

* Khalid B.Mirza (prof.)

Abdulkareem Hussain Al- Saidy (Assistant Lecturer)* Chenar Anwar Mohammad (Assistant Lecturer)

Abstract

Background: Pregnant women show an increased susceptibility to periodontal diseases due to an exaggerated inflammatory response of gingival tissue to local irritant factors such as ,dental plaque and calculus.

a any nal

Aim: The aim of this study is to determine the prevalence and the severity of periodontal disease at different stages of pregnancy.

Subject and method: The sample consists of (125) women from Private Clinic, Primary Health Care Centre and Maternity Hospital in Sulaimani city, Kurdistan Region, Iraq. They were divided into three main groups. Group one, which included (75) pregnant women and this group was subdivided equally into three subgroups according to their trimester (1st trimester, 2 nd trimester and 3 rd trimester). Group two, which included (25) women receiving oral contraceptives pills, and match in age with group1. Group three (control group), which included 25 women, and match in age with group 1 and group 2, and the following parameters were measured : Plaque index (PL1); Ginigival index (G1); periodontal pocket depth (PPD); Bleeding on probing(BOP); Gingival Crevicular fluid(GCF). **Results:** The results showed high prevalence of gingivitis .The highest mean score of (GI) was found in the pregnancy group ; less in oral contraceptive group, and finally the control group .Comparison between the (3) main groups showed significant difference.(p<0.05) . GCF showed the same pattern as PLI and GI, and the total mean score was highest in the pregnancy group; less in oral contraceptive group and finally the control group. Comparison between the 3 main groups declared significant difference (p<0.05) similar to the PLI and GI.

The highest percentage of PPD was mostly found in the pregnancy group and less in contraceptive group .Comparison between the(3) main groups showed high significant difference, while between pregnancy and oral contraceptive decleared significant difference (P < 0.05). The high percentage of bleeding on probing (score 1) was found in the pregnancy group, followed by oral contraceptive and finally the control group. There was no prevalence of pregnancy tumor (pregnancy epulis) in the three subgroups of pregnant women.

Conclusion: Major changes in clinical parameters (PLI, GL, BOP, PPD, and GCF) occurred during the 2nd and 3rd trimesters and to a lesser extent during contraception. **Keywords:** Pregnancy ,Gingivitis,Periodontal disease .



* Department of Periodontics/ College of Dentistry/ University of Baghdad/ Baghdad/Iraq.

** Department of Maxillofacial and Periodontics/ School of Dentistry/ Factuality of Medical

Science / University of Sulaimani / Kurdistan region / Iraq.

*** Department of Maxillofacial and Periodontics/ School of Dentistry/ Factuality of Medical Science / University of Sulaimani / Kurdistan region / Iraq.

Introduction

Periodontitis refers to the bacterial plaque-related chronic inflammatory disease, and if left untreated will leads to progressive destruction of dental supporting tissue causing tooth loss [1,2]. Periodontitis is a relatively common clinical condition, which occurs in more than 30% of people in some populations [3,4,5,6,7]. During pregnancy, the most common dental problem for the mother is the periodontal disease , which has a prevalence of between 5% and 20% in pregnant women[8]. Pregnancy is with exaggerated associated an inflammatory response of gingiva to local irritant which is mediated by increasing levels of endogenous hormones (Estrogen and progesterone) [9]. The hormonal imbalance during pregnancy causes problem to the gingiva of pregnant women , which appears red, swollen and increased tendency to bleeding [10]. The anterior region of the mouth is more commonly affected and inter proximal sites tend to be most involved [11]. The severity of a preexisting inflammation is increased during pregnancy, beginning in the 2nd month of pregnancy and increase significantly reaching a peak in the eight month and decreases during the ninth month[12], and most pregnancy related gingivitis improve with good home oral care and removal of local irritants [13,14,15]. A large number of studies were carried out to investigate the periodontal disease as a

risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes [16,17], many of these studies positive association have reported between periodontal diseases and adverse pregnancy outcomes [18, 19, 20,21, 22, 23,24].In addition, Periodontal pathogenic bacteria have been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes [25,26,27, 28,29, 30,31]. However, some studies reporting no significant relationship between periodontal diseases and adverse pregnancy-outcomes[32,33]. In some case, the inflamed gingiva form discrete tumor like masses referred to as pyogenic granuloma (pregnancy tumor; pregnancy epulis) which is localized area of pyogenic granulation tissue arise from 4th to the 9th month pregnancy and show regression after delivery [34].Pregnancy tumer is а benign non-neoplastic mucocutaneous condition [35].

