Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

# On $P_{\beta}$ -Open Sets and $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute Functions

Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Diyala, Iraq- Diyala

### Received : 2 February 2016 ; Accepted : 21 February 2016

# Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and study generalization of open sets called  $P_{\beta}$ open. This class is weaker than the class  $p\theta$ -open sets and stronger than the class pre-open
sets. We studied the relation between these sets with other types of open sets and we gave
several characterization about these sets. Also, we defined and investigated class of functions
called  $P_{\beta}$ -irresolute and  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute. Several properties and interesting haracterization
concerning of these a new types of functions are obtained.
Key words: pre-open,  $p\theta$ -open,  $P_{\beta}$ -open,  $P_{\beta}$ -irresolute,  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute.

والدوال الغير حازمة من نمط -  $P_{eta}$ حول المجموعات المفتوحة من نمط -  $P_{eta}$ 

أنتصار عليوي عبيد جميل محمود جميل كلية العلوم / جامعة ديالي

الخلاصة

الغرض الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو تقديم تعميم جديد من المجموعات المفتوحة يدعى المجموعات المفتوحة من نمط - $P_{\beta}$ . هذه العائلة من المجموعات تكون أضعف من المجموعات المفتوحة من نمط- $p\theta$  و أقوى من المجموعات المفتوحة ألأولية . قدمنا عدة خواص حول هذه المجموعات. كذلك قمنا بدراسة نوع جديد من الدوال اسميناها الدوال الغير حازمة من نمط - $P_{\beta}$  و الدوال الغير الحازمة من نمط - $P_{\beta}^{*}$  حيث درسنا العلاقة بين تلك الدوال وقدمنا العديد من الخواص حول هذه الدوال و بر هنة العديد من النظريات حول هذا النوع من الدوال.

كلمات مفتاحية: مجموعة مفتوحة اولية، مجموعة مفتوحة من نمط-p heta، مجموعة مفتوحة من نمط  $P_{eta}$ ، دالة غير حازمة من نمط  $P_{eta}$ ، دالة غير حازمة من نمط- $P_{eta}$ ، دالة غير حازمة من نمط- $P_{eta}$ .



Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

# **Introduction**

In 1982, El-Deeb S.N.[4] and others introduced the concept of pre-open which plays important role in topology. Since that many authors using this definition to study and investigate new topological properties. In same year, pre-irresolute function which is stronger than pre-continuity was studied by Rielly I.L[14]. In 1983, Abd El-Monsef M.E.[1] defined the concept of  $\beta$ -open and  $\beta$ -closed sets in topological space which several properties and theorems had been investigated. Later, Abd El-Monsef and Mahmoud R. A[8], studied  $\beta$ irresolute and  $\beta$ -topological invariant by using the notion of  $\beta$ -open set. The aim of this work to introduce and study a new class of sets called  $P_{\beta}$ -open by using these sets we define a new class of irresolute functions called  $P_{\beta}$ -irresolute and  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute.

### 1.Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, For any subset B of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the interior and closure of B are denoted by int(B) and cl(B), respectively.

**Definition 1.1** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- 1) Pre-open [4], if  $A \subseteq int \ cl \ (A)$ .
- 2)  $\alpha$ -open [13], if  $A \subseteq int \ cl \ int \ (A)$ .
- 3)  $\beta$ -open [1], if  $A \subseteq cl$  int cl (A).
- 4) Regular open [15], if A = int cl (A).

**Definition 1.2** The complement of pre-open (resp.,  $\alpha$ -open,  $\beta$ -open, and regular open) is called pre-closed [6] (resp.,  $\alpha$ -closed [14],  $\beta$ -closed [1], and regular closed[15]). The family of all pre-open (resp.,  $\alpha$ -open,  $\beta$ -open, and  $\beta$ -closed) is denoted by

 $PO(X)(\text{resp.}, \alpha O(X), \beta O(X) \text{ and } \beta C(X)).$ 

**Definition 1.3** [6]The intersection of all pre-closed sets of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  containing a subset *A* is called pre-closure of *A* and its denoted by pcl(A).

**Definition 1.4**[6] The union of all pre-open (resp.,  $\beta$ -open) of topological space

 $(X, \tau)$  contained in a subset A is called pre-interior(resp.,  $\beta$ -interior) of A and its denoted by pint(A)(resp.,  $\beta int(A)$ ).





Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

**Definition 1.5** [16]A subset *A* of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\delta$ -open(resp.,  $\theta$ -open) if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an open set *G* such that  $x \in G \subseteq int cl(G) \subseteq A$  (resp.,  $x \in G \subseteq cl(G) \subseteq A$ ).

The complement of  $\delta$ -open(resp.,  $\theta$ -open) set is called  $\delta$ -closed (resp.,  $\theta$ -closed).

**Definition 1.6** [12] A subset *A* of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $p\theta$ -open, if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an pre-open set *G* such that  $x \in G \subseteq pcl(G) \subseteq A$ .

The complement of  $p\theta$ -open set is called  $p\theta$ -closed.

**Proposition 1.7** [4] Let  $\{A_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be the family of pre-open sets in topological space( $X, \tau$ ),

then  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta} A_{\lambda}$  is pre-open set in *X*.

**Proposition 1.8**[12] every  $\theta$ -open is  $p\theta$ -open set.

**Definition 1.9** [16] A subset A of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called pre-regular if A is both preopen and pre-closed.

**Proposition 1.10**[2] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. If  $A \in PO(X)$  and  $B \in \tau$ , then  $A \cap B \in PO(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.11** [2]Let  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be a subspace of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ 

- 1) If  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$  and  $A \subseteq Y$ , then  $A \in PO(Y, \tau_Y)$ .
- 2)  $A \in PO(Y, \tau_Y)$  and  $Y \in PO(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 1.12[1]** Let A and Y be any subsets of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If A is  $\beta$ -open set in X and Y is  $\alpha$ -open set in X, then  $A \cap Y$  is  $\beta$ -open set in Y.

**Proposition 1.13** [3]Let *A* and *Y* be any subsets of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and *Y* is  $\alpha$ -open set in *X*, then  $A \in \beta O(Y)$  if and only if  $A \in \beta O(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.14** if  $H \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  such that  $H \in \beta C(Y)$  and  $Y \in \alpha O(X) \cap \beta C(X)$ , then  $H \in \beta C(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let *H* be  $\beta$ -closed set in *Y*, then  $Y \setminus H$  is  $\beta$ -open in *Y*. Since *Y* is  $\alpha$ -open set in *X*, then by Proposition 1.13,  $Y \setminus H$  is  $\beta$ -open in *X* and so  $X \setminus (Y \setminus H) = F$  is  $\beta$ -closed set and since *Y* is  $\beta$ -closed set in *X*, then  $F \cap Y = H$  is also  $\beta$ -closed set in *X*.





Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

S

**Definition 1.15** [10] A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to pre- $T_1$  space if for each two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists pre-open set *G* containing *x* but not *y* and pre-open set *H* containing *y* but not *x*.

**Proposition 1.16** [10] A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is pre- $T_1$  space if and only if for any point  $x \in X$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is pre-closed.

**Definition 1.17** [7]A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is submaximal if every dense subset of X is open.

Proposition 1.18 [7] in submaximal space, every pre-open is open.

**Theorem 1.19**[2] A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -regular, if for any open set G in  $(X, \tau)$  and each point  $x \in G$ , there exists a  $\beta$ -open set H such that  $x \in H \subseteq \beta cl(H) \subseteq G$ .

**Definition 1.20** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta)$  is called

- 1) Pre-irresolute [5], if the inverse image of every pre-open set in Y is pre-open set in X.
- 2)  $\beta$ -irresolute [8], if the inverse image of every  $\beta$ -open in Y is  $\beta$ -open in X.
- 3) Completely pre-irresolute[9], if the inverse image of every pre-open set in *Y* is regular open set in *X*.
- 2.  $P_{\beta}$ -open set

**Definition 2.1** A pre-open subset *A* of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $P_{\beta}$ -open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\beta$ -closed set *F* such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . The family of  $P_{\beta}$ -open is denoted by  $P_{\beta}O(X)$ .

**Remark 2.2** Every  $P_{\beta}$ -open set is pre-open.

But the converse is not true as showing in the next example.

