Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Engineering



## PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS FOR TUNNELING PROCESS IN ROCKS USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

A Thesis Submitted to the Council of the College of Engineering, University of Diyala in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

by

### Dhuha Hussien Ali

B.SC. Civil Engineering, 2016

Supervised by Prof. Dr. Thair H. Abdullah Assist. Prof. Dr. Hassan O. Abbas

July, 2020

IRAQ

1441A.H.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ (1) الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ (2) الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ (3) مَالِكِ يَوْمِ الدِّينِ (4) إِيَّاكَ نَعْبُدُ وَإِيَّاكَ نَسْتَعِينُ (5) اهْدِنَا الصِّرَاطَ الْمُسْتَقِيمَ (6) صِرَاطَ الَّذِينَ أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيْهِمْ غَيْرِ الْمَعْضُوبِ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا الضَّالِينَ (7)

صدق الله العظيم

سورة الفاتحة

# **Dedication**

## I Wish to Dedicate My

Thesis to The Light of My Eyes

## **My Beloved Parents (My Mother**

## and Father) With All My Respect,

Love and Gratitude .....

Dhuha Hussien Ali 2020

## Acknowledgements

"In the name of Allah, the most beneficent, the most merciful". First praise be to "Allah" who gave me the strength and health to work and enable me to achieve this research

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my supervisors Assist. Prof. Dr. Hassan O. Abbas and Prof. Dr. Thair H. Abdullah for their supervisions, precious advices, technical guidance, continuous encouragements, and giving generously of their time when help was needed throughout the preparation of my thesis. I am greatly indebted to them.

I would like to express my sincere thanks for Assist. Prof. Dr. Waad A. Zakaria for giving advice generously of his when help was needed and Dr. Qutaiba G. Majeed for help in the report of the project. I am greatly indebted to them

Appreciation and thanks are also extended to the all staff of College of Engineering/ University of Diyala and especially Civil Engineering department and to every person helped me to complete my thesis.

Finally, I would like to express my love and respect to my parents and My brothers, no word can express my gratitude to them.

Dhuha Hussien Ali

2020

### Performance Analysis for Tunneling Process in Rocks Using Finite Element Method

by

Dhuha Hussien Ali Supervised by Prof. Dr. Thair H. Abdullah Assist. Prof. Dr. Hassan O. Abbas

### ABSTRACT

In this research study, The prediction of the stress behavior and the effect of ground motion for applying the tunneling machine during the drilling process. A numerical model was developed for a tunnel project in Sulaymaniyah Governorate. For this purpose, a file of site investigation has been used for the rocks, which is the Hassan Kanoush Dam Project.

The main objective of this study is to investigate the pressure behavior, stress ratio and determine settlement in the study area using the finite element method, and evaluate and determine the effect of a distance to move the drilling mechanism and progress to the rocks. The model is operated as three dimensional in drainage into clay stone rock and is filtered by using the applied Hoek-Brown model.

Results are shown in terms of depth of stress curves. Those total vertical and horizontal pressures. Changes in stress conditions compared to rocks at the site are taken into account. Three vertical sections are select to study the effects of the TBM tunnel on the surrounding rocks. The first section (x = 0) passes through the center of the tunnel. The second section is located near the side edge of the tunnel, while the third section is chosen approximately off the edge of the tunnel. It is believed that the details mentioned in the analysis will provide a complete view of the pressure change in the rock. The strains caused by a boring process are presented differently and simply. This method reflected the movement of the rocks

and the stability of the rock surface. The deflected shape of the tunnel is also shown. By performing an axial symmetric FE analysis, calculation results revealed significant pressure changes occurring in rock regions near the tunnel boundaries. In other words, proximity to rocks is mostly affected by the tunnel. The stress effect fades for both diameters for the side of tunnel(1.33-2)D, in the top of tunnel (0.167-0.5)D, and in the lower of tunnel(2.92-5)D. All changes in the state of stress compared to the insite rock depend on rock profile in site. The highest surface settlement is observed immediately above the tunnel due to processes of TBM excavation which formed the first phase of the tunnel method. The behavior of surface settlement curve depends on the nature and shape of rock position layers. For other phases, the surface settlement is observed during tunnel construction phases, where it slowly decreases or converges when it is away from the drilling zone which established these TBM advancement phases since the distance is equal to 1.5 m. The maximum depression is recorded as the approximate top of the tunnel being 0.045 mm (diameter 6 m) and 0.16 mm (diameter 12 m).