It is occur as a reactional response to constant minor trauma and might be related to hormonal changes [36, 37, 38,39,40,41,42]. In the oral cavity, pregnancy epulis is appeared clinically as a sessile or pedunculated, resilient, erythematous, exophytic and painful papule or nodule with a smooth or lobulated surface that bleeds easily [37, 38,43,44]. Contraceptives are the most widely used method for birth control way by which [45]. The oral Contraceptives influence periodontal tissues is that they aggravate the gingival response to local irritants; i.e. dental plaque[46, 47]. After long term use of contraceptives, the important clinical



symptom seems to be gingival inflammation which ranges from an increase in gingival exudate only, to the appearance of pregnancy-like tumor [47].Because of conflicting data in regard to severity and prevalence of periodontal diseases during pregnancy and the scarcity of data at different times of pregnancy and the women taking of contraceptives ,this study is performed to clarify these issues.

The Aims of study

The present study is designed to :

- 1- Determine the prevalence and the severity of periodontal disease at different stages of pregnancy in Sulaimania.
- 2- Determine the severity and the prevalence of periodontal diseases in women who are on contraceptive pills.
- 3- Carry out a comparison between the various groups .

Materials and Methods

Sample:

The sample consists of (125) women from Private Clinic, Primary Health Care Centre and Maternity Hospital in Sulaimani city, Kurdistan Region, Iraq. The age of women range from (20-35) years. The sample was divided into 3 major groups:

Group 1: Which includes (75) pregnant healthy women and were divided equally into three subgroups according to the trimester: (25) pregnant women in the 1st trimester; (25) pregnant women in the second trimester; and (25) pregnant women in the third trimester

Group 2: Which includes (25) women were receiving oral contraceptives pills for a minimum period of 6 months and who was matched in age with group I. **Group 3 :** which includes (25) women (control), not pregnant and who matched according to age with group I and II as shown in table(1).

Materials and instruments:

Gloves , eye glasses and masks ,. dental mirror numbers 4, cotton rolls, periodontal probes type Williams (Marking at 1,2,3,5,7,8,9,10 mm), Artificial light, Kidney- shaped dishes , Gauze ,Straight sharp explorer ,paper point size (30), Ninhydrin solution 2% , and Vernier.

Methods

Oral examination : The intra oral examinations were preformed for all subjects on ordinary chair in most proper position , and used artificial lights. Third molars, teeth with huge amount of calculus ,and women who had less than twenty teeth were excluded. The clinical parameters used in the periodontal assessments :

Plaque Index (PLI)

The methods of detection of bacterial plaque, according to the plaque index [48] by using a straight sharp explorer and measure the amount of plaque on all teeth for four surfaces, buccal (labial), lingual (palatal), mesial and distal surfaces.

Gingival index (GI)

The method of measurement of severity of gingivitis, according to the gingival index[49], inspection by naked eyes and by gentle probing via using Williams graduated periodontal probes all teeth for four surface buccal (labial), lingual (palatal) mesial and distal.

Bleeding on probing (BOP)

By using Williams periodontal probe and pass it to the base of the probable pocket (Gingival Sulcus Bleeding Index)



for four surfaces of all teeth [50],in BOP score " I " is given in case of bleeding emerges within 15 second after probing (presence of bleeding and score " 0" for absence of bleeding.

Probing pocket depth (PPD)

By using Williams periodontal probe, which was inserted into gingival sulcus as close as possible to the long axis of the tooth at four surfaces of each tooth was recorded, and the site for measurement were mid- buccal (labial), mid - palatal (lingual), mesiobuccal and distobuccal surface. No pressure was used to insert Williams probe and the probe was allowed to fall by its own weight (from the gingival margin to the base of sulcus (pocket). In PPD, score (0) demonstrates depth of a gingival sulcus from [0-2] mm], while score (1) demonstrates the depth of gingival sulcus more than [2-3] mm], and score (2) demonstrates the depth of pocket more than [3-5 mm].

GCF flow measurement

The method of measurement of gingival fluid by using paper size (30) was inserted within gingival sulcus of mesial and distal surface of maxillary anterior teeth (upper 6 anterior teeth) by the using the method of [51], then by staining paper point in ninhdrin (2%) solution. the paper point was stained and then measured by vernier.

Statistical analysis

 Descriptive statistics: Statistical tables, arithmetic mean, Standard deviation, Coefficient of Variance CV%.
 Inferential analysis : t-test,F-test by ANOVA [2 way analysis of variance] , Chi – square test , p- value at 0.05.