**Example 2.3** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  equipped with topology  $\tau = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$ , then PO(X) =

 $\{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}, \beta C(X) = \{\phi, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}, \text{ and } P_{\beta}O(X) = \{\phi, X\}.$  Clearly  $\{b\}$  is pre-open but it is not  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Proposition 2.4** Every  $p\theta$ -open is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Proof.** Let *A* be any  $p\theta$ -open subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If *A* is empty set, then there is nothing to proof. If *A* is non empty set. Let  $x \in A$ , then there exists a pre-open *U* such

DIVALA LINUVERSITE UIVALA LINUVERSITE UIVALA LINUVERSITE UIVALA LINUVERSITE UIVALA LINUVERSITE

On  $P_{\beta}$ -Open Sets and  $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute Functions

Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

that  $x \in U \subseteq pcl(U) \subseteq A$ . Since pcl(U) is pre-closed, then it is  $\beta$ -closed, and A is pre-open,

since  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$ ,  $U_x$  is a pre-open set for all x. Hence A is  $P_\beta$ -open set.

**Corollary 2.5** Every  $\theta$ -open is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

Proof. Follows from Proposition (2.4) and Proposition (1.8).

**Proposition 2.6** every  $\delta$ -open is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Proof.** Let *A* be any  $\delta$ -open subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If *A* is empty set, then there is nothing to proof. If not, let  $x \in A$ , then there exists an open set *G* such that  $x \in G \subseteq$  *int*  $cl(G) \subseteq A$ . Since *int* cl(G) is regular open, then it is  $\beta$ -closed and since *A* is pre-open, then *A* is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

However open and  $P_{\beta}$ -open sets are independent as showing in the following examples:

**Example 2.7** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  equipped with topology  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ , then  $\tau = PO(X)$  and  $P_{\beta}O(X) = \{\phi, X\}$ . Clearly  $\{a\}$  is open but it is not  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Example 2.8** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  equipped with topology  $\tau = \{\phi, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ , then  $P_{\beta}O(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ . Hence  $\{a, b, c\}$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open but it is not open set.

**Proposition 2.9** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -regular, then  $\tau \subseteq P_{\beta}O(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let *W* be non-empty open set, then  $x \in W$ . But  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -regular. by Theorem (1.19), there exists  $\beta$ -open set *U* such that  $x \in U \subseteq \beta cl(U) \subseteq W$  and since *W* is an open set, then *W* is pre-open. Hence *W* is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Proposition 2.10** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is pre- $T_1$  space, then  $PO(X) = P_\beta O(X)$ 

**Proof.** Clearly every  $P_{\beta}$ -open set is pre-open. On the other hand, let *A* is pre-open. If *A* is empty set, then the proof is done, if *A* is non-empty set, then  $x \in \{x\} \subseteq A$ . By Proposition (1.16),  $\{x\}$  is pre-closed, so  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta$ -closed. Hence *A* is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Proposition 2.11** In submaximal topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $P_{\beta}$ -open set is open **Proof.** Follows from Proposition(1.18).

**Proposition 2.12** Let  $\{A_{\gamma}: \gamma \in I\}$  be a collection of  $P_{\beta}$ -open sets in topological space $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\bigcup \{A_{\gamma}: \gamma \in I\}$  is also  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.



### Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

### Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

**Proof.** By Proposition (1.7),  $\bigcup \{A_{\gamma}: \gamma \in I\}$  is pre-open set. Let  $x \in \bigcup \{A_{\gamma}: \gamma \in I\}$ , then there exists  $\gamma_o \in I$  such that  $x \in A_{\gamma_o}$  and since  $A_{\gamma_o}$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set, then there exists  $\beta$ -closed set  $F_{\gamma_o}$  such that  $x \in F_{\gamma_o} \subseteq A_{\gamma_o} \subseteq \bigcup \{A_{\gamma}: \gamma \in I\}$ . Hence  $\bigcup \{A_{\gamma}: \gamma \in I\}$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

**Proposition 2.13** Let *A* and *B* are subsets of topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ). If  $A \in P_{\beta}O(X)$  and  $B \in \tau \cap \beta C(X)$ , then  $A \cap B \in P_{\beta}O(X)$ .

**Proof.** By Proposition (1.10),  $A \cap B \in PO(X)$ . Let  $x \in A \cap B$  and since A is  $P_{\beta}$ -open, then there exists  $\beta$ -closed F such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . Since the intersection of  $\beta$ -closed is also  $\beta$ closed, then  $F \cap B$  is  $\beta$ -closed set such that  $x \in F \cap B \subseteq A \cap B$ . Hence  $A \cap B$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open.

**Proposition 2.14** Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be  $\alpha$ -open subspace of topological space $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A \in P_{\beta}O(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \in P_{\beta}O(Y, \tau_Y)$ .

