Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Engineering



# Mitigation of Machine Foundation Vibration on Nearby Footing in Agypseous Soil

A Thesis Submitted to the Council of College of Engineering University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

By

## Ali Hadi Hussein

B.SC. Civil Engineering, 2017

Supervisor by

## Assist. Prof. Dr. Waad A. Zakaria

July . 2020 A.D.

IRAQ

1441 A.H.

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

(إِنَّمَا يَخْشَى اللَّهَ مِنْ عِبَادِهِ الْعُلَمَاءُ إِنَّ اللَّهَ عَزِيزُ غَفُورٌ)

صدق الله العظيم

سوره فاطر (28)

## Acknowledgments

In the name of Allah, the most beneficent, the most merciful "First praise be to "Allah" who gave me the strength and health to work and enable me to finish this work. This thesis would not have been possible without the directing and the help of several individuals who in one way or another contributed and extended their worthy assistance in the preparation and completion of this study.

First and foremost, my extreme gratitude to my supervisor Assist. Prof. Dr. Waad A. Zakaria whose genuineness and encouragement, I will never forget. Dr. Waad has been my inspiration to overcome all obstacles the completion of this research work, I consider it an honor to work with him. Appreciation and thanks are also extended to My colleagues and staff of Civil engineering department, and the staff of Soil Mechanics Laboratory.

Finally, I would like to express my love and respect to my parents, who have given me the opportunity of an education from the best institutions and support throughout my life, my brother, my sisters no word can express my gratitude to them my family.

The Researcher

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that the thesis entitled "Mitigation of Machine Foundation Vibration on Nearby Footing in Gypseous Soil" is prepared by "Ali Hadi Hussein" under my supervision at the Department of Civil Engineering-College of Engineering-Diyala University in a partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Signature: .....

Supervisor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Waad A. Zakaria

Date: / / 2020

## **COMMITTEE DECISION**

We certify that we have read the thesis entitled (Mitigation of Machine Foundation Vibration on Nearby Footing in Gypseous Soil), and we have examined the student (Ali Hadi Hussein) in its content and what is related with it, and in our opinion it is adequate as a thesis for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Examination Committee	Signature
1-Assist. Prof. Waad A. Zakarai (Ph.D.) (Supervisor)	•••••
2- Lect. Qutaiba G. Majeed (Ph.D.) (Member)	•••••
3-Assist. Prof. Safa H. Abid Awn (Ph.D.) (Member)	••••••
4- Assist. Prof. Mahdi O. Karakush (Ph.D.) (Chairman)	

Prof. Dr. Khattab S. Abdul Al-Razzaq.....(Head of Department) The thesis was ratified at the Council of College of Engineering/ University of Diyala

Signature:....

Name: Prof. Dr. Anees A. khadom

Dean of College of Engineering/University of Diyala.

Date:

## SCIENTIFIC AMENDMENTI

I certify that this thesis entitled "Mitigation of Machine Foundation Vibration on Nearby Footing in a Gypseous Soil "is prepared by "Ali Hadi Hussein "has been evaluated scientifically, therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

#### Signature:.....

Name: Prof. Dr. Bushra Suhale Zabar

Address : University of Baghdad -College of Engineering – Civil Engineering Department

Date:

### LINGUISTIC AMENDMENT

I certify that this thesis entitled "Mitigation of Machine Foundation Vibration on Nearby Footing in Gypseous Soil "is prepared by "Ali Hadi Hussein"has been corrected linguistically, therefore, it is suitable for debate by examining committee.