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Titles	0
Examination Committee Approval	
Dedication	
Acknowledgement	
AbstractI	
Table of Contents III	[
List of Tables	Π
List of Figures VI	Π
List of NotationsXI	[
Chapter One: Introduction	
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 The Problem Statement of Research	
1.3 The Objectives of the Research	
1.4 Methodology	
1.5 Thesis Layout	
Chapter Two: Literature Review	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Tunnel Definition	
2.3 Notations used in Tunneling	
2.4 Types of Tunnel Construction Method 11	
2.4.1The Drill and Blast Method	
2.4.2 The New Austrian Tunneling Method	
2.4.3 Cut and Cover Method 13	3
2.4.4 Box Jacking Method 15	;
2.4.5 Tunnel Boring Machine Method (TBM) 15	
2.4.5.1 Types of Tunnel Boring Machine	6
2.4.5.1.2 Double Shield Tunnel Boring Machine1	8
2.4.5.1.3 Slurry Shield Tunnel Boring Machine1	. 8
2.4.5.1.4 Earth Pressure Balance Machine1	19

2.4.6 Variable Density Tunnel Boring Machine
2.5 Choice between TBM and drill and blast    21
2.5.1 Factors Affecting the Choice of Tunnel Method 22
2.5.2 Tunnel Parameters
2.5.3 Rock Mass Characteristics
2.6 Excavation
2.7 Review of stress and Prediction Methods for Settlement Induced by Tunneling 25
2.7.1 Stress
2.7.2 Prediction Methods for Settlement Induced by Tunneling 27
2.7.2.1 Empirical Method
2.7.2.2 Analytical Method
2.7.2.3 Numerical Analysis Method
Chapter Three: Numerical Model of Tunnel (TBM) 40
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Finite Elements and Nodes
3.3 PLAXIS Program
3.4 The Methodology of the PLAXIS Program
3.5 Excavation Tunnel by Tunnel Boring Machine Modeling 44
3.6 Model Generation
3.6.1 Geometry
3.6.2 Graphical Input of Geometry Model 45
3.6.2.1 Boreholes (Defining of Rock Layer) 45
3.6.2.2 Structure Element (Defining of Tunnel Excavation) 46
3.6.3 Material Characterization 47
3.6.4 Modeling of material Behavior
3.6.4.1 Parameters of the Hoek-Brown model50
3.6.5 Defining The Boring Tunnel Mechanical (TBM)51
3.6.6 Mesh Generation 52
3.6.7 Defining of Staged Construction for The Model
3.6.7.1 First Phase
3.6.7.2 Second Phase

3.7 Calculation Methodology   55
3.8 Types of Analysis 55
3.8.1 The Initial Stress Generation Type
3.8.2 The Plastic Type
3.10 Sign Convention Aspects
3.11 Location of Study 58
3.12 Data Collection 59
3.12.1 Rock Investigation of Hassan Kanoosh Dam
3.12.2 Data Obtained out of Proposed Projects
Chapter Four: RESULTS and DISUNION 62
4.1 Introduction
4.2 Distribution of Stresses Around the Tunnel
4.2.1 Distribution of Stresses for Case Study 1(Diameter 6m)
4.2.1.1 Distribution of Stresses for Case Study1(Diameter 6m) on Distance15m 64
4.2.1.2 Distribution of Stresses for Case Study1(Diameter6m) on Distance 26.5m 65
4.3 Stress Ratio (K)
4.3.1 Comparison Stress Ratio (k) of Case Study one (Diameter 6m) at Distance
(26.5m)
4.4 Settlement Due to Construction of Tunnel
4.4.1 Settlement Due to Construction of Tunnel for Case Study1 (Diameter6m)80
4.4.1.1 Settlement Due to Construction of Tunnel for Case Study 1 (Diameter 6m) on Distance 15m
4.4.1.2 Settlement Due to Construction of Tunnel for Case Study1 (Diameter 6m) on Direction 26.5m
4.2.2 Distribution of Stresses for Case Study 2 (Diameter 12m)
4.2.2.2 Distribution of Stresses for Case Study2 (Diameter12m) on Distance
26.5m
4.3.2 Comparison Stress Ratio (k) of Case Study two (Diameter 12m) at Distance (26.5m)
4.3.2 Comparison Stress Ratio (k) of Case Study two (Diameter 12m) at Distance(26.5m)4.4.2.Settlement Due to Construction of Tunnel for Case Study2