**Proof.** Let *A* be  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ), then *A* is pre-open set and for each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\beta$ -closed *F* such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . Since *A* is pre-open in topological space (*X*,  $\tau$ ) and  $A \subseteq Y$ , then by Proposition 1.11(1) *A* is pre-open set in *Y*. Since *F* is  $\beta$ -closed set in *X*, then  $X \setminus F$  is  $\beta$ -open set in *X* and since *Y* is  $\alpha$ -open in *X*, then by Proposition (1.12),  $(X \setminus F) \cap Y = Y \setminus F$  is  $\beta$ -open in *Y*. Thus *F* is  $\beta$ -closed in *Y*. Hence *A* is  $P_{\beta}$ -open in subspace(*Y*,  $\tau_Y$ ).

**Proposition 2.15** Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and Y be  $\alpha$ -open and  $\beta$ -closed subsets of topological space( $X, \tau$ ). If  $A \in P_{\beta}O(Y, \tau_Y)$ , then  $A \in P_{\beta}O(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** If  $A ext{ is } P_{\beta} ext{-open set in subspace } (Y, \tau_Y)$ , then  $A ext{ is pre-open set in } Y$  and for each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\beta$ -closed F in Y such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . Since A is pre-open in Y and Y is pre-open in X, then by Proposition 1.11(2) A is pre-open set in X. Since F is  $\beta$ -closed in Y and since Y be  $\alpha$ -open and  $\beta$ -closed in topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then by Proposition 1.14, F is  $\beta$ -closed in X. Hence A is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.16** A subset *A* of topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ) is called *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-closed, if its complement of *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-open set. The family of all *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-closed subsets of topological space (*X*,  $\tau$ ) is denoted by *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>*C*(*X*).

DIVALA LISUVERSIT

On  $P_{\beta}$ -Open Sets and  $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute Functions

Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

**Proposition 2.17** A subset *B* of topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ) is called *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-closed if and only if *B* is pre-open and its intersection of *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-open sets.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 2.18** The intersection of any  $P_{\beta}$ -closed subsets of topological space( $X, \tau$ ) is also  $P_{\beta}$ -closed.

**Proof.** Follows from the fact that the union of  $P_{\beta}$ -open sets is also  $P_{\beta}$ -open set.

The union of  $P_{\beta}$ -closed subsets of topological space( $X, \tau$ ) need not be  $P_{\beta}$ -closed as showing in the following example.

**Example 2.19** In Example 2.8,  $P_{\beta}C(X) =$ 

 $\{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}, \text{ then } \{a\}, \{d\} \in P_{\beta}C(X, \tau).$ But  $\{a\} \cup \{d\} = \{a, d\} \notin P_{\beta}C(X, \tau).$ 

**Proposition 2.20** If *A* is pre-regular subset of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then *A* is both  $P_{\beta}$ -open and  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 2.21** For any subset A of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . if A is one of the following:

- 1) A is  $\theta$ -closed.
- 2) A is  $P\theta$ -closed.
- 3) A is  $\delta$ -closed.

Then it is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Proposition 2.22** Let *A* and *B* are any subsets of topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ). If  $A \in P_{\beta}C(X)$  and  $B \in C(X) \cap P_{\beta}O(X)$ , then  $A \cap B \in P_{\beta}C(X)$ .

**Proof.** Follows from Proposition (2.13).

**Proposition 2.23** Let  $B \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and Y be  $\alpha$ -closed subspace of topological space( $X, \tau$ ). If  $A \in P_{\beta}C(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \in P_{\beta}C(Y, \tau_Y)$ .

 $= -p = (-, -), \quad = -p = (-, -),$ 

**Proof.** Follows from Proposition (2.14).





**Proposition 2.24** Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and *Y* be  $\alpha$ -closed and  $\beta$ -open subset of topological

space( $X, \tau$ ). If  $A \in P_{\beta}C(Y, \tau_Y)$ , then  $A \in P_{\beta}C(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Follows from Proposition (2.15).

**Definition 2.25** If A is any subset of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $P_{\beta}$ -interior of A is the

largest  $P_{\beta}$ -open set contained in A. Which is denoted by  $P_{\beta}int(A)$ .

**Definition 2.26** Let A is any subset of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a point p of X is said to be  $P_{\beta}$ -

interior point of A, if there exists a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set U containing p such that  $p \in U \subseteq A$ .