## Signature:.....

Name: Assist. Prof. Dr. Ghazwan Adnan Mohammed Address :University of Diyala / College of Education for Human Science

Date :

## Abstract

## Mitigation of Machine Foundation Vibration on Nearby Footing in Gypseous Soil

### By

#### Ali Hadi Hussein

#### **Supervisor**

#### Assist. Prof. Dr. Waad A. Zakaria

This study investigates the reduction of vibration effect between two footings by placing a trench between them . The first footing (designated as the source footing ), on electric-rotary motor is fitted , it has dimension of (100x100) mm. Beside the source footing a second footing is placed and on this footing the reduction in vibration effects are to be investigated . Both the source footing , nearby footing and trench are placed over compacted gypseous soil in a steel tank having gypsum contents with (50%) . Single type of footing is investigated, a square footing with dimensions (80x80) mm. Tests are performed under dry and soaking conditions. The footing is loaded with static weight, while the source footing is with its self-weight. The experimental work is carried out taking the following parameters into observance: types of materials that it is used to fill the trench (PPR pipe with SBR rubber, SBR rubber, low density polyethylene and styropor) as for dimensions of the trench two depths for the trench are used (B and 2B when B is width of footing equal 80mm), and operating frequency of the mechanical oscillator.

Forty-eight tests are carried out for square shape foundation, under three operating frequencies namely, 8, 14, and 20 Hz. The spacing (S) between the

footing and trench is (S=2B) and the spacing(S) between the source of vibration and trench is(S=3B), as for dimensions of trench as follows (length=3B, width= 0.5B and depth=B, 2B). Displacement amplitude, velocity, acceleration and settlement of footing are measured during tests.

The reduction in displacement amplitude for footing when the depth of trench (depth=B) at frequency of 8 Hz is (91 % and 67 %) at dry state in each techniques (PPR with SBR and XPS) gradually, and (100 % and 70 %) at soaking state ,as for frequency of 20 Hz at same depth is (68 % and 60 %) at dry state in (PPR with SBR and XPS) gradually and (47 % and 30%) at soaking state. But at same frequency for depth of trench (depth=2B) is (84 % and 53 %) at dry state and (70 % and 1%) at soaking state.

The reduction in settlement value for footing when the depth of trench (depth=B) at frequency of 8 Hz is (85 % and 15%) at dry state in each techniques (PPR with SBR and XPS) respectively, and (70 % and 25%) at soaking state. As for frequency of 20 Hz at same depth is (58% and 45 %) at dry state and (60 and 5%) at soaking state in all from (PPR with SBR and XPS ) respectively. But at same frequency for depth of trench ( depth=2B) is (65 %) at dry state and (67%) at soaking state in (PPR with SBR). As for Styropor, it is give negative results.

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Table Title	Page No.
1.1	Types of Lightweight Materials (after Miki, H., 1996)	4
2.1	Classification of gypseous soil after Barazanji, (1973)	7
2.2	Summary of effect of gypsum content on consistency limits	8
2.3	Summary of effect of gypsum content on compaction Characteristics	9
2.4	Summary of effect of Gypsum content on Compressibility.	9
2.5	Collapse potential severity of the problem (Jennings and Knight,1975)	10
2.6	Service Factors(Blake,1964)	16
3.1	Results of Physical Properties of Sample	33
3.2	Results of Chemical Properties of Samples	33
3.3	Results of direct shear test of Sample	35
3.4	Relative Properties of Various Elastomers(Seals Eastern, Inc.)	39
3.5	ASTM C 578-95 XPS Flexural Strength	40
3.6	A summary of the Physical properties of rigid foam	41
3.7	Mechanical and thermal properties of row materials of PPR pipe	42
4.1	Summary of reduction ratio in displacement amplitude for all materials For Both States	107
4.2	Summary of reduction ratio in acceleration for all materials for both states	107
4.3	Summary of reduction ratio in settlement for all materials for both states	108

Title section	Page No.
Acknowledgment	
Abstract	I
List of Contents	III
List of Tables	VIII
List of Figures	IX
List of Symples	XVII
Chapter One: Introduction.	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Gypseous Soil	1
1.3 Problems with Dynamic Loading	2
1.4 Vibration Isolation	3
1.5 Objectives of Study	5
1.6 Layout of the Thesis	5
Chapter Two: Review of Literature	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Gypseous Soils	6
2.2.1 Mineral of Gypsum	7
2.2.2 Characteristics of Gypsum	8
2.2.2.1 Effect of Gypsum Content on Physical Characteristics of So	oils8