4.4.2.1 Settlement Due to Construct	ion of Tunnel for Case Study 2 (Diameter 12m) on	
Distance 15m		
4.4.2.2 Settlement Due to Construction	on of Tunnel for Case Study 2 (Diameter 12m) on	
Distance 26.5m		
4.5 Comparison of Settlement For two	case study(D=6, D=12)111	
Chapter Five: Conclusions and Recommendations		
5.1 Introduction		
5.2 Conclusions		
5.3 Recommendations		
References		
Appendix A	A1	
Appendix B	B1	

### LISTIST OF TABLES

Tables I	No. Table Title	Page
0.1		
2.1	Some Information About Well-Known Tunnels (Dimitrios Kolymbas 2005)	8
2.2	Some Tunnels Constructed as Subway System (Dimitrios Kolymbas 2005)	9
3.1	Concrete parameter of tunnel linings.	47
3.2	Material parameters of plate element representing of TBM	51
3.3	Rock parameters for borehole	61
4.1	The maximum percentage of deviations for the vertical total stress	69
4.2	The maximum percentage of deviations for the horizontal total stress	70
4.3	The maximum percentage of deviations for the vertical total stress	72
4.4	The maximum percentages of deviations for the horizontal total stresses	74
4.5	The maximum percentages of deviations for the vertical total stresses	76
4.6	The maximum percentages of deviations for the horizontal total stresses	77
4.7	Magnitude of maximum displacement in vertical direction of all phases	81
4.8	Magnitude of maximum displacement in horizontal direction of all phases	83
4.9	The maximum percentages of deviations for the vertical total stresses	92
4.10	The maximum percentages of deviations for the horizontal total stresses	94
4.11	The maximum percentages of deviations for the vertical total stresses	96
4.12	The maximum percentages of deviations for the horizontal total stresses	98
4.13	The maximum percentages of deviations for the vertical total stresses	100
4.14	The maximum percentages of deviations for the horizontal total stresses	101
4.15	Magnitude of maximum displacement in vertical direction of all phases	106
4.16	Magnitude of maximum displacement in horizontal direction of all phases	108

#### **Figure.** No **Figure of Title** Page 1.1 Tunnel boring machine elements ..... 2 2.1 Terminology related to a tunnel cross-section (Chapman et al 2010) ..... 9 Longitudinal sections of heading (Dimitrios Kolymbas 2005) ..... 2.2 10 2.3 Typical shapes of cross sections of tunnel used for the construction (Seung Royal Kim2008)..... 10 2.4 The series of construction include the conventional bottom-up method (Hung and Parsons 2009) .....14 The series of construction included in the conventional top-down method (Hung 2.5 and Parsons 2009) ..... 14 Single shield of TBM (W. Brundan 2009).....17 2.6 2.7 Double shield of TBM (W. Brundan 2009) ..... 18 2.8 The composing of slurry TBM (EFNARC, 2005).....19 2.9 The equipment's of the Earth Pressure Balance Machine (Maidl, B., M. Thewes 2.102.11 Vertical section through a three-dimensional finite element model of the failure and deformation of the rock mass surrounding the face of an advancing 2.12 Properties of error function curve to represent cross-section settlement trough 2.13 2.14 2.15 Total deformation vectors on the surface 2.16 Calculated vertical displacement of the underground at the commercial center... 36 2.17 2.18 Geometry of deep tunnel for (a) 2D model and (b) 3D model 3.1 Flowchart of Methodology of Research. 3.2 Local numbering and positioning of nodes (•) and integration points (x) of tetrahedral 3.3 3.4