Note : If P-value < 0.05 mean significant If P-value more (P>0.05) mean nonsignificant If P-value < 0.001 mean high significant

Results

Plaque Index (PLI):

High significant difference was found between the pregnancy and the control group, and between the pregnancy group and contraceptive group (p<0.0001). In comparison between the 3 major groups control pregnancy (and oral . contraceptive), by using F- test ANOVA which revealed significant differences (p < 0.05) between the pregnancy and both contraceptive and control groups as shown in table(2).

In comparison between the control and the oral contraceptive pill there was highly significant difference (p<0.0001) as shown in the table (3).

Gingival index [GI] :

Highly significant difference was found between pregnancy and control group ,and significant difference was found between pregnancy and contraceptive (p<0.05). group In comparison between the 3 major groups was done by F-test using [ANOVA] which revealed that there were significant differences (0<0.05) between pregnancy and both contraceptive and control groups, as shown in table (2), There was highly significant difference between the control and the contraceptive group, as shown in table (3).

Gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) Measurement

In comparison between 3 major groups (control , pregnancy and oral contraceptive) by using F-test [ANOVA] which revealed significant difference (p< 0.05),while highly significant difference between the



pregnancy and control groups ,and non significant difference between the pregnancy and contraceptive pill group , as shown in table (2) . And in comparison between the control and contraceptive pill revealed high significant difference , as shown in table (3).

Probing pocket depth [PPD]

In comparison between 3 major groups (control , pregnancy and oral contraceptive groups) by using chisquare test which revealed highly significant difference among them (p<0.0001),and there was highly significant difference between the control and pregnancy group (p<0.0001), while there was a significant difference between the contraceptive and pregnancy group (p<0.05), and there was highly significant difference between the control and oral contraceptive group (p<0.0001) as shown in table (4).

Bleeding on probing

Highly significant difference (p<0.0001) was found between the following : pregnancy and control group; pregnancy and contraceptive group. In comparison between the between 3 major groups (control , pregnancy and oral contraceptive groups) by using chisquare test, there was highly significant difference(p<0.0001 among them as shown in table(5) .

Table (1):	Distribution	of the	sample	according	g to t	vpe of the	group.
Lable (_ /•	Distribution	i or the	builtpic	uccorung	510 1	ype of the	Stoup.

Group 1 (75 pregnant women)			Group 2	Group3	Total number
			(women taken	(Control group)	of the s <mark>am</mark> ple
			contraceptive pills)		
First	second	Third			10
trime <mark>ste</mark> r	trimester	trimester			2
25	25	25	25	25	125

Table (2): Comparison between the control , pregnancy , and oral contraceptive groups in relation to the PLI, GI and GCF.

Indices	Con betwee and co	mpa <mark>rison</mark> m pregnancy ontrol group	Cor betwee an contrac	nparison n pregnancy nd oral ceptive group	Comparison between control, pregnancy & Oral contracentive	F- test
	F-test	p-value	F-test	p- value	groups	
Plaque Index	9.867	P<0.0001	5.860	P<0.0001	F=4.35	F=4.221
		HS		HS	P<0.05 S	P<0.05
Gingival Index	8.990	P<0.0001	3.24	0.021	F=4.888	S
		HS		S	P<0.05 S	
Gingival Fluid	8.370	P<0.0001	0.840	0.400	F=3.989	
Measurement		HS		NS	P<0.05 S	



Table (3): Comparison between the control group and oral contraceptive groups in relation to the PLI, G.I and G.C.F.

Comparison		t-test	F-test	Significant
between	Plaque index	4.670	0.000	HS
control and	Gingival index	6.325	0.000	HS
contraceptive	Gingival Fluid	5.689	0.000	HS
oral groups				

Table (4): comparison between control, pregnancy and oral contraceptive groups in relation to probing pocket depth using Chi-square.

			Stages						
	Total I	Pregnancy	First trimester subgroup		Second trimester subgroup		Third trimester subgroup		
	g	roup							
		-							
	Chi-	P-Value	Chi-	P-Value	Chi-	P-Value	Chi-	P-	Chi-
	square	1 - 8	square		square		square	Value	square
		A			- 19				(Total)
Control	96.981	p<0.0001	49.778	p< 0.0001	99.259	p< 0.0001	171.36	p <	22.189
group		HS		HS	1	HS		0. <mark>00</mark> 0	p< 0.0001
								1	
		()						HS	HS
Oral	13.0 <mark>6</mark> 8	p< 0.05	5.121	p< 0.05	11.538	p< 0.05	<mark>68</mark> .056	p<	
contrac		S		S			S	0.000	
eptive			and the second		-	_	Nº Nº	1	
group		0						HS	
		Chi-square between control and oral contraceptive=68.121 p< 0.0001HS							

Table(5): Comparison between the control, pregnancy, and oral contraceptive groups in relation to bleeding on probing using chi-square.