## LIST OF CONTENTS

2.2.2.2 Effect of Gypsum Content on Compressibility of Soils
2.2.2.3 Identification of Collapsing Soils
2.2.3 Regional Distribution of Gypseous Soil in Iraq11
2.3 Machines Foundations12
2.4 Dynamic load14
2.5 Soil Dynamic Problems
2.5.1 Introduction on Dynamic Loading of Soils17
2.5.2 Factor Effecting on Soil Behavior under Dynamic Loading18
2. 4 Mitigation of Vibration
Chapter Three : Experimental Work27
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Testing Program
3.3 Classification Tests
3.3.1 Physical Tests
3.3.1.1 Specific Gravity (Gs)
3.3.1.2 Particle Size Distribution
3.3.1.3 Atterberg Limits
3.3.1.4 Moisture Content (ω) %
3.3.2 Chemical Tests
3.4 Compaction Test
3.5 Engineering Tests

3.5.1 Single-Collapse Test
3.5.2 Direct Shear Test
3.6 Design Details of Made Model
3.6.1 Steel Container
3.6.2 Density Control
3.6.3 Model Source of Vibration and Footing
3.6.4 Device for Measuring Vibration Response
3.7 Materials for Trench Filling
3.7.1 SBR Rubber
3.7.2 Styropor or Rigid Foam (XPS)40
3.7.3 PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber41
3.7.4 Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)42
3.8 Propagation and Testing Program
3.9 General Remarks
Chapter Four : Results and Discussion
4.1 Introduction
4.2 Results of Displacement Amplitude
4.2.1 Amplitude for SBR Rubber48
4.2.2 Amplitude for PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber
4.2.3 Amplitude for Styroporor Rigid Foam (XPS)
4.2.4 Amplitude for Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)61

4.3 Results of Acceleration
4.3.1 Acceleration for SBR Rubber
4.3.2 Acceleration for PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber
4.3.3 Acceleration for Styropor or Rigid Foam (XPS)
4.3.4 Acceleration for Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)
4.4 Result of Settlement
4.4.1 Settlement from Vibration Test on Dry and Soaking Soil for SBR Rubber
4.4.2 Settlement from Vibration Test on Dry and Soaking Soil for PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber
4.4.3 Settlement from Vibration Test on Dry and Soaking Soil for Styropor or Rigid Foam (XPS)
4.4.3 Settlement from Vibration Test on Dry and Soaking Soil for Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)
Chapter Five : Conclusion and Recommendation104
5.1 Conclusions
5.1.1Displacement Amplitude of Footing110
5.1.2 The Acceleration of Footing
5.1.3 The Velocity of Vibrations for The Footing112
5.1.4 The Settlement
5.2. Recommendations
Reference115

Title section	Page No.
Appendix A	A-1
Appendix B	B-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.	Figure Title	Page
No.		
2.1	The gypseous map of Al-Barazanji (1973).	11
2.2	Types of Machine Foundations (a) Block foundations. (b) Box	
	or caisson foundations.(c) Complex foundations (Prakash. S.	13
	1981)	
2.3	Tuning of a foundation (Prakash. S. 1981)	14
2.4	Limiting amplitudes of vibrations for a particular frequency	15
	(Blake, 1964)	
2.5	Limiting amplitudes of vibrations for a particular frequency.(	17
	Richart, 1962)	
2.6	Cross Section of Scrap Tire Isolation Wall ((Ikuo Nakaya, et al,	21
	2008)	
2.7	Three-dimensional Finite Element Model of Field Tests	26
	(J.H.Chew,E.C.Leong,2019)	
3.1	Particle -size distribution curve for gypseous soil	30
3.2	Standard Procter Compaction Curve Test Result for Sample.	32
3.3	Single Odometer Collapse Test Result for Sample used	34
3.4	General View of Steel Container	35
3.5	The Device Which is Used to Control on Density	36
3.6	Mechanical oscillator (Source of Vibration)	37
3.7	Square Footing	38
3.8	Devices Used for Measuring Vibration Response	38
3.9	Digital Tachometer	38
3.10	Pieces of Rubber (SBR)	40
3.11	PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber	42
3.12	Low Density Polyethylene Granules (LDPE)	43
3.13	T0pview of experimental model	45
3.14	Test Program	47

