Gil L., Velazquez R.F., Ochoa T.J. Torres J. and et al. (2005). Drug resistant Diarrheogenic E. coli, Mexico. Emergence infectious diseases. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA. 11 (8):1-3.
- 18. Al- Shawalli N .A. A. (2004). Study the effect of some disinfectants and antiseptics on some species of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* isolated from diarrhea states. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Science, Al- Mustansiryia University.
- Hawezy A.A. (2000). The causes of diarrhea among chidren in Erbil district. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Medicine, University of Duhok.
- 20. Stok N. and Wiedemann B. (2000). Natural antibiotic susceptibility of Salmonella enteric strains. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 16 (3):211-7.DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.01.037</u>
- 21. Keramat F., Hashemi S.H., Mamani M., Ranjbar M., and Erfan H. (2008). Survey of antibiogram tests in cholera patients in the 2005 epidemic in Hamadan, Islamic Republic of Iran. East Mediterr. Health J. 14(4):768-75.
- 22. Leela, T. and Satirapipathkul, C. (2011). Studies on the Antibacterial Activity of Quercus

Zirk Faki Ahmed, Beyman Akram Hama and Rana Mujahid Abdullah

Infectoria Galls International Conference on Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics. (5): 410-414.

- 23. Darogha, S. N. (2009). Antibacterial activity of *Quercus infectoria* extracts against bacterial isolated from wound infection. Journal of Kirkuk University–Scientific Studies. 4(1):20-30.
- 24. Shariatifar, N.; Fathabad, A. E.; Khaniki, G. J. and Nasrabadi, H. G. (2014). Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of essential oil and aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Quercus infectoria* leaves on food-borne pathogenic bacteria. Int. J. Pharma Sci. and Res. (IJPSR). 5 (10):709-213.
- 25. Basri, D. F., Tan, L. S. Shafiei, Z. and Zin, N. M. (2012). *In vitro* Antibacterial Activity of Galls of *Quercus infectoria* Olivier against Oral Pathogens. Evid. Based Complementary Altern. Med. 6.