			qi	in and	Stages of	pregnancy			
	Total Pregnancy		First trimester		Second trimester		Third trimester		Chi-
	group		subg	group	p subgroup		subgroup		square
	Chi-	p-value	Chi-	p-value	Chi-	p-value	Chi-	p-value	(Total)
	square		square		square		square		
Control	56.630	P<0.001	22.987	P<0.001	31.436	P<0.001	41.588	P<0.001	41.540
group		HS		HS		HS		HS	P<0.0001
Oral	38.436	P<0.001	3.461	p>0.05	23.429	P<0.001	66.727	P<0.001	HS
contraceptive		HS		NS		HS		HS	
group									

Discussion

The result of this study showed difference in clinical parameters (PLI, GL, BOP, PPD, and GCF) between the three main groups (pregnancy ,contraceptive in taking women and control group).In addition there was a variance in clinical parameters among pregnancy subgroups (1st trimester , 2 nd trimester and 3 rd trimester).

1-Plaque Index

This difference in plaque accumulation between the main groups could be attributed to that the sample is drawn from different places for example, most of pregnant selected from maternal women were hospital, most of them of low socioeconomic state, none of them received any motivation for plaque control or under health care program.

These finding are consistent with [Suliaman,1995] [52] ,who showed an increase in plaque level during pregnancy, also [Yalcin etal,2002] [53] in their study to evaluate the periodontal condition of 61 pregnant women at their 1 st, 2nd and 3rd trimesters Plaque index measurement were repeated at the Ist, 2nd and 3rd trimesters and they found that plaque index scores increased gradually in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd trimester. In contrary , it was observed that the plaque index did not fluctuate through pregnant women nor non-pregnant women. [Loe and Silnes, 1964] [48], Kornman and Loesch, 1980][54], [Yiorgos, 2006][19]. It reported that there was a nonwas significance difference between women on contraceptive pill and control in plaque index [Pankhurst etal. ,1981] [55]; this results are in consistence with our results.

2-Gingival index [GI]

The present study has shown varying degree of gingival inflammation in the entire 3 main groups, which shown more

inflammation during pregnancy and less in contraceptive group and less in the control group. In comparison between three main groups, showed significance differences between pregnancy and both oral contraceptive and control group, also high significance differences are present between the control and pregnancy group, and in comparison between sub groups showed more inflammation during the 2nd and 3rd trimesters and to a lesser extent in the oral contraceptive group and even less in the 1st trimester sub group.

Several previous studies done on pregnant women are in agreement with our study [Loe and Sillness ,1963][49], [Hugoson H. ,1970[56],[Cohen,1970][57],[Tilakaratne,etal .,2002][58].The increased levels of inflammation during pregnancy, may be due to that estrogen and progesterone, which vascular response can modulate and tissue turned over in connective the periodontium, associated with interaction inflammatory mediators. This with interaction of estrogen and progesterone with inflammatory mediators may help to explain the increased levels inflammation seen during periods of hormonal fluctuation [Soory,2000] [59]. In contrary to our study, no significance difference was demonstrated in gingival health of pregnant and postpartum women [Jonson etal. 1988] [60]. The oral contraceptive group has shown high significance difference in gingival inflammation when compared to the control group. This is consistent with the previous studies[Lindhe,1974][61],[Kalkwarf,1978][6 2]. While in contrary to our result [Knight and Wade,1974][63] found no significant difference in gingival index between women taking oral contraceptive and control women. **3-Gingival crevicular fluid (GCF)**

This study, has shown that more GCF flow was found in the pregnancy group followed by oral contraceptive and finally



followed by the control group. Also, our study revealed that the differences between subgroups were clearly noticed, and in taking pregnancy subgroup GCF flow was more during the 2nd and3rd trimester and less in the 1st trimester subgroup. A possible of this result is that the explanation difference in gingival inflammation is related to the time of pregnancy. According to many studies revealed that the severity of gingival inflammation starts to increase from the 2nd month rising to a peak in the 8th month, this corresponding to the division into the trimester [Cohen etal, 1969][64], [Nuamah Annan ,1998][65] And [Clothier etal,2007][20].Morover, another explanation difference in gingival inflammation is for that, the elevated levels of estrogen and progesteron pregnancy alter in the connective tissue ground substance by increase fluidity and affect degree of keratinization of gingival epithelium, the decrease in the keratinization of gingiva, together with an increase in epithelial glycogen, result in decreased effectiveness of the epithelial barrier in pregnant women and make gingival more sensitive to injury. The effect of oral contraceptive on GCF is closer to the 2nd and 3rd trimester subgroups, and revealed non-significance differences with the pregnancy subgroups. Our result in agreement with the previous study [Jensen etal.,1981][66].