**Proposition 2.27** For any subset A of topological space( $X, \tau$ ),  $P_{\beta}int(A) \subseteq Pint(A) \subseteq$ 

 $\beta int(A)$ .

Proof. Obvious.

Here some properties of  $P_{\beta}$ -interior operator.

**Proposition 2.28** If A and B are any two subsets of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then

- 1)  $P_{\beta}int(A) \subseteq A$ .
- 2) A is  $P_{\beta}$ -open if and only if  $A = P_{\beta}int(A)$ .
- 3) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $P_{\beta}int(A) \subseteq P_{\beta}int(B)$ .
- 4)  $P_{\beta}int(A) \cup P_{\beta}int(B) \subseteq P_{\beta}int(A \cup B).$
- 5)  $P_{\beta}int(A \cap B) \subseteq P_{\beta}int(A) \cap P_{\beta}int(B).$

Proof. Obvious.

**Definition 2.29** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $B \subseteq X$ , then  $P_{\beta}$ -closure of B is the intersection of all  $P_{\beta}$ -closed sets which containing B or equivalently the smallest  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set containing B which is denoted by  $P_{\beta}cl(B)$ .

For the following proposition, routine proof so it is omitted.

**Proposition 2.30** Let *B* be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $x \in P_{\beta}cl(B)$  if and only if for each  $P_{\beta}$ -open set *U* containing  $x, U \cap B \neq \phi$ .

**Proposition 2.31** For any subset *B* of topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ),  $pcl(B) \subseteq P_{\beta}cl(B)$ .



Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

Proof. Let  $x \notin P_{\beta}cl(B)$ , then by Proposition 2.30, there exists  $P_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x such that  $U \cap B = \phi$ . But U is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set, thus U is a pre-open containing x. This implies  $x \notin pcl(B)$ . Hence  $pcl(B) \subseteq P_{\beta}cl(B)$ .

**Proposition 2.32** If *A* is a  $P_{\beta}$ -open and *B* is any subset of a topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ). then  $A \cap B = \phi$  if and only if  $P_{\beta}int(A) \cap P_{\beta}cl(B) = \phi$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $A \cap B = \phi$ , then  $A \subseteq X \setminus B$ . By Proposition 2.28 and lemma 2.

 $P_{\beta}int(A) \subseteq P_{\beta}int(X \setminus B) = X \setminus P_{\beta}cl(B)$ . Therefore  $P_{\beta}int(A) \cap P_{\beta}cl(B) = \phi$ .

Conversely,  $P_{\beta}int(A) \cap P_{\beta}cl(B) = \phi$ , this implies that  $P_{\beta}int(A) \subseteq X \setminus P_{\beta}cl(B) =$ 

 $P_{\beta}int(X \setminus B)$ . But A is a  $P_{\beta}$ -open, thus  $A \subseteq P_{\beta}int(X \setminus B) \subseteq X \setminus B$ . Hence  $A \cap B = \phi$ .

**Definition 2.34** Let *A* be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called  $P_{\beta}$ limit point of *A*, if for each  $P_{\beta}$ -open set *U* containing  $x, U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . The set of all  $P_{\beta}$ limit points of *A* is called  $P_{\beta}$ -derived set of *A* and denoted by  $P_{\beta}D(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.35** Let *A* be any subset of a topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ). If *F* is a  $\beta$ -closed set in topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ) containing *x* such that  $F \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ , then  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A)$ .

**Proof.** Let *U* be an  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ) containing *x*, then *U* is pre-open and there exists  $\beta$ -closed set *F* such that  $x \in F \subseteq U$ , for each  $x \in U$ . By hypothesis  $F \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ , thus  $U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Hence  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.36** If a subset *B* of a topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ) is *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-closed, then *B* contains all of its *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-limit points.

**Proof.** Suppose that *B* is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed, then  $X \setminus B$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set and since  $(X \setminus B) \cap B = \phi$ . Therefore  $(X \setminus B) \cap B - \{x\} = \phi$  for each  $x \in X \setminus B$ . Thus no point of  $X \setminus B$  is limit point of *B*. Hence *B* contains all of its  $P_{\beta}$ -limit points.