Fig.	Figure Title	Page
No.		
4.1	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	48
	Dimension( Depth=B) at Dry State for SBR Rubber	
4.2	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	49
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for SBR Rubber	
4.3	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	50
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for SBR Rubber	
4.4	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	51
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for SBR Rubber	
4.5	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	52
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Dry State for SBR Rubber	
4.6	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	52
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for SBR Rubber	
4.7	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	53
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
	Rubber	
4.8	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	54
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
	Rubber	
4.9	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	54
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
4.10	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	55
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
	Rubber	
4.11	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	56
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Dry State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
4.12	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	56
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for PPR Pipe with	
	SBR Rubber	
4.13	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	57
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for Styropor	
4.14	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	58
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Soaked State for Styropor (XPS)	

Fig.	Figure Title	Page
No		
4.15	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	58
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for Styropor or Rigid	
	Foam (XPS)	1
4.16	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	59
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for Styropor or Rigid	l
	Foam (XPS)	l
4.17	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	60
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Dry State for Styropor or Rigid	l
	Foam (XPS)	l
4.18	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	60
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for Styropor or Rigid	l
	Foam (XPS)	
4.19	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	61
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for Low Density	l
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	l
4.20	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	62
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for Low Density	l
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	1
4.21	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20HZ) for	63
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for Low Density	l
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	I
4.22	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20HZ) for	63
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for Low Density	l
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	I
4.23	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	64
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Dry State for Low Density	l
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	-
4.24	Variation of Amplitude with Time at Frequency(20Hz) for	65
	Dimensions (, Depth=2B) at Soaked State for Low Density	l
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	l
		L

Fig.	Figure Title	Page
No		
4.25	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	66
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for for SBR Rubber	
4.26	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	66
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for SBR Rubber	
4.27	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	67
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for SBR Rubber	
4.28	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	68
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for SBR Rubber	
4.29	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	69
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Dry State for SBR Rubber	
4.30	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	69
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for SBR Rubber	
4.31	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	70
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Dry State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
4.32	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8Hz) for	70
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for PPR Pipe with	
	SBR Rubber	
4.33	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	71
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for PPR Pipe with SBR	
4.34	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	72
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for PPR Pipe with	
4.2.5	SBR Rubber	70
4.35	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	73
1.26	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Dry State for PPR Pipe with SBR	72
4.36	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	13
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for PPR with SBR	
4.37	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8 Hz) for	74
1.20	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for Styropor (XPS)	
4.38	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency $(8 \text{ Hz})$ for	/4
4.20	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Soaked State for Styropor (XPS)	
4.39	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for $(D_{11} + D_{12}) = (D_{12} + D_{12})$	75
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for Styropor(XPS)	