4-Periodontal pocket depth [PPD]

Our study revealed that the prevalence of pocket was low in the entire three main groups. The presence of pocket was more during the pregnancy and to a lesser extent in contraceptive pill group, while the prevalence of no pocket being in control group (which mean that the prevalence of pocket is more associated with pregnancy and contraceptive group). The gingival inflammation and associated gingival swelling causes increase in the depth of gingival sulcus, this explain why pockets were more during pregnancy and contraceptive group. In pregnancy subgroup, the pockets were more during the 2nd and 3rd trimester because of the extended period of the inflammation .This result is in agreement with the result of [Loe and Sillness, 1963][49]. In our study chi-square test was used which revealed a gradual increase in the number of sites with PPD that exceed 3mm throughout pregnancy and most of the increases of PD were found in the 2nd and 3rd trimester, in the labial, buccal, inter proximal sites of the anterior teeth and posterior teeth. In this study no pocket depth exceed 5mm and the conclusion that there was a relatively good periodontal health among the present study (young patient) and confirm that the major change in clinical parameter of (PPD) occurred during the 2nd and 3rd trimester, and this result is in agreement with the result of [Latva-Aho etal,2004] [67]. It was reported that probing depth increased gradually during pregnancy until one month postpartum [Muramatsue and Takaesue ,1994][68].In addition, it was found that PPD scores increased gradually during pregnancy in the 1st ,2nd and 3rd trimesters [Yalcin etal., 2002][53].

5 - Bleeding on probing[BOP]

Our study demonstrated a high prevalence of BOP during pregnancy and less in contraceptive group and even less in the control group, and comparison between 3 groups show high significant main differences between the following: control and pregnancy group, control and oral contraceptive group, this result is in agreement with the previous studies on pregnant and control women Hugoson ,1970][56];[Cohen,1970][57].In addition,this result is in agreement with the previous studies on women taking oral contraceptive and compare them with control women [Lindhe,1974] [61],[alkwarf,1978][62]. This



indicates, that the state, of pregnancy and contraception is associated with a high prevalence of BOP.

Within the pregnancy subgroups showed as expected more BOP during the 2nd and 3rd trimesters (because of extended period of inflammation) and to a lesser extent in the 1st trimester subgroup and this result is in agreement with the result of [Kornman and Loesch, 1980] [54], , who found that gingivitis and gingival bleeding increased during the 2nd trimester, and also our result confirm with the study of [Pindborg, 1996] [69], who found that gingival changes and increase tendency to bleeding occur at the end of 1st trimester and persist until delivery. Also our result is consistent with [Muramastu and ,Takaesue,1994]] [68] who found that the number of gingival sites with bleeding and redness increase throughout pregnancy until 1 month post partum. Also [Latva-Aho etal.,2004] [67] found significant increasing in BOP between the 1st and 2nd trimesters.

Pregnancy Tumor:

In this clinical study, no any case of pregnancy tumor(pregnancy epuils) was recorded, this could be due to the small size of the sample, while[Mair A.M. and Orban,1949] [70] found that the incidence of pregnancy tumor was 0.5%. In addition, [Carranza . and newman,2001] [46] found that the pregnancy epulis occurred in 0.2%. - 9.6%.

Conclusions

1- All women in this study had varying degree of plaque accumulation, being more in the pregnancy and less in contraceptive group and even less in the control group with presence of significant difference between the 3 main groups.

2-Gingival inflammation were present in all sample, and the inflammation was more during the 2nd and 3rd trimester subgroup and to a less degree in contraceptive pill group and even less in the control group, with the presence of a significant difference between the 3 main groups.

3. Gingival crevicular fluid flow was more during the 2nd and 3rd trimester subgroup and less in contraceptive pill group; the difference between the three main groups was significant and correlated with severity of gingival inflammation.

4. The prevalence of pocket was low in whole sample, and pocket of depth more than 4mm was more in the 2nd and 3rd trimester's subgroup and less in contraceptive, with high significant difference between the main groups.

5. Bleeding on probing were present in all sample and was more during the 2nd and 3rd trimester and less in the contraception and even less in the control group, bleeding on probing present with high significant difference between the main group.

6. No any case of pregnancy tumor (pregnancy epulis)was recorded in all examined pregnant women .

7. This study confirms that the major changes in clinical parameters occur during the 2nd and 3rd trimester.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to God for giving us the strength and patience to achieve this study. I would like to thank Dr. Faruk H. Faraj the dean of college of dentistry for his valuable help and advice. My deep thanks and appreciation to Professor Khalid B. Mirza for his advice, continuous guidance and support. Deep thanks to the staff members of Maternity Hospital and Primary Health Care Centre in Sulaimani for their help and kindness.