**Proposition 2.37** Let *A* be any subset of a topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ). If *A* is *P*<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-closed, then  $P_{\beta}D(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Proof.** Let *A* be  $P_{\beta}$ -closed subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $x \notin A$ , then  $X \setminus A$  is a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set and since  $(X \setminus A) \cap A - \{x\} = \phi$ . Thus  $x \notin P_{\beta}D(A)$ . Therefore  $P_{\beta}D(A) \subseteq A$ . The following theorem state some basic properties of  $P_{\beta}$ -derived set

Jamil Mahmoud Jamil



**Theorem 2.38** Let A and B be any two subsets of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then

- 1)  $P_{\beta}D(\phi) = \phi$ .
- 2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $P_{\beta}D(A) \subseteq P_{\beta}D(B)$ .
- 3)  $P_{\beta}D(A \cap B) \subseteq P_{\beta}D(A) \cap P_{\beta}D(B).$
- 4)  $P_{\beta}D(A) \cup P_{\beta}D(B) \subseteq P_{\beta}D(A \cup B).$
- 5)  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A)$  Implies that  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A \setminus \{x\})$ .

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 2.39** Let A be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then

1) 
$$P_{\beta}D(P_{\beta}D(A)) \setminus A \subseteq P_{\beta}D(A).$$

2)  $P_{\beta}D(A\cup P_{\beta}D(A)) \subseteq A\cup P_{\beta}D(A).$ 

**Proof.** 1) Let  $x \in P_{\beta}D(P_{\beta}D(A)) \setminus A$ , then for any  $P_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x,  $U \cap (P_{\beta}D(A) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ , let  $y \in U \cap (P_{\beta}D(A) \setminus \{x\})$ . Since U is a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set containing yand since  $y \in P_{\beta}D(A) \setminus \{x\}$ , then  $U \cap (A \setminus \{y\}) \neq \phi$ . Let  $p \in U \cap (A \setminus \{y\})$ . But  $p \in A$  and  $x \notin A$ , thus  $p \neq x$ . It follows that  $p \in U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Hence  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A)$ . 2) Let  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A \cup P_{\beta}D(A))$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $P_{\beta}D(A \cup P_{\beta}D(A)) \subseteq A \subseteq A \cup P_{\beta}D(A)$ . Let  $x \in$   $P_{\beta}D(A \cup P_{\beta}D(A)) \setminus A$ , then for any  $P_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x,  $U \cap ((A \cup P_{\beta}D(A)) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ .  $\phi$ . It follows that either  $U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$  or  $U \cap (P_{\beta}D(A) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Similarly for (1), we only have  $U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Thus  $x \in P_{\beta}D(A)$ . In both cases we have  $P_{\beta}D(A \cup P_{\beta}D(A)) \subseteq$  $A \cup P_{\beta}D(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.40** Let *A* be any subset of a topological space(*X*,  $\tau$ ). Then  $P_{\beta}int(A) = A \setminus P_{\beta}D(X \setminus A)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in A \setminus P_{\beta}D(X \setminus A)$ , then  $x \notin P_{\beta}D(X \setminus A)$ , so there exists a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set Ucontaining x such that  $U \cap (X \setminus A) = \phi$  and so  $x \in U \subseteq A$ . Hence  $x \in P_{\beta}int(A)$ . On the other hand, let  $x \in P_{\beta}int(A)$  and since  $P_{\beta}int(A)$  is a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set such that  $P_{\beta}int(A) \cap (X \setminus A) = \phi$ , then Let  $x \in A \setminus P_{\beta}D(X \setminus A)$ . Hence  $P_{\beta}int(A) = A \setminus P_{\beta}D(X \setminus A)$ .

DIVALAN DINIYVERSIT

On  $P_{\beta}$ -Open Sets and  $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute Functions

Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

# 3. $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute and $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute functions

**Definition 3.1** a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is said to be  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, if the inverse image of every  $P_{\beta}$ - open set in Y is a  $P_{\beta}$ - open set in X.

**Definition 3.2** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is said to be  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute, if the inverse image of every pre-open set in *Y* is a  $P_{\beta}$ - open set in *X*.

**Remark 3.3** every  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute function is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute.

In general the converse is not true as showing in the next example.

**Example 3.4** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  equipped with topology  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ . Consider the identity map  $f: (X, \tau) \to (X, \tau)$ , then f is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute. But it is not  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute mapping, since  $\{a\}$  is a pre-open and  $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\}$  is not  $P_{\beta}$ - open set.

The next proposition determine the relation between pre-irresolute and  $P_{\beta}^{*}$ - Irresolute

**Proposition 3.5** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  be any function and let X be a pre- $T_1$  space then f is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute if and only if it is pre-irresolute.