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

3.5 The Hoek-Brown failure criterion in principal stres	s space49
3.6 Development of mobilised dilatancy angle $\psi$	51
3.7 Finite element mesh of connectivity plot for: (a) of	first project and (b) of second
Project	
3.8 Distribution of stresses of TBM machine for the firs	st phase 54
3.9 Distribution of stresses of TBM machine for the seco	ond phase 54
3.10 Distribution of the stress points in the model	57
3.11 Distribution of the nodes points in the model	57
3.12 The locations of Hassan Kanosh Dam	59
4.1 The two section studies towards Y-direction	63
<ul> <li>4.2 Distribution of the vertical and Horizontal stresses</li> <li>4.3 Distribution of the vertical and Horizontal stresses</li> <li>4.4 Displaying several sections taken of tunnel model at 1</li> </ul>	of native rock(15m)65 of native rock(26.5m)66 X-direction(D=6)67
4.5 Distribution of the vertical total stress during constr	ruction phases of tunnel at x=0
with find deviations	
4.6 Distribution of the horizontal total stress during cor	nstruction phases of tunnel at.
x=0 with find deviations	
4.7 Distribution of the vertical total during construction	phases of tunnel at x=0.67D
with find deviations	
4.8 Distribution of the horizontal total stress during x=0.67D with find deviations	construction phases of tunnel at
4.9 Distribution of the vertical total stress during constr	uction phases of tunnel at
x=2D with find deviations	
4.10 Distribution of the horizontal total stress during const	ruction phases of tunnel at x=2D
with find deviations	
4.11 Stress Ratio during construction phases of tunnel at x=	=0 with find deviations79
4.12 Stress Ratio during construction phases of tunnel at x=	=0.67D with find deviation79
<ul> <li>4.13 Total displacement at a vertical direction of the first pl</li> <li>4.14 Total displacement at the vertical direction of the seco</li> <li>4.15 Total displacement at the horizontal direction of the fi</li> <li>4.16 Total displacement at the horizontal direction of the second the second se</li></ul>	hase at y=26.5
4.18 Comparison between settlement during at different con	nstruction stages86
4.19 The two section studies towards Y-direction	87

4.20 Distribution of the vertical and horizontal stresses for the native rock(15m)
4.21 Distribution of the vertical and horizontal stresses for the native rock(26.5)90
<ul> <li>4.22 Displaying location of sections taken tunnel model at x-direction(D=12)90</li> <li>4.23 Distribution of the vertical total stress during construction phases of tunnel</li> </ul>
at x=0with find deviations
4.24 Distribution of the horizontal total during construction phases of tunnel at $x=0$
with find deviations
4.25 Distribution of the vertical total stress during construction phases of tunnel at x=0.583D with find deviations
4.26 Distribution of the horizontal total stress during construction phases of tunnel at
x=0.583D with find deviations
4.27 Distribution of the vertical total stresses during construction phases of tunnel at x=1.33D with find deviations
4.28 Distribution of the total horizontal stresses during construction phases of tunnel model at x=1.33D
4.29 Stress Ratio during construction phases of tunner at x=0 with find deviations105
4.30 Stress Ratio during construction phases of tunnel at $x=0.583D$ with find deviations
4.31 Total displacement at the vertical direction of the first phase(26.5) 106
4.32 Total displacement at the vertical direction of the second phase(26.5)107
4.33 Total displacement at the horizontal direction of the first phase(26.5)108
4.34 Total displacement at the horizontal direction of the second phase(26.5)109
<ul> <li>4.35 The deformed shape of tunnel(D=12m)109</li> <li>4.36 Comparison between settlement during at different construction stages110</li> </ul>
4.37 The settlement of Fifth phases (D=6m,D=12m)111
4.38 The distances over which the stress effect fades for both diameters112

## **List of Notations**

DS	Disturbed Sample
G <sub>s</sub>	Specific Gravity
LL	Liquid limit
PI	Plasticity index
PL	Plastic limit
Q <sub>u</sub>	Unconfined Compressive Strength
SPT	Standard Penetration test
S.S	Standard Penetration test Samples
US	Undisturbed Samples
UU	Unconsolidated undrained triaxial
	test
Ø	Angle of internal friction
γ	Total unit weight
$\gamma_{\rm wet}$	Wet Unit Weight
HB	Hoek-Brown Model
LE	Linear Elastic Model
ТВМ	Tunnel Boring Machine
DBM	Drill and Blast Method

## CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 Introduction**

The tunnel is a structural, underground corridor. The first tunnel under the Euphrates river, which was 900 m long, was constructed in Babylon between 2180 and 2160 BC. Since then, passageways for water and people have been tunneled throughout the world using simple tools (Souza, 1986). Tunnels are a means of transport.

Because of the growth of many cities, underground spaces have been used, and since urban space has become more limited, building systems such as tunnels are more effective in the construction of infrastructure and are important in the development of large cities. In 1863, the first subway railway line opened in London. More than 100 cities around the world have subsequently introduced underground transport networks, and more than 50 percent of them have been built or extended (Hell a well et al., 2001).

The tunnels are typically underground transport systems, their advantages of improving connectivity and shortening the lifeline between countries. There are several cross-sections widely used for tunnels, such as circular sections elliptical, and rectangular tunnels. The tunnel can be excavated on all surfaces, ranging from sand, gravel and clay to the roughest rocks (**Rasheed**, 2006).