References

[1] Mayrand A.D.: Virulence promotion by mixed bacterial infections. In: Jackson G.G. and Thomas H.(eds). The pathogenesis of bacterial infections. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. **1985**; PP.282-291.



[2] Margolis S.: How serious is periodontal disease. The John Hopkins University school of medicine. Bltimor, MD.**2004**; [Rev.Article].

[3] Papapanou PN. Periodontal diseases: epidemiology. *Ann Periodontol* .**1996**;1:1– 36.

[4] Offenbacher S, Lieff S, Boggess KA, Murtha AP, Madianos PN, Champagne CM, et al.Maternal periodontitis and prematurity. Part I: Obstetric outcome of prematurity and growth restriction. *Ann Periodontol* .**2001**;6:164–74.

[5] Albandar JM, Rams TE. Global epidemiology of periodontal diseases: an overview. *Periodontol 2000*, **2002**;29:7–10.

[6] Albandar JM. Periodontal diseases in North America. *Periodontol* 2000 .2002;29:31–69.

[7] Macones GA, Parry S, Nelson DB, Strauss JF, Ludmir J, Cohen AW. Treatment of localized periodontal disease in pregnancy does not reduce the occurrence of preterm birth: results from the Periodontal Infections and Prematurity Study (PIPS). *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, **2010**;202:147,e1-8.

[8] Laine MA. Effect of pregnancy on periodontal and dental health. *Acta Odontol Scand* .2002;60:257-64.

[9] Bowsher J: Oral care during Pregnancy . prof. care Mother Child **.1997**; 7(4): 101-102. (*Med line Abstract*}.

[10] Lindhe J., Karrying T. and Lang N.:
Clinical periodontology and implant dentistry (eds). Munksgaard, Copenhagen.
4thedition. 2003; p. 183-212

[11] Deliefde B ;the dental care of pregnant women. *NZ. Dent J*.**1984**;80:41.

[12] Loe H., Theilade E. and Jensen S.B.:Experimental gingivitis in man.*JPeriodontal.* 1965;36: 177-187.

[13] Hugoson A. : Gingivitis in pregnant women. A longitudinal clinical-study. *Odont. Rev*.1971; 22: 65. [14] Arfat A .; The prevalence of pyogencic granuloma in pregnant women J.
Baltimore " college , Den. surgery.
1974;29;64;70.

[15] Kornman K.S. and Loesch W.J: the subgingival flora during pregnancy. *J. Periodontal.* **1980**;15:111.

[16] Offenbacher, S., Katz, V., Fertik, G., Collins, J., Boyd, D., Maynor, G. et al. (Periodontal infection as a possible risk factor for preterm low birth weight. *J.Periodontol.* **1996**; 67, 1103-1113.

[17] Jeffcoat MK, Geurs NC, Reddy MS, Cliver SP, Goldenberg RL, Hauth JC. Periodontal infection and preterm birth: results of a prospective study. *J Am Dent Assoc* .2001;132:875–80.

[18] Dortbudak, O., Eberhardt, R., Ulm, M.,
& Persson, G. R. Periodontitis, a marker of risk in pregnancy for preterm birth.
J.Clin.Periodontol. 2005;32, 45-52.

[19] Yiorgos A. Bobetsis, , , Silvana P. Barros, and Steven Offenbacher. A Continuing Education Exploring the relationship between periodontal disease and pregnancy complications. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2006; Vol 137, No suppl 2, 7S-13S.

[20] Clothier B, Stringer M, Jeffcoat MK. Periodontal disease and pregnancy outcomes: exposure, risk and intervention. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2007;21:451–66.

[21]Saigal S, Doyle LW: An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet*.2008;371:261-269.

[22] Cruz, Simone S.; Costa, Maria da Conceição N.; Gomes-Filho, Isaac S.; Rezende, Edson J. C.; Barreto, Maurício L.; dos Santos, Carlos Antônio S. T.; Vianna, Maria Isabel P.; Passos, Johelle S.; Cerqueira, Eneida M. M. .Contribution of periodontal disease in pregnant women as a risk factor for low birth weight.*Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, Volume



37, Number 6, December **2009**, pp. 527-533(7)

[23] Dolapo A. Babalola and Folashade Omole Periodontal Disease and Pregnancy Outcomes. Hindawi Publishing Corporation *Journal of Pregnancy* Volume .2010; Article ID 293439, 4 pages Case Report.

[24] Haritha Avula and Jayakumar Avula. Periodontal Infections and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes.The Oral Health— Fetal Connection *Journal of Gynecologic Surgery*. March **2011**; 27(1): 1-4.