**Proof.** Clearly every  $P_{\beta}^*$ . Irresolute is pre-irresolute. Conversely, suppose f is pre-irresolute and let U be a pre-open set in Y, then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is pre-open set in X. But X is pre- $T_1$  space, thus for each  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ ,  $\{x\}$  is pre-closed and hence its  $\beta$ -closed. It follows that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in X. Hence f is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute.

**Proposition 3.6** for a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1) f is  $P_{\beta}^*$  Irresolute
- For each pre-open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a P<sub>β</sub>- open set U containing x such that f(U) ⊆ V.
- 3) The inverse image of every pre-closed set in *Y* is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in *X*.

Proof. 1) $\Longrightarrow$ 2) Suppose that f is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute and let V be pre-open set in Y containing f(x), then  $f^{-1}(V) = U$  is a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in X containing x such that  $f(U) = f(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq V$ . 2) $\Longrightarrow$ 3) Let F be pre-closed in Y, then  $Y \setminus F$  is pre-open set in Y. If  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F) = \phi$ , then the proof is done. If  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F) \neq \phi$ . Let  $x \in f^{-1}(Y \setminus F)$  and so  $f(x) \in Y \setminus F$ . by 2) there



Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

exists  $P_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x such that  $f(U) \subseteq Y \setminus F$  this implies that  $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}(Y \setminus F)$ . So  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - open. But  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F) = X \setminus f^{-1}(F)$ , thus  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - closed set in X.

3)  $\Rightarrow$ 1) Let *U* be a pre-open set in *Y*, then *Y* \ *U* is a pre-closed in *Y*. By 3)  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus U) =$ 

 $X \setminus f^{-1}(U)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed in X and so,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in X. Hence f is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute.

**Proposition 3.7** for a function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1) f is  $P_{\beta}$  Irresolute.
- For each P<sub>β</sub>-open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a P<sub>β</sub>- open set U containing x such that f(U) ⊆ V.
- 3) The inverse image of every  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in Y is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in X.

Proof. Similar to the proof of proposition (3.6).

**Theorem 3.8** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute if and only if  $f(P_{\beta}cl(A)) \subseteq P_{\beta}cl(f(A))$ .

Proof. Suppose that f is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute. For any subset A of topological space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $P_{\beta}cl(f(A))$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in topological space $(Y, \xi)$ . Since f is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, then by Proposition (3.7),  $f^{-1}(P_{\beta}cl(f(A)))$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in X and since  $f(A) \subseteq P_{\beta}cl(f(A))$ , then  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(P_{\beta}cl(f(A)))$ . Therefore,  $P_{\beta}cl(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(P_{\beta}cl(f(A)))$ . Hence  $f(P_{\beta}cl(A)) \subseteq P_{\beta}cl(f(A))$ .

Conversely, let F be a  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in Y, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is any subset of X. By hypothesis,

$$f\left(P_{\beta}cl(f^{-1}(F))\right) \subseteq P_{\beta}cl\left(f(f^{-1}(F))\right) = P_{\beta}cl(F) = F, \text{ So } f^{-1}\left(f\left(P_{\beta}cl(f^{-1}(F))\right)\right) \subseteq F$$

 $f^{-1}(F)$ . Thus  $P_{\beta}cl(f^{-1}(F)) \subseteq f^{-1}(F)$  this implies that  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -closed set in X. Hence f is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute.

**Proposition 3.9** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  be an injective function. If f is pre-irresolute and  $\beta$ irresolute, then f is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

Vol: 12 No:4 , October 2016

#### Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

**Proposition 3.10** Every completely pre-irresolute is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute.

#### Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

**Proof.** Follow from the fact that every regular open set is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set. **Theorem 3.11** If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute and  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ -Irresolute, then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute. **Proof.** Let W be a pre-open set in Z. Since g is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute, then  $g^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - open set in *Y*. But *f* is  $P_{\beta}$ -irresolute, therefore  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(W)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - open set in *X*. Hence  $g \circ f$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute. **Theorem 3.12** If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute and  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  is pre-Irresolute, then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute. **Proof.** Similar to proof of the Theorem (3.11). **Theorem 3.13** If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute and  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute, then  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute. **Proof.** Similar to proof of the Theorem 3.11. **Definition 3.14** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is said to be  $P_{\beta}$ -open, if the image of every  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in X is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in Y. **Proposition 3.15** If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open and onto,  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  be any function, and  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, then  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute. **Proof.** Let W be  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in Z. Since  $g \circ f$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, then  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(W) =$  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(W))$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in X. But f is  $P_{\beta}$ -open and onto, thus  $g^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *Y*. Hence *g* is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute.