Fig.	Figure Title	Page
No		
4.40	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	76
	Dimension (Depth=B) at Soaked State for Styropor (XPS)	
4.41	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	76
	Dimension (Depth=2B) at Dry State for Styropor(XPS)	
4.42	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	77
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for Styropor(XPS)	
4.43	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8 Hz) for	
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for (LDPE)	
4.44	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(8 Hz) for	78
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for (LDPE)	
4.45	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	79
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Dry State for (LDPE)	
4.46	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	80
	Dimensions (Depth=B) at Soaked State (LDPE)	
4.47	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	81
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Dry State for (LDPE)	
4.47	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	81
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Dry State for Low Density	
	Polyethylene (LDPE)	
4.48	Variation of Acceleration with Time at Frequency(20 Hz) for	81
	Dimensions (Depth=2B) at Soaked State for Low Density	
	Polyethylene (LDPE).	
4.49	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency(8	82
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B)	
	for Both States (dry and soaking) for SBR Rubber.	
4.49a	Zooming of Stage Four(soaked state) at The Settlement	82
	(S/B)with Time at Frequency(8 HZ) for Dimensions (Length	
	= 3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States (dry and	
	soaking) for SBR Rubber.	
4.50	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(8 Hz) for	84
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for	
	Both States (dry and soaking) for SBR Rubber.	

Fig.	Figure Title		
No			
4.51	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency	85	
	(20Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=		
	0.5 B) for Both States (dry and soaking) for SBR Rubber.		
4.51a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	85	
	Frequency(20Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B,		
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States (dry and soaking) for SBR		
	Rubber.		
4.52	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20 Hz) for	86	
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for		
	Both States (dry and soaking) for SBR Rubber.		
4.53	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency	87	
	(20Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=		
	0.5 B) for Both States (dry and soaked) for SBR Rubber		
4.53a	Zooming of Stage Four. of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	87	
	Frequency (20Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B,		
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States (dry and soaked) for SBR		
	Rubber.		
4.54	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20 Hz) for	88	
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=0.5 B) for		
	Both States (dry and soaking) for SBR Rubber.		
4.55	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency(8	89	
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B)		
	for Both States (dry and soaking) for PPR Pipe with SBR		
	Rubber.		
4.55a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	89	
	Frequency(8 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B,		
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States (dry and soaking) for PPR		
	Pipe with SBR Rubber.		
4.56	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(8Hz) for	90	
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for		
	Both States (dry and soaking) ) for PPR Pipe with SBR		
	Rubber.		

Fig.	Figure Title			
No				
4.58	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20Hz) for	93		
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for			
	Both States (dry and soaking) for PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber.			
4.59	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency (20	20 93		
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=			
	.5 B) for Both State at PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber.			
4.59a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at			
	Frequency (20 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth= 2B,			
	Thickness= 0.5 B) for Both State at PPR Pipe with SBR			
	Rubber.			
4.60	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20Hz) for	94		
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=0.5 B) for			
	Both States (dry and soaking) at PPR Pipe with SBR Rubber.			
4.61	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency(8	95		
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B)			
	for Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam (XPS).			
4.61a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	95		
	Frequency(8 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B,			
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam			
4.62	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(8Hz) for	96		
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for			
	Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam.			
4.63	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency (20 97			
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B)			
	for Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam.			
4.63a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	97		
	Frequency (20 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B,			
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam.			
4.64	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20Hz) for	98		
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for			
	Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam.			

Fig.	Figure Title				
No					
4.65a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	99			
	Frequency (20 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B,				
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam.				
4.66	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20Hz) for	for 100			
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=0.5 B) for				
	Both States at Styropor or Rigid Foam.				
4.67	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency (8	ncy (8 101			
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B)				
	for Both States at Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE).				
4.67a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	101			
	Frequency (8 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B,				
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States at Low Density				
	Polyethylene (LDPE).				
4.68	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency (8Hz) for	102			
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for				
	Both States at Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE).				
4.69	Variation of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at Frequency (20	103			
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B)				
	for Both States at Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE).				
4.69a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B)with Time at	103			
	Frequency (20 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B,				
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States at Low Density				
	Polyethylene (LDPE).	10.1			
4.70	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20Hz) for	104			
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=B, Thickness=0.5 B) for				
	Both States at Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE).	105			
4.71	Variation of The Settlement (S/B) with Time at Frequency (20	105			
	Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=0.5				
	B) for Both States at Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE).	10-			
4.71a	Zooming of Stage Four of The Settlement (S/B) with Time at	105			
	Frequency (20 Hz) for Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B,				
	Thickness=0.5 B) for Both States at (LDPE).				