[25] Ebersole JL, Novak MJ, Michalowicz BS, Hodges JS, Steffen MJ, Ferguson JE, et al. Systemic immune responses in pregnancy and periodontitis: relationship to pregnancy outcomes in the Obstetrics and Periodontal Therapy (OPT) study. *J Periodontol* .2009;80:953–60.

[26] Durand R, Gunselman EL, Hodges JS, Diangelis AJ, Michalowicz BS. A pilot study of the association between cariogenic oral bacteria and preterm birth. *Oral Dis* **2009**;15:400–6.

[27] Novak MJ, Novak KF, Hodges JS, Kirakodu S, Govindaswami M, Diangelis A, et al. Periodontal bacterial profiles in pregnant women: response to treatment and associations with birth outcomes in the obstetrics and periodontal therapy (OPT) study. *J Periodontol*, **2008**;79:1870–9.

[28] Vettore MV, Leao AT, Leal Mdo C, Feres M, Sheiham A. The relationship between periodontal disease and preterm low birthweight: clinical and microbiological results. *J Periodontal Res* .2008;43:615–26.

[29] Buduneli N, Baylas H, Buduneli E, Turkoglu O, Kose T, Dahlen G. Periodontal infections and pre-term low birth weight: a case-control study. *J Clin Periodontol*, **2005**;32:174–81.

[30] Hill GB. Preterm birth: associations with genital and possibly oral microflora. *Ann Periodontol*.**1998**;3:222–32.

[31] Han YW, Fardini Y, Chen C, Iacampo KG, Peraino VA, Shamonki JM, Redline RW. Term stillbirth caused by oral Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Obstet Gynecol* .2010;115:442–5.

[32] Mitchell-Lewis, D., Engebretson, S. P., Chen, J., Lamster, I. B., & Papapanou, P. N. Periodontal infections and pre-term birth: early findings from a cohort of young minority women in New York. *Eur.J.Oral Sci.* **2001**; 109, 34-39.

[33] Noack, B., Klingenberg, J., Weigelt, J., & Hoffmann, T. (2005). Periodontal status and preterm low birth weight:a case control study. *J.Periodontal Res*,2005; 40, 339-345.
[34] Daley T. D. Narty N.O. and wysocki G.P. :pregnancy tumuor, *analaysis Oral* surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology.
1991;77;498-500.

[35] Jae-Hong Kim, M.D., Hwa-young Park, M.D., Seung Phil Hong, M.D., and Sung Ku Ahn, M.D., Ph.D Concurrent Occurrence of Mucocele and Pyogenic Granuloma *Ann Dermatol.* **2011** September; 23(Suppl 1): S108–S110.

[36] Cawson RA, Odell EW: *Cawson's* essentials of oral pathology and medicine 7thedition. Churchill Livingston; **2002**:277.

[37] Ramirez. K, Bruce G: carpenter. Wpyogenic granuloma: case report in a 9year-old girl. *General Dentistry* .2002; 50(3):280-1.

[38] Regezi JA, sciubba , James J, Jordan Richors CK: *Oral Pathology, clinical pathologic correlation.* Fourth edition. Sanders Company; **2003**;115-76.

[39] Nevile BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, Bouquot JE: *oral and maxillofacial pathology*. Second edition. W.B. saunders co; **2004**;444-449.

[40] karthikeya Patil, mahima VG, Lahari K: Exragingival pyogenic granuloma. *Indian journal of dental research*.**2006**;17(4):199-202.