The excavation indicates removal of part of the ground within defined limits, or for building purposes. Construction criteria for these structures in urban areas have resulted in the need for a secure and efficient method for deep excavations without having a significant impact on neighboring structures.

The excavation methods changed in the last years after tunnels became economically more desirable in the urban setting (Obaid, 2001). For

example, the tunnel boring machine method (TBM) has been widely used in tunnel construction, particularly in soft ground where deformation is the most important purpose, as shown in Figure (1.1).



Figure (1.1): Tunnel boring machine elements (Obaid, 2001).

The tunnel boring machine cross-section may have both round and rectangular shapes. Benefit from tunneling techniques, and the need to avoid surface disruption during excavation (Dimitrios Kolymbas, 2005).

Rock drilling appears to release pre-existing stresses and change the surrounding stress field which can contribute to flexible deformation; however, if the stresses are strong enough, the rocks deform inflexibly. The consequence of the subsequent process is rock breakage, which reduces the carrying ability of the rock mass (Ewy and Cook, 1990).

Methods of tunnel construction are a significant problem in urban areas due to ground surface movement that causes unnecessary deformations that can cause potential damage to nearby roads and neighboring structures (Yahya and Abdullah, 2014).

Depending on the various construction methods used in several various tunnel cross-sections, the amount of surface settlement can vary which leads to different clusters. As a result, building techniques have a considerable effect on land surface settlement (Sharifzadeh, et al., 2013).

The stresses and ground movement in the rock caused by drilling tunneling depend not only on the properties of the rock mass and the stresses but also on the form and rigidity of a tunnel lining (Brown, et al., 1983).

### **1.2 The Problem Statement of Study**

Regardless of the tunnel's study and design and its relationship with the ground, which is a rather complicated process because of the reliance of this relationship on building technology. In addition to a complex series of processes for various drilling methods, in particular, tunneling mechanics (TBM), such as excavation, front support, shield development, annular space fillers, along with shield and compact grout, the determination of surface settlements is very critical in tunneling.

However, these deformations can be predicted with precision in geotechnical engineering, irrespective of estimating the stability of the rocks where major deformations of any of the excavations can lead to undesirable consequences, such as damage to adjacent structures.

FEM has become a common tool that can simulate the construction of tunnel stages to predict the strength of deformations and distribute stresses using a numerical method.

### **1.3 The Objectives of the Study**

This study focuses on the conduct of stresses and settlement by using tunnel boring machine with its advance into the rock layer by constructing a tunnel. The study's principal aims are:

1. Creating the numerical model using the tunnel model's finite element method and simulate the (TBM) built stages.

- 2. Calculating and analyzing the settlement and stress action of the upper part of the rock and down part of the rock for tunneling along with the rock around the tunneling face.
- 3. Predicting the settlement of neighboring areas and defining secure areas by advancing the TBM to the final tunnel construction process.

### 1.4. Methodology

A research methodology is developed to realize the objects of this study by using the finite element method by model building to predict the behavior of stresses and settlement during tunnel construction stages, considering the different areas of work necessary to perform and obtain the results. This methodology is summarized as follows:

- 1. The overall analysis of the earlier studies to define the models that should be used to simulate ground movement induced by tunneling by simulating the tunnel boring system operations and the essential parameters for the work of this simulation.
- 2. Use the finite element method to collect data that is used to construct the model.
- 3. The data acquired to fit the requirements of the study area and model.
- 4. Simulation of method of building tunnels. As a result of this simulation, it is possible to predict the localization of adjacent tunnel-induced structures and identify safe areas.
- 5. Analysis of numerical model input parameters.

#### 1.5 Thesis Layout

There are five chapters to this study. Chapter one offers a general overview and knowledge about tunnels, excavation machines, and the purpose of this study.

Chapter two includes a brief overview of the tunnel-related literature available, various tunneling methods for excavation. It requires, besides the use of each tool, the properties, advantages, and disadvantages of each drilling process.

This chapter also presents a review of previous studies dealing with the effect of excavating machine on the adjacent rock during drilling, and reviews of methods for predicting stress behavior and rock settlement.

Chapter three presents the numerical model methodology for tunneling constructed stages and construction model procedures. This chapter also presents field and laboratory investigation data for area limited.

Chapter four consists of presenting results of finite element method (numerical analysis) and explanations while chapter five discusses a list of key findings and suggestions for future research.