**Proposition 3.16** If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open and onto,  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  be any function, and  $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ - Irresolute, then  $g: (Y, \xi) \to (Z, \rho)$  is  $P_{\beta}^*$ -Irresolute.

**Proof.** Similar to proof of Proposition (3.15).



#### Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

#### Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

**Theorem 3.17** If  $X = T \cup S$ , where *T* and *S* are  $\alpha$ -open and  $\beta$ -closed. If  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is any function such that  $f \mid_T$  and  $f \mid_S$  are  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, then *f* is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute. **Proof.** Let *W* be a  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *Y*. Since  $f \mid_T$  and  $f \mid_S$  are  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, then  $(f \mid_T)^{-1}(W)$  and  $(f \mid_S)^{-1}(W)$  are  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *T* and *S* respectively. And by Proposition 2.15,  $(f \mid_T)^{-1}(W)$  and  $(f \mid_S)^{-1}(W)$  are  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *X*. Therefore  $f^{-1}(W) =$  $(f \mid_T)^{-1}(W) \cup (f \mid_S)^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *X*. Hence *f* is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute. **Theorem 3.18** If a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute and *A* be any regular open subset of *X*, then  $f \mid_A : (A, \tau_A) \to (Y, \xi)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ - Irresolute, then  $f^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *X*. But *A* is regular open set in *X*, thus by Proposition 2.13,  $f^{-1}(W) \cap A = (f \mid_A)^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *X* and by Proposition 2.14,  $(f \mid_A)^{-1}(W)$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -open set in *A*. Hence  $f \mid_A$  is  $P_{\beta}$ -Irresolute.

### **References**

- Abd El-Monsef M.E., El-Deeb S.N., and Mahmoud R.A., 1983, β-open sets and βcontinuous mapping. *Bull.Fac.Sci.Assuit.Univ.*, (21) 1, pp.1-18.
- 2. Ahmed N.K.,**1990**, On some types of separation axioms, M.Sc. thesis. Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Salahaddin University.
- Basu C.K., and Ghosh M.K., 2009, Locally β-closed spaces, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2(1), pp.85-96.
- 4. El-Deeb S.N., Mashhour A.S., and Abd El-Monsef M.E., **1982**, On Pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mapping, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc.* Egypt, 53, pp.47-53.
- 5. El-Deeb S.N., Mashhour A.S., and., Hasanein I.A., **1983**, On  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mapping, *Acta. Math. Hungar.*, 41, pp.213-218.
- 6. El-Deeb S.N., Mashhour A.S., Hasanein I.A., and Noiri T.,**1983**, On pre-regular spaces, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roum.*,27(4),pp.311-315.
- 7. Ganster M., **1987**, Pre-open and resolvable space, *Kyunpook Math. J.*, 27, pp.135-143.



Jamil Mahmoud Jamil

Intisar Elaiwi Ubaid

- Mahamoud R.A., and Abd El-Monsef M.E., 1990, β-irresolute and β-topological invariant, *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.*, 27, pp.285-296.
- Navalagi G. B., 2011, Completely pre-irresolute functions and Completely gpirresolute functions, *International J. of Mathematics and Computing Application*, 3(1-2), pp. 55-56.
- 10. Navalagi G. B., **2011**, Further Properties of  $\text{pre-}T_0$ ,  $\text{pre-}T_1$  and  $\text{pre-}T_2$  spaces, *International J. of Mathematics and Computing Application*, 3(1-2), pp. 67-75.
- 11. Navalagi G. B., **1998**, Pre-neighborhoods, *The Mathematics Education*, 37(4), pp.201-206.
- Nehmat K.A., and Sami A. H.,2007, On Pθ-open sets in topological spaces, Journal of Kirkuk University-Scientific studies, pp.125-132
- Njastad O.,1965, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15(3), pp.961-970.
- Reilly I.L. and Vamanmurthy M.K., **1985**, On α-continuity in topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 45(1-2), pp.27-32.
- 15. Steen L.A. and Seebach J.A., **1970**, Counter examples in topology, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, Inc., New York.
- Velico N.K., 1968, H-closed topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc.Trans.*, 78(2), pp.103-118.