[41] Jafarzadeh H, Sanatkhani M,	Basle.8.Ka26- Hilming F.Gingivitis
Mohtasham N. Oral pyogenic granuloma: a	gavidarum Dissertaion royal dental college
review. J Oral Sci. 2006 Dec;48(4):167-75.	Copenhagen,1950.
[42] Maryam Amirchaghmaghi, Farnaz	[52] Suliaman A.W.: Oral health status and
Falaki, Nooshin Mohtasham and Pegah M	cariogenic microflora during pregnancy.
Mozafari Extragingiyal pyogenic granuloma:	[Master thesis] 1995 College of dentistry
a case report Cases Journal 2008 1:371	Baghdad University Iraq
[42] Glorgi VD, sostini S, Nordini p, coli p;	[52] Valain E Eskinaz: E Souding M atal:
[45] Olorgi VD, sestini S, ivardini p, can p.	the effect of appie cultural status on
A 42-year – old man with a rapidry growing	the effect of socio cultural status of
lesion of the soft palate CMAJ	periodontal conditions in pregnancy. J. of
.2005;173(4):367.	periodontal .2002;Vo1.73,N0.2,P 178-182.
[44] Gonçales ES, Damante JH, Fischer	[54] Kornman K.S. and Loesch W.J: the
Rubira CM, Taveira LA. Pyogenic	subgingival flora during pregnancy. J.
granuloma on the upper lip: an unusual	Periodontal.1980;15:111.
location .J Appl Oral Sci. 2010 Sep-	[55] Pankhourst G.L.: The influence of oral
Oct;18(5):5 38-41.	contraceptive therapy on the periodontium:
[45] Haerian-Ardakani A, Moeintaghavi A,	Duration of drug therapy J. Periodontal.
Talebi-Ardakani MR, Sohrabi K, Bahmani S,	1981; 52: 617.
Dargahi M. The association between current	[56] Hugoson A; Gingival Inflammation
low-dose oral contraceptive pills and	and female sex hormomes .J Periodont .res
periodontal health: a matched-case-control	.1970 : 59 (supply).
study I Contemp Dent Pract 2010: May	[57] Cohen D.W. Shapiro, J. friedman, L.
1.11(3):033_40	etal : A longitudinal investigation of the
[46] Correnze $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{A}$ and nowman $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{G}$:	pireodontal changes during prognancy and
[40] Caltaiza F.A. and newman M.O.	fifteen month post porture I piecedoutal
Chinical periodontology and implant	1071 42 (52)
dentistry ([eds]) w.B sanders company	,19/1;42;653
9th edition. 2001; P 212-214, 516-523.	[58] Tilakaratne A., Soory M., Ranasinghe
[47] Tsami-Pandi A, Diamanti-Kipioti A.	A.W., et al.: Periodontal disease status
Current concepts of the effect of	during pregnancy and three months post
contraceptives on the oral cavity and	partum in a rural population of Srilankan
especially on the periodontal tissues. Hell	women. J. Clin. Periodont. 2000 a; 27: 787-
StomatolChron. 1984; Jul-Sep;28(3):149-54.	792.
[48] Silness J. and Loe H.: Periodontal	[59] Soory M.: Targets for steroid hormone
disease in pregnancy. II. Correlation with	mediated actions periodontal pathogens,
oral hygiene and periodontal condition. Aeta.	cytotoxins and therapeutic agents; some
<i>Odont. Scand.</i> 1964: 22: 121-135.	implication on tissue turn over in the
[49] Loe H. and Sillness J.: Periodontal	periodontium. Current Drug Targets.
disease in pregnancy I prevalence and	2000 b:309-325
severity Acta Odonta Scand 1963:21:533	[60] Jonson R Howland B F and Bowden
[50] Muhlemann H.D. and Son S. Cingival	GW:Relation ship between periodental
sulous blooding alording symptom in intial	health solivery storoids and besteriada
sincipalities and and a symptom in mula	intermedius in males are said value
gingivitus. <i>neievetica Uaontoloalca Acta</i> .	intermedius in males ,pregnant and non
19/1;15:10/-113.	pregnant women.J. Dent.Res. 1988;67:1062.
[51] Cimasoni G.:Crevicular fluid	
update.monographs in oral science.Vol.:12	

Finland



[61] Lindhe J. and Bjorn A. : Influence of hormonal contraceptives on the gingiva of women J. Periodont. Res. 1974; 2: 1. K. L. [62] Kalkwarf effect of oral contraceptive therapy on Gingival inflammation in human. J. Periodontal. 1978; 49:560. [63] Knight G.M. and Wade A. The effect of oral contracepus. periodontium *J Periodontal.Res.*1517, [64] Cohen D.W freidman L :Shapiro J .etal : A longitudinal investigation of the -iodontal changes during pregnancy : part -0:40:5\563-12\570. status and oral practices of pregnant and non-pregnant women. East Afri. Med. J. 1998; 75: 712. [66] Jensen J., Lili jmack W., Blookquist C.: The effect of sex hormones on subgingival plaque J. Periodonal .1981; 52(10): 599-602. [67] Latva-Aho M., Pajukanta R., Sorsa of T.,etal.The effect pregnancy on Periodontal health status. . National Public

University. *Abst. Periodont. Res.***2004.** [68] Muramastu Y., Takaesue Y. : Oral health status related to subgingival bacterial flora and sex hormon in saliva during pregnancy. *Bull Tokyo Dent. College*, **1994**:35(3): 139.

Institute[KTL],Helsinki,

Health

[69] Pindborg J.J.: Clinical periodontology.2nd edition. Lindhe J. (ed).Munksgaard,Copenhagen.1996.P.282-296.

[70] Mair A.M. and Orban B.: Gingivitis in pregnancy. *Oral Surg.*1949;2:234.

Diyala