Fig. No	Figure Title	Page		
4.72	Total Settlement in Each Stage at Frequency(20Hz) for	106		
	Dimensions (Length=3B, Depth=2B, Thickness=0.5 B) for			
	Both States at Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE).			
4.73	Sorting the Materials According to Their Effectiveness at	108		
	Frequency (8 Hz)			
4.74	Sort the Materials According to Their Effectiveness at	109		
	Frequency (20 Hz)			

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbols	Meaning	
C.P.	Collapse potential	
G.C.	Gypsum content	
φ	Angle of friction	
с	Cohesion	
Gs	Specific Gravity	
Dr	Relative Density	
γd	Density of the soil in its natural state field value	
me	Rotating mass	
LL	Liquid limit	
PL	Plastic limit	
O.M.C.	Optimum moisture content	
T.S.S	Total of soluble salts	
O.M.	Organic matters	
e <sub>o</sub>	Natural void ratio.	
e	Eccentric distance of the rotating mass	
SBR	Styrene-butadiene or styrene-butadiene rubber	
XPS	Styropor or Rigid Foam (extruded Polystyrene)	
PPR	Polypropylene Random Copolymer pipe	
LDPE	Low Density Polyethylene	

### **CHAPTER ONE**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 General

The presence of gypseous in soils causes many engineering problems, especially in building engineering installations and important centers. Gypseous deposits possibly existing in shape of (CaSO4.2H<sub>2</sub>O) or anhydrate (CaSO4). Many areas and countries covered by gypseous soil, these soils take up approximately 1.5 % of the total area of the world, and this ratio represents nearly 186 million hectare, (FAO, 1998) .In Iraq, gypseous soil cover about 30 % of land, these areas are evaluate to be about 30% of complete areas concentrated mainly in the west desert and extending to the southern parts and directed towards south west.(Al-Saoudi, et al, 2013). Construction on gypseous soils may cause a lot of engineering problems. The main reason of these problems is melting gypseous when these soil are moistened. These soils may exist in dry and semi dry areas, in such regions, the annual amount of precipitation is insufficient to dissolve the gypseous present in these soils (Pitrukhin and Boldyreve, 1978).

#### 1.2 Gypseous Soils

Because of the complex and uncertain behavior of this type of soil, it is classified as one of the collapsible soils and it is defined by (Clemence and Finbarr 1981) as "Any unsaturated soil that goes through a radical rearrangement of particles and great loss of volume upon wetting with or without additional loads". Gypsum, whether in huge or particular form, dissolves due to water table or water infiltration into gypseous soils manufacture cavities, causing deterioration of the gypseous soil structure, and therefore sudden settlements of buildings erected on them, and increasing hydraulic conductivity and flow rates in hydraulic structures (Al-Abdullah, 1995). Significant problems have been noticed when a foundations are stood up on areas containing such soils in Iraq, such as gypsum Mosul dam has caused unpleasant leakage dissolution in the foundation of (Nashat, 1990), problems due to leaching of gypsum in Mendeli irrigation projects, and south Al-Jazirah irrigation project. Failure of different structures constructed on gypseous soils in another locations were recorded such as Samarra tourist hotel, Tikrit training center, Tikrit water storage tank, Kerbala elevated water tank, Dujail communication center and Habbaniyah tourist village (Sirwan et al.,1989). Referring to (Saaed, 1990) and (Al-Abdullah, 1996), Problems can be summarize with Gypseous Soils:

1-A suddenly increase in compression when moisturizing.

2- Significant loss of strength when hydrating.

3- The presence of cracks because of seasonal changes.

4-The presence of sink holes in the soil because of the local melting of gypsum.

5- Continued deformations and collapsibility when filtering due to the movement of water.

### **1.3 Problems with Dynamic Loads**

Harmonic and periodic vibrations which affect in the soil can be generated mostly by heavy machines, vehicles or by running trains, earthquakes causing the footings to behave in different mode. Therefore, footings must be designed properly to satisfy the requirements of safe design by resisting the dynamic loads and provide a greater longevity and serviceability. Depending on the source of vibration and the distance from the source, these vibrations can disturb both occupants and constructions containing sensitive equipment. (Hong et al., 2014).

Rao (2011) explained the following resources which effect on foundations

- 1- Impact loads.
- 2- Vicinity to vibration environment.
- 3- Earthquakes.
- 4- Forces generated by wind.
- 5- Periodic forces and blasting.
- 6-Moving loads.

A number of researchers presented several methods, analytical and numerical, to study the vibration isolation of foundations under dynamic loads. Also soilstructure, interaction problems under dynamic load were solved using finite element approach, which had received substantial attention in the last three decades. In spite of the existence of all these approaches and methods, the necessity to verify their validity by adopting experimental work remains essential.

#### **1.4 Vibration Isolation**

Because of the effect of vibrations on engineering installations therefore, isolation techniques were used to reduce their effect using the fenders. Barkan (1962) and Dolling (1965) were the first to determination on survival field investigations for studying the effectiveness of wave barriers. Neumeuer (1963) and McNeill et al. (1965) discussed some effective applications of vibration isolation. Works on this topic were carried out by Woods (1967,1968), Richart et al.(1970)

and Dolling (1970a,b) who performed extensive field experiments to study the effectiveness of open trenches barriers .

Lightweight materials are used as bumpers to reduce the effect of vibration and can be summarized in the Table (1.1)

Table (1.1). Types of Lightweight Materials (after Miki. H. 1996)

Lightweight Material	Unit Volume Weight	Description
	(tf/m <sup>3</sup> )	
EPS Blocks	0.01 ~ 0.03	Ultra lightweight, expandable
		synthetic resins
Expanded Beads Mixed	0.7 approx.	Variable density; similar
Lightweight Soil	or more	compaction and
		deformation characteristics to soil;
		can use excess
Air Foamed Mortar and	0.5 approx.	Density adjustable; flow able; self-
Air Foamed Lightweight	or more	hardening; and
Stabilized Soil		can use excess construction soil
Coal Ash, Granulated	1.0 ~ 1.5	Granular material; self-hardening
Slag, etc.	approx	
Volcanic Ash Soil	1.2 ~ 1.5	Natural material
Wood Chips	0.7~ 1.0	Usually to be used below ground
		water level; anti leaching measures
		needed
Tire Chips	0.7~ 0.9	Usually used above ground water
		level; cover soil layer at least 0.9m
		is required

### 1.5 Objectives of Study

This study aims to investigated the effect of vibrations on nearby buildings (footing) resulting from a causative and near source and protection of the special equipment due to these effects as well to compare between the materials to choose the best material to reduce vibrations in terms of implementation, cost and availability, the most important is the ratio of reducing or limiting vibrations.

In general, the effectiveness of trenches filled with different materials is to reduce vibrations to an acceptable level and does not affect buildings and residents.

### 1.6 Layout of the Thesis

This thesis consists of five chapters as shown below:

**Chapter One :** contains a summarized introduction and general information about gypseous soils and the target of the present study.

**Chapter Two:** covers a summarize review of literature related to the gypseous soils. This include the properties of gypseous, influence of gypsum on engineering properties of soil. This chapter also presents a review about dynamic load in soil and mitigation of vibration.

chapter Three : contains the experimental work and laboratory tests.

Chapter Four: include the results tests and their discussions.

Chapter Five : summary of the main conclusions and recommendations